

# Quasi Deterministic Radio Channel Generator User Manual and Documentation



**Document Revision: v2.0.0**

August 1, 2017

Fraunhofer Heinrich Hertz Institute  
Wireless Communications and Networks  
Einsteinufer 37, 10587 Berlin, Germany

e-mail: [quadriga@hhi.fraunhofer.de](mailto:quadriga@hhi.fraunhofer.de)  
<http://www.quadriga-channel-model.de>

 **Fraunhofer**  
Heinrich Hertz Institute

## Contributors

- Editor: Fraunhofer Heinrich Hertz Institute  
Wireless Communications and Networks  
Einsteinufer 37, 10587 Berlin, Germany
- Contributing Authors: Stephan Jaeckel, Leszek Raschkowski and Lars Thiele  
*Fraunhofer Heinrich Hertz Institute*
- Frank Burkhardt and Ernst Eberlein  
*Fraunhofer Institute for Integrated Circuits IIS*

## Grants and Funding

This work was supported by

- the European Space Agency (ESA) in the Advanced Research in Telecommunications Systems (ARTES) programme under contract AO/1-5985/09/08/NL/LvH (Acronym: MIMOSA), [1]  
<http://artes.esa.int/projects/mimosa-characterisation-mimo-channel-mobile-satellite-systems>
- the German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology (BMWi) in the national collaborative project IntelliSpektrum under contract 01ME11024  
<http://www.intellispektrum.de>
- the European Commission co-funded the project METIS as an Integrated Project under the Seventh Framework Programme for research and development (FP7)  
<http://www.metis2020.com>
- the GreenTouch consortium within the funded project “LSAS Channel Modelling”  
<http://www.greentouch.org>
- the European Commission co-funded the project mmMAGIC as an Integrated Project under the Horizon 2020 Programme for research and development (FP7)  
<https://5g-mmmagic.eu/>
- the Celtic-Plus funded project REICOVAIR  
<https://www.celticplus.eu/project-reicovair>

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank G. Sommerkorn, C. Schneider, M. Kaeske [Ilmenau University of Technology (IUT), Ilmenau, Germany] and V. Jungnickel [Heinrich Hertz Institute (HHI), Berlin, Germany] for the fruitful discussions on the QuaDRiGa channel model and the manuscript of this document.

## How to Cite QuaDRiGa

- [2] S. Jaeckel, L. Raschkowski, K. Börner, and L. Thiele, “QuaDRiGa: A 3-D multi-cell channel model with time evolution for enabling virtual field trials,” *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, pp. 3242-3256, 2014.
- [3] S. Jaeckel, L. Raschkowski, K. Börner, L. Thiele, F. Burkhardt and E. Eberlein, ”QuaDRiGa - Quasi Deterministic Radio Channel Generator, User Manual and Documentation”, Fraunhofer Heinrich Hertz Institute, Tech. Rep. v2.0.0, 2017.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and Overview</b>	<b>12</b>
1.1	Installation and System Requirements . . . . .	12
1.2	General Remarks . . . . .	12
1.3	Introduction to QuaDRiGa . . . . .	14
1.4	Continuous time evolution . . . . .	16
1.5	QuaDRiGa Program Flow . . . . .	17
1.6	Description of modeling of different reception conditions by means of a typical drive course .	18
1.7	Compatibility with 3GPP models . . . . .	21
<b>2</b>	<b>Software Structure</b>	<b>24</b>
2.1	Overview . . . . .	24
2.2	Description of Classes, Properties, and Methods . . . . .	26
2.2.1	Class “qd_simulation_parameters” . . . . .	27
2.2.2	Class “qd_arrayant” . . . . .	28
2.2.3	Class “qd_track” . . . . .	32
2.2.4	Class “qd_layout” . . . . .	36
2.2.5	Class “qd_builder” . . . . .	42
2.2.6	Class “qd_sos” . . . . .	48
2.2.7	Class “qd_channel” . . . . .	50
2.3	Data Flow . . . . .	54
2.4	Scenario Specific Parameters . . . . .	55
2.4.1	Description of the Parameter Table . . . . .	56
<b>3</b>	<b>Technical Documentation of QuaDRiGa v1.4.8</b>	<b>60</b>
3.1	Correlated Large-Scale Parameter Maps . . . . .	63
3.2	Initial Delays and Normalized Path Powers . . . . .	66
3.3	Departure and Arrival Angles . . . . .	67
3.4	Drifting . . . . .	70
3.5	Antennas and Polarization . . . . .	75
3.5.1	Relation between the Polarization Model and the Jones Calculus . . . . .	76
3.5.2	Changing the Orientation of Antennas . . . . .	77
3.5.3	Constructing the Polarization Transfer Matrix . . . . .	79
3.6	Combining Sub-Paths into Paths . . . . .	81
3.7	Path Gain, Shadow Fading and K-Factor . . . . .	82
3.8	Transitions between Segments . . . . .	83
3.9	Summary . . . . .	84
<b>4</b>	<b>Tutorials</b>	<b>86</b>
4.1	The Most Common Mistake: Handles . . . . .	86

4.2	Effects of the Antenna-Orientation . . . . .	88
4.3	Drifting Phases and Delays . . . . .	90
4.4	Geometric Polarization . . . . .	93
4.5	Pairing and segments . . . . .	98
4.6	Network Setup and Parameter Generation . . . . .	99
4.7	Time Evolution and Scenario Transitions . . . . .	102
4.8	Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation) . . . . .	106
4.9	Resimulating a Measured Scenario . . . . .	110
4.10	How to manually set LSPs in QuaDRiGa (Satellite Scenario) . . . . .	115
4.11	Multi-frequency simulations . . . . .	118
4.12	Ground reflection simulation . . . . .	121
4.13	Spatial consistency . . . . .	124
<b>5</b>	<b>Model calibration</b>	<b>131</b>
5.1	3GPP 36.873 Phase 1 Calibration . . . . .	131
5.2	3GPP 36.873 Phase 2 Calibration . . . . .	137
5.3	3GPP 38.901 Large Scale Calibration . . . . .	147
5.4	3GPP 38.901 Full Calibration . . . . .	152
<b>A</b>	<b>Departure and Arrival Angles (Adopted WINNER Method)</b>	<b>171</b>

## List of Figures

1	Evolution of GSCMs . . . . .	13
2	Simplified overview of the modeling approach used in QuaDRiGa . . . . .	15
3	Typical driving course . . . . .	18
4	UML class diagram of the model software. . . . .	25
5	QuaDRiGa Data Flow . . . . .	54
6	Steps for the calculation of time-evolving channel coefficients . . . . .	61
7	Principle of the generation of channel coefficients based on correlated LSPs . . . . .	64
8	Map-based 2-D autocorrelation shaping using FIR filters . . . . .	65
9	Maximal achievable angular spread depending on the K-factor . . . . .	69
10	Scatterer positions and arrival angles (single-bounce model) . . . . .	71
11	Scatterer positions and arrival angles (multi-bounce model) . . . . .	73
12	Example patterns for a dipole antenna . . . . .	77
13	Illustration of overlapping segments and variable MT speeds . . . . .	84
14	Visualization of the angular spread correction function $C_\phi(L, K)$ . . . . .	172

## List of Tables

1	QuaDRiGa System Requirements . . . . .	12
2	System Compatibility Tests . . . . .	12
16	Parameter sets provided together with the standard software . . . . .	55
19	Offset Angle of the $m^{\text{th}}$ Sub-Path from [4] . . . . .	70
20	Simulation assumptions for 3GPP-3D calibration . . . . .	131
21	Correction values from [4] for different numbers of paths . . . . .	173
22	Comparison of the correction functions . . . . .	173

## List of Acronyms

2-D	two-dimensional
3-D	three-dimensional
3GPP	3rd generation partnership project
AoA	azimuth angle of arrival
AoD	azimuth angle of departure
AS	angular spread
ASA	azimuth spread of arrival
ASD	azimuth spread of departure
BS	base station
CIR	channel impulse response
COST	European Cooperation in Science and Technology
DS	delay spread
EoA	elevation angle of arrival
EoD	elevation angle of departure
ESA	elevation angle spread of arrival
ESD	elevation angle spread of departure
FBS	first-bounce scatterer
FIR	finite impulse response
GCS	global coordinate system
GSCM	geometry-based stochastic channel model
i.i.d.	independent and identically distributed
KF	Ricean K-factor
LBS	last-bounce scatterer
LHCP	left hand circular polarized
LOS	line of sight
LSF	large-scale fading
LSP	large-scale parameter
MIMO	multiple-input multiple-output
MIMOSA	MIMO over satellite
MPC	multipath component
MT	mobile terminal
NLOS	non-line of sight
OFDM	orthogonal frequency division multiplexing
PAS	power-angular spectrum
PDP	power delay profile
PG	path gain
PL	path loss
QuaDRiGa	quasi deterministic radio channel generator
RHCP	right hand circular polarized
Rx	receiver
SCM	spatial channel model
SF	shadow fading
SISO	single input single output
SSF	small-scale-fading
SSG	state sequence generator
STD	standard deviation
Tx	transmitter
UMa	urban-macrocell
UMi	urban-microcell
UML	unified modeling language

WGS	world geodetic system
WINNER	Wireless World Initiative for New Radio
WSS	wide-sense stationary
WSSUS	wide sense stationary uncorrelated scattering
XPD	cross-polarization discrimination
XPR	cross polarization ratio
ZoA	zenith angle of arrival
ZoD	zenith angle of departure
ZSA	zenith angle spread of arrival
ZSD	zenith angle spread of departure

## Glossary

***base station (BS)*** . . . . . 61

The term *base station (BS)* refers to a fixed transmitter which utilizes one or more transmit antennas to serve one or more *MTs*. *BSs* might further use *sectors* to increase the capacity. Usually, *BSs* operate independent of each other which might lead to inter-*BS* interference if they use the same time and frequency resource.

***cluster*** . . . . . 79, 83

A cluster describes an area where many scattering events occur simultaneously, e.g. at the foliage of trees or at a rough building wall. In the channel model, each scattering cluster is approximated by 20 single reflections. Each of those reflections has the same propagation delay.

***drifting*** . . . . . 71

Drifting occurs within a small area (about 20-30 m diameter) in which a specific “*cluster*” can be seen from the *MT*. Within this area the cluster position is fixed. Due to the mobility of the terminal the path length (resulting in a path delay) and the arrival angels change slowly, i.e. they “*drift*”.

***large-scale parameter (LSP)*** . . . . . 63

The term “*large scale parameter*” refers to a set of specific properties of the propagation channel. Those are the “*delay spread*”, the “*K-factor*”, the “*shadow fading*”, the “*cross-polarization ratio*”, and four “*angular spread*”-values. Those properties can be extracted from channel sounding data. If a large amount of channel measurements is available for a specific propagation *scenario* and the *LSPs* can be calculated from those channels, statistics of the *LSPs*, e.g. their distribution and correlation properties can be obtained. A complete set of such statistical properties forms a “parameter table” that characterizes the *scenario*.

***mobile terminal (MT)*** . . . . . 61

Mobile terminals (*MTs*) are mobile receivers with one or more receive antennas. They are usually assigned to a serving *BS* which delivers data to the terminal.

***multipath component (MPC)*** . . . . . 61

Synonym for *path*.

**path** . . . . . 67, 71, 81, 83  
 A path describes the way that a signal takes from the transmitter to the receiver. In the channel model, there is usually a direct, or LOS path, and several indirect, or NLOS paths. Indirect paths involve one or more scattering events which are described by clusters. However, paths do not describe single reflections but combine sub-paths that can not be separated in the delay domain. Usually, the channel model uses 6-25 paths to describe the propagation channel.

**scatterer** . . . . . 71, 79  
 A scatterer describes a single reflection along a NLOS propagation path. Usually, several scatterers with a similar propagation delay and a narrow angular spread are combined into a “(scattering) cluster”.

**scattering cluster** . . . . . 61  
 Synonym for *cluster*.

**scenario** . . . . . 63  
 In this thesis, the term *scenario* refers to a specific propagation environment such as “Urban macro-cell”, “Urban satellite”, “Indoor hotspot”, etc. Usually, each propagation environment can be further split into LOS and NLOS propagation (e.g. “Urban macro-cell LOS” and “Urban macro-cell NLOS”), both of which might have very different properties. In the channel model, each *scenario* is fully specified by a parameter table.

**segment** . . . . . 62, 70  
 Segments are parts of a user trajectory in which the LSPs do not change considerably and where the channel keeps its WSS properties. Typical segment lengths are 5-30 m. It is assumed that within a segment, the scattering clusters are fixed.

**sub-path** . . . . . 70, 81  
 A sub-path is the exact way that a signal takes from the transmitter to the receiver. It contains at least one reflection. However, normally the channel model uses two scatterers (resulting in two reflections) to create a sub-path. 20 sub-paths are combined to a path. The LOS path has no sub-paths.

**time evolution** . . . . . 61, 71  
 Time evolution describes how the propagation channel changes (or evolves) with time. In the channel model, two effects are used to describe this time-dependency: *drifting* and the birth and death of scattering clusters during the transition between *segments*. The propagation environment is considered static and, thus, the model includes time-evolution only when the receiver is moving.

**user** . . . . . 63  
 Synonym for *mobile terminal*.

## List of Symbols

$(\cdot)^T$  Transpose of a matrix . . . . . 78  
 $\gamma$  Polarization rotation angle for the linear NLOS polarization in [rad] . . . . . 80  
 $\lambda$  Wavelength in units of [m] . . . . . 62, 72  
 $\phi$  Azimuth angle in [rad].  $\phi$  can be used for  $\phi^d$  or  $\phi^a$  . . . . . 67, 68, 75, 171, 172  
 $\phi^a$  Azimuth angle of arrival (AoA) in [rad] . . . . . 67, 70, 71  
 $\phi^d$  Azimuth angle of departure (AoD) in [rad] . . . . . 67, 70, 71

$\hat{\phi}$	The offset angle between the path angle $\phi$ of the $m^{\text{th}}$ sub-path in [degree] . . . . .	70
$\psi$	Phase of a path in [rad] . . . . .	72, 81, 82
$\rho$	Correlation coefficient . . . . .	65
$\sigma_\phi$	The RMS angular spread in [rad] . . . . .	68, 171, 172
$\sigma_\tau$	The RMS delay spread in units of [s] . . . . .	63, 66
$\tau$	Delay of a MPC in units of [s] . . . . .	66, 71, 72
$\theta$	Elevation angle in [rad]. $\theta$ can be used for $\theta^d$ or $\theta^a$ . . . . .	68, 75
$\vartheta$	Polarization rotation angle in [rad] . . . . .	78, 79
$\theta^a$	Elevation angle of arrival (EoA) in [rad] . . . . .	67, 68, 70, 71
$\theta^d$	Elevation angle of departure (EoD) in [rad] . . . . .	67, 68, 70, 71
$\zeta$	A coefficient describing additional shadowing within a PDP . . . . .	66
<b>a</b>	Vector pointing from the position of the LBS to the Rx position . . . . .	72
<b>B</b>	Bandwidth in units of [Hz] . . . . .	62
<b>B</b>	LSP map represented as a matrix with real-valued coefficients . . . . .	64
<b>b</b>	Vector pointing from the Tx position to the position of the LBS . . . . .	72
<i>c</i>	Speed of Light . . . . .	71, 72
<b>c</b>	Representation of the departure or arrival angle in Cartesian coordinates . . . . .	77
$c_\phi$	The scenario-dependent cluster-wise RMS angular spread in [degree] . . . . .	70
<i>d</i>	Length of a propagation path in [m] . . . . .	71, 82
$d_\lambda$	Decorrelation distance in [m] where the autocorrelation falls below $e^{-1}$ . . . . .	64
$\mathbf{e}_{r,s}$	Vector from the Rx position to Rx antenna element $r$ at snapshot $s$ . . . . .	72
<b>F</b>	Polarimetric antenna response . . . . .	75, 78, 81
$f_S$	Sampling Rate in [samples per meter] . . . . .	62
$f_T$	Sampling Rate in [samples per second] . . . . .	62
<i>g</i>	Channel coefficient in time domain . . . . .	81
<b>J</b>	Jones vector . . . . .	76
<i>K</i>	Ricean K-Factor, linear scale . . . . .	66, 171
<i>k</i>	Filter coefficient index . . . . .	64
$K^{\text{[dB]}}$	Ricean K-Factor, logarithmic scale . . . . .	172
<i>L</i>	Number of paths . . . . .	66, 171, 172
<i>l</i>	Path index, $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ . . . . .	66, 71
<i>m</i>	Sub-path index, $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ . . . . .	70, 71
$\mathcal{N}$	Normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with mean $\mu$ and STD $\sigma$ . . . . .	66–69, 80, 171
<i>P</i>	Power . . . . .	66, 171, 172
<i>r</i>	Receive antenna index; $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_r\}$ . . . . .	71
<b>R</b>	Rotation matrix . . . . .	77, 78
<b>r</b>	Vector pointing from the Tx position to the Rx position . . . . .	71, 72, 74
$r_\tau$	Proportionality factor to trade between delays and path powers . . . . .	66
<i>s</i>	Snapshot index $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, S\}$ . . . . .	71
<i>t</i>	Transmit antenna index; $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_t\}$ . . . . .	71
$\mathcal{U}$	Continuous uniform distribution $\mathcal{U}(a, b)$ with minimum $a$ and maximum $b$ . . . . .	66, 76
<i>v</i>	Speed in [m/s] . . . . .	62
<i>X</i>	A random variable . . . . .	66, 81, 171
<b>X</b>	Matrix containing the inter-parameter correlation values . . . . .	65
<i>Y</i>	A random variable . . . . .	171
<i>Z</i>	A random variable . . . . .	66, 76

## References

[1] E. Eberlein, T. Heyn, F. Burkhardt, S. Jaeckel, L. Thiele, T. Haustein, G. Sommerkorn, M. Käske, C. Schneider, M. Dominguez, and J. Grotz, “Characterisation of the MIMO channel for mobile satellite

- systems (acronym: MIMOSA), TN8.2 – final report,” Fraunhofer Institute for Integrated Circuits (IIS), Tech. Rep. v1.0, 2013.
- [2] S. Jaeckel, L. Raschkowski, K. Börner, and L. Thiele, “QuaDRiGa: A 3-D multi-cell channel model with time evolution for enabling virtual field trials,” *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 62, pp. 3242–3256, 2014.
- [3] S. Jaeckel, L. Raschkowski, K. Börner, L. Thiele, F. Burkhardt, and E. Eberlein, “QuaDRiGa - Quasi Deterministic Radio Channel Generator, User Manual and Documentation,” Fraunhofer Heinrich Hertz Institute, Tech. Rep. v1.4.1-551, 2016.
- [4] P. Kyösti, J. Meinilä, L. Hentilä *et al.*, “IST-4-027756 WINNER II D1.1.2 v.1.1: WINNER II channel models,” Tech. Rep., 2007. [Online]. Available: <http://www.ist-winner.org>
- [5] P. Heino, J. Meinilä, P. Kyösti *et al.*, “CELTIC / CP5-026 D5.3: WINNER+ final channel models,” Tech. Rep., 2010. [Online]. Available: <http://projects.celtic-initiative.org/winner+>
- [6] C. Schneider, M. Narandzic, M. Käske, G. Sommerkorn, and R. Thomä, “Large scale parameter for the WINNER II channel model at 2.53 GHz in urban macro cell,” *Proc. IEEE VTC '10 Spring*, 2010.
- [7] M. Narandzic, C. Schneider, M. Käske, S. Jaeckel, G. Sommerkorn, and R. Thomä, “Large-scale parameters of wideband MIMO channel in urban multi-cell scenario,” *Proc. EUCAP '11*, 2011.
- [8] 3GPP TR 36.873 v12.5.0, “Study on 3D channel model for LTE,” Tech. Rep., 2017.
- [9] 3GPP TR 38.901 v14.1.0, “Study on channel model for frequencies from 0.5 to 100 GHz,” Tech. Rep., 2017.
- [10] X. Cai and G. B. Giannakis, “A two-dimensional channel simulation model for shadowing processes,” *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 1558–1567, 2003.
- [11] S. Jaeckel, L. Raschkowski, S. Wu, L. Thiele, and W. Keusgen, “An explicit ground reflection model for mm-wave channels,” *Proc. IEEE WCNC Workshops '17*, 2017.
- [12] H2020-ICT-671650-mmMAGIC/D2.2, “mmMAGIC D2.2 - measurement results and final mmMAGIC channel models,” Tech. Rep., 2017.
- [13] 3GPP TR 25.996 v14.0.0, “Spatial channel model for multiple input multiple output (MIMO) simulations,” Tech. Rep., 2017.
- [14] L. Correia, Ed., *Mobile Broadband Multimedia Networks*. Elsevier, 2006, ch. 6.8: The COST 273 MIMO channel model, pp. 364–383.
- [15] H. Xiao, A. Burr, and L. Song, “A time-variant wideband spatial channel model based on the 3gpp model,” *Proc. IEEE VCT '06 Fall*, 2006.
- [16] D. Baum, J. Hansen, and J. Salo, “An interim channel model for beyond-3G systems,” *Proc. IEEE VCT '05 Spring*, vol. 5, pp. 3132–3136, 2005.
- [17] M. Shafi, M. Zhang, A. Moustakas, P. Smith, A. Molisch, F. Tufvesson, and S. Simon, “Polarized MIMO channels in 3-D: models, measurements and mutual information,” *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 24, pp. 514–527, Mar. 2006.
- [18] C. Oestges, N. Czink, P. D. Doncker *et al.*, *Pervasive Mobile and Ambient Wireless Communications (COST Action 2100)*. Springer, 2012, ch. 3: Radio Channel Modeling for 4G Networks, pp. 67–147.
- [19] A. Zajic, G. Stuber, T. Pratt, and S. Nguyen, “Wideband MIMO mobile-to-mobile channels: Geometry-based statistical modeling with experimental verification,” *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 517–534, 2009.

- [20] M. R. Andrews, P. P. Mitra, and R. de Carvalho, "Tripling the capacity of wireless communications using electromagnetic polarization," *Nature*, vol. 409, pp. 316–318, Jan 2001.
- [21] S. Jaeckel, L. Thiele, and V. Jungnickel, "Interference limited MIMO measurements," *Proc. IEEE VTC '10 Spring*, 2010.
- [22] M. Narandzic, M. Käske, C. Schneider, M. Milojevic, M. Landmann, G. Sommerkorn, and R. Thomä, "3D-antenna array model for IST-WINNER channel simulations," *Proc. IEEE VTC '07 Spring*, pp. 319–323, 2007.
- [23] C. Oestges, B. Clerckx, M. Guillaud, and M. Debbah, "Dual-polarized wireless communications: From propagation models to system performance evaluation," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 4019–4031, 2008.
- [24] Y. Zhou, S. Rondineau, D. Popovic, A. Sayeed, and Z. Popovic, "Virtual channel space-time processing with dual-polarization discrete lens antenna arrays," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 53, pp. 2444–2455, Aug. 2005.
- [25] F. Quitin, C. Oestges, F. Horlin, and P. De Doncker, "Multipolarized MIMO channel characteristics: Analytical study and experimental results," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 57, pp. 2739–2745, 2009.
- [26] R. C. Jones, "A new calculus for the treatment of optical systems, i. description and discussion of the calculus," *Journal of the Optical Society of America*, vol. 31, pp. 488–493, July 1941.
- [27] J. Poutanen, K. Haneda, L. Liu, C. Oestges, F. Tufvesson, and P. Vainikainen, "Parameterization of the COST 2100 MIMO channel model in indoor scenarios," *Proc. EUCAP '11*, pp. 3606–3610, 2011.
- [28] M. Zhu, F. Tufvesson, and G. Eriksson, "The COST 2100 channel model: Parameterization and validation based on outdoor MIMO measurements at 300 MHz," Lund University, Sweden, Tech. Rep., 2012.
- [29] N. Czink, T. Zemen, J.-P. Nuutinen, J. Ylitalo, and E. Bonek, "A time-variant MIMO channel model directly parametrised from measurements," *EURASIP J. Wireless Commun. Netw.*, no. 2009:687238, 2009.
- [30] K. Saito, K. Kitao, T. Imai, Y. Okano, and S. Miura, "The modeling method of time-correlated mimo channels using the particle filter," *Proc. IEEE VCT '11 Spring*, 2011.
- [31] W. Wang, T. Jost, U. Fiebig, and W. Koch, "Time-variant channel modeling with application to mobile radio based positioning," *Proc. IEEE GLOBECOM '12*, pp. 5038–5043, 2012.
- [32] [Online]. Available: <http://www.quadriga-channel-model.de>
- [33] S. Gregson, J. McCormick, and C. Parini, *Principles of Planar Near-Field Antenna Measurements*. IET, 2007.
- [34] A. Algans, K. Pedersen, and P. Mogensen, "Experimental analysis of the joint statistical properties of azimuth spread, delay spread, and shadow fading," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 523–531, 2002.
- [35] K. Bakowski and K. Wesolowski, "Change the channel," *IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag.*, vol. 6, pp. 82–91, 2011.
- [36] M. Gudmundson, "Correlation model for shadow fading in mobile radio systems," *IET Electron Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 23, pp. 2145–2146, November 1991.
- [37] K. Pedersen, P. Mogensen, and B. Fleury, "Power azimuth spectrum in outdoor environments," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 33, no. 18, pp. 1583–1584, 1997.

- [38] A. Ludwig, "The definition of cross-polarization," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagation*, vol. AP-21, pp. 116–119, 1973.
- [39] C. Oestges, V. Erceg, and A. Paulraj, "Propagation modeling of MIMO multipolarized fixed wireless channels," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 53, pp. 644–654, May 2004.
- [40] V. Erceg, H. Sampath, and S. Catreux-Erceg, "Dual-polarization versus single-polarization MIMO channel measurement results and modeling," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 5, pp. 28–33, Jan. 2006.
- [41] M. Landmann, K. Sivasondhivat, J. Takada, and R. Thomä, "Polarisation behaviour of discrete multipath and diffuse scattering in urban environments at 4.5 GHz," *EURASIP J. Wireless Commun. Netw.*, vol. 2007, no. 1, pp. 60–71, 2007.
- [42] T. Svantesson, "A physical MIMO radio channel model for multi-element multi-polarized antenna systems," *Proc. IEEE VTC' 01 Fall*, vol. 2, pp. 1083–1087, 2001.
- [43] L. Materum, J. Takada, I. Ida, and Y. Oishi, "Mobile station spatio-temporal multipath clustering of an estimated wideband MIMO double-directional channel of a small urban 4.5 GHz macrocell," *EURASIP J. Wireless Commun. Netw.*, no. 2009:804021, 2009.
- [44] F. Quitin, C. Oestges, F. Horlin, and P. De Doncker, "A polarized clustered channel model for indoor multiantenna systems at 3.6 GHz," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 3685–3693, 2010.
- [45] E. Eberlein, F. Burkhardt, G. Sommerkorn, S. Jaeckel, and R. Prieto-Cerdeira, "MIMOSA - analysis of the MIMO channel for LMS systems," *Space Communications*, vol. 22, no. 2-4, pp. 145–158, 2013.
- [46] M. Hata, "Empirical formula for propagation loss in land mobile radio services," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 317–325, 1980.
- [47] ITU-R M.2135, "Guidelines for evaluation of radio interface technologies for IMT-Advanced," Tech. Rep. ITU-R M.2135-1, 2009.
- [48] 3GPP TDOC R1-143469, "Summary of 3D-channel model calibration results," Nokia Networks, Nokia Corporation, Tech. Rep., 2014. [Online]. Available: <http://www.3gpp.org/DynaReport/TDocExMtg--R1-78--30657.htm>

# 1 Introduction and Overview

## 1.1 Installation and System Requirements

QuaDRiGa 2.0 supports MATLAB and Octave. The installation is straightforward and it does not require any changes to your system settings. If you would like to use QuaDRiGa, just extract the ZIP-File containing the model files and add the “quadriga\_src”-folder from the extracted archive to you MATLAB/Octave-Path. In MATLAB, this can be done by opening MATLAB and selecting “File” - “Set Path ...” from the menu. Then you can use the “Add folder ...” button to add QuaDRiGa to your MATLAB-Path. For Octave (Linux), you need to create a file named “.octaverc” in your home directory with the following content:

```
addpath('/[path to QuaDRiGa]/quadriga_src')
more off
```

The “more off” command enables the support for real-time progress reports which is by default disabled in Octave. In Windows, this file is located at “C:\[path to Octave]\share\octave\site\m\startup\octaverc”.

Table 1: QuaDRiGa System Requirements

Requirement	Value
Required MATLAB version	7.12 (R2011a)
Required Octave version	4.0
Required toolboxes	none
Memory (RAM) requirement	1 GB
Processing power	1 GHz Single Core
Storage	50 MB
Operating System	Linux, Windows, Mac OS

The following table provides some compatibility tests for different operating systems, architectures, MATLAB versions, and QuaDRiGa versions.

Table 2: System Compatibility Tests

Operating System	MATLAB / Octave	Architecture	QuaDRiGa Version	Test result
Ubuntu 16.04	R2013a (8.1)	64 bit	2.0.0-655	works
	Octave 4.0	64 bit	2.0.0-655	works
	Octave 4.2.1	64 bit	2.0.0-655	works
Windows 7	R2016a (9.0)	64 bit	2.0.0-655	works
	Octave 4.2.1	64 bit	1.9.0-614	works

## 1.2 General Remarks

This document gives a detailed overview of the QuaDRiGa channel model and its implementation details. The model has been evolved from the [Wireless World Initiative for New Radio \(WINNER\)](#) channel model described in [WINNER II deliverable D1.1.2 v.1.1 \[4\]](#). This document covers only the model itself. Measurement campaigns covering the extraction of suitable parameters can be found in the [WINNER](#) documentation [4, 5] or other publications such as [6, 7]. Furthermore, the [MIMOSA](#) project [1] covers the model development and parameter extraction for land-mobile satellite channels.

Figure 1 gives an overview of a family of geometry-based stochastic channel models (GSCMs), starting with the 3rd generation partnership project (3GPP)-spatial channel model (SCM) in 2003. Work on QuaDRiGa started in 2011, after the end of the 3rd phase of the [WINNER](#) project. One year later, in 2012, 3GPP




Figure 1: Evolution of GSCMs

and the European-funded research project METIS started working on an evolution of the SCM, which later became commonly known as the 3GPP-3D channel model [8]. The latest 3GPP model [9] then extended the model towards mm-wave channels. However, the core components, e.g. the small-scale-fading (SSF) model, of this new model are in many parts identical to the WINNER+ model, which was also the baseline for the quasi deterministic radio channel generator (QuaDRiGa). Hence, QuaDRiGa can be regarded a 3GPP-3D and 3GPP 38.901 reference implementation. A mandatory part of the 3GPP-3D model is a calibration phase, where individual implementations of the 3GPP contributors have to create a set of metrics which show that the model implementation fulfills the requirements. This calibration exercise was also performed using QuaDRiGa. The results can be found in section 5.

The QuaDRiGa channel model follows a geometry-based stochastic channel modeling approach, which allows the creation of an arbitrary double directional radio channel. The channel model is antenna independent, i.e. different antenna configurations and different element patterns can be inserted. The channel parameters are determined stochastically, based on statistical distributions extracted from channel measurements. The distributions are defined for, e.g. delay spread, delay values, angle spread, shadow fading, and cross-polarization ratio. For each channel segment the channel parameters are calculated from the distributions. Specific channel realizations are generated by summing contributions of rays with specific channel parameters like delay, power, angle-of-arrival and angle-of-departure. Different scenarios are modeled by using the same approach, but different parameters. The basic features of the model approach can be summarized as follows:

- Support of freely configurable network layouts with multiple transmitters and receivers
- Scalability from a single input single output (SISO) or multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) link to a multi-link MIMO scenario
- Same modeling approach indoor, outdoor, and satellite environments as well as combinations of them
- Support of a frequency range of 450 MHz to 100 GHz with up to 1 GHz RF bandwidth (additional frequency bands can be modeled as well, if suitable parameter tables are available)
- Support of multi-antenna technologies, polarization, multi-user, multi-cell, and multi-hop networks
- Smooth time evolution of large-scale and small-scale channel parameters including the transition between different scenarios
- High accuracy for the calculation of the polarization characteristics
- 3D model of antennas and propagation environment
- Support for massive MIMO antennas, both at the BS and mobile terminal (MT)

The QuaDRiGa channel model largely extends the WINNER+ and the 3GPP-3D model to support several new features that were originally not included. These are

- Time evolution  
Short term time evolution of the channel coefficients is realized by updating the delays, the departure- and arrival angles, the polarization, the shadow fading and the K-Factor based on the position of the terminal.
- Scenario transitions  
When the MT moves through the fading channel, it may pass through several different scenarios. QuaDRiGa supports smooth transitions between adjacent channel segments. This is used to emulate long term time evolution and allows the simulation of e.g. handover scenarios.
- Variable speeds for mobile terminals  
QuaDRiGa supports variable speeds including accelerating and slowing down of mobile terminals.
- Common framework for LOS and NLOS simulations  
In WINNER, line of sight (LOS) and non-line of sight (NLOS) scenarios were treated differently. QuaDRiGa used the same method for both scenarios types. This reduces the model complexity and enables freely configurable multicell scenarios. E.g. one MT can see two BSs, one in LOS and another in NLOS.
- Geometric polarization  
The polarizations for the LOS and for the NLOS case is now calculated based on a ray-geometric approach.
- Improved method for calculating correlated large-scale parameters (LSPs)  
The WINNER model calculates maps of correlated parameter values using filtered random fields. QuaDRiGa uses the sum-of-sinusoids method [10] to generate spatially correlated LSPs and spatially correlated SSF.
- New functions for modifying antenna patterns  
Antenna patterns can now be freely rotated in 3D-coordinates while maintaining the polarization properties. By default, individual antenna elements have individual antenna radiation patterns in azimuth and elevation direction. Those can also be imported from anechoic chamber measurements. The model further supports arbitrary array antenna structures where the elements can be placed in 3D coordinates. Hence, dual-polarized 2D or even 3D array structures both at the transmitter and receiver are supported.
- New MATLAB / Octave implementation  
The MATLAB code was completely rewritten. The implementations now fosters object oriented programming and object handles. This increases the performance significantly and lowers the memory usage.

### 1.3 Introduction to QuaDRiGa

QuaDRiGa (QUAsi Deterministic RadIo channel GenerAtor) was developed to enable the modeling of MIMO radio channels for specific network configurations, such as indoor, satellite or heterogeneous configurations.

Besides being a fully-fledged three dimensional geometry-based stochastic channel model, QuaDRiGa contains a collection of features created in SCM and WINNER channel models along with novel modeling approaches which provide features to enable quasi-deterministic multi-link tracking of users (receiver) movements in changing environments.

The main features of QuaDRiGa are:

- Three dimensional propagation (antenna modeling, geometric polarization, scattering clusters),
- Continuous time evolution,
- Spatially correlated large and small-scale-fading,
- Transitions between varying propagation scenarios

The QuaDRiGa approach can be understood as a “statistical ray-tracing model”. Unlike the classical ray tracing approach, it does not use an exact geometric representation of the environment but distributes the positions of the scattering clusters (the sources of indirect signals such as buildings or trees) randomly. A simplified overview of the model is depicted in Figure 3. For each path, the model derives the angle of departure (the angle between the transmitter and the scattering cluster), the angle of arrival (the angle between the receiver and the scattering cluster) and the total path length which results in a delay  $\tau$  of the signal. For the sake of simplicity, only two paths are shown in the figure.




Figure 2: Simplified overview of the modeling approach used in QuaDRiGa

Terrestrial and Satellite scenarios can be modeled. For “Satellite to Earth” communication the angle of departure is identical for all clusters. The concept behind the model allows also the modeling of scenarios such as

- Earth to satellite
- Satellite systems with complementary ground components (CGC): Using several transmitters at different positions and simulating all propagation paths in one setup is supported.

The analysis of these scenarios was not in the scope of the MIMO over satellite (MIMOSA) project. This feature is not tested and especially no parameter sets are available yet.

In the following, the terms cluster, scattering cluster and scatterer are used synonymously. A cluster describes an area where many scattering events occur simultaneously, e.g. at the foliage of trees or at a rough building wall. In QuaDRiGa, each scattering cluster is approximated by 20 individual scatterers. Each one is modeled by a single reflection. The 20 signals can be resolved in spatial domain where they have a typical angular spread of 1-6°. However, they cannot be resolved in delay domain. Therefore, in the output of the channel model, these 20 signals (also named sub-paths) are combined into a single signal which is represented by a path. The difference to Rayleigh fading models, which use wide sense stationary uncorrelated scattering (WSSUS) taps instead of paths, is that each path has a very limited angular spread (1-6°) which also results in a narrow Doppler spectrum. The terms path, multipath component (MPC) and tap are also used synonymously in the QuaDRiGa documentation.

To emulate a rich scattering environment with a wider angular spread, many scattering clusters are created. QuaDRiGa has not upper limit for the amount of supported scattering clusters. However, depending on the angular spread and the amount of diffuse scattering (which is approximated by discrete clusters in QuaDRiGa), typical values are around 10 cluster for LOS propagation and 20 clusters for non-LOS. The positioning of the clusters is controlled by the environment angular spread and the delay spread. The environment angular spread has values of around 20-90° and is typically much larger than the per-cluster angular spread. However, even with many clusters, the Doppler spread is narrower in QuaDRiGa than when assuming pure Rayleigh fading. This is also in line with measurement results. It can be observed in the field that the main components arrive from selected angles and the classical Doppler spectrum's “Jakes” or Butterworth filter shaped characteristics are only valid as long term average and not valid for a short time interval.

To summarize:

- A typical propagation environment for channels at a carrier frequency below 6 GHz requires 8-20 clusters.
- Internally, each cluster is represented by 20 sub-paths, resulting in 160 - 400 sub-paths in total.
- Each sub-path is modeled as a single reflection.
- The 160 - 400 sub-paths are weighted by the antenna response. The 20 sub-paths for each cluster are summed up which results in 8-20 paths.
- For a MIMO system with multiple antennas at the transmitter and receiver, each path has as many channel coefficients, as there are antenna pairs. Hence, at the output, there are  $n_{Path} \cdot n_{Rx} \cdot n_{Tx}$  channel coefficients.

## 1.4 Continuous time evolution

QuaDRiGa calculates the channel for each defined reception point. To generate a “time series” a continuous track of reception points can be defined. The arrival angles of the sub-paths play a crucial role for the time evolution because the phase changes are calculated deterministically based on the arrival angles. This results in a realistic Doppler spectrum.

The temporal evolution of the channel is modeled by two effects:

- drifting and
- birth and death of clusters.

Drifting (see Section 3.4) occurs within a small area (about 20-30 m diameter) in which a specific cluster can be seen from the MT. Within this area the cluster position is fixed. Due to the mobility of the terminal the path length (resulting in a path delay) and arrival angles change slowly.

Longer time-evolving channel sequences need to consider the birth and death of scattering clusters as well as transitions between different propagation environments. We address this by splitting the MT trajectory into segments. A segment can be seen as an interval in which the LSPs, e.g. the delay and angular spread, do not change considerably and where the channel keeps its **wide-sense stationary** (WSS) properties. Thus, the length of a segment depends on the decorrelation distances of the LSPs. We propose to limit the segment length to the average decorrelation distance. Typical values are around 20 m for LOS and 45 m for NLOS propagation. In the case where a state does not change over a long time, adjacent segment must have the same state. For example, a 200 m NLOS segment should be split into at least 4 NLOS sub-segments.

A set of clusters is generated independently for each segment. However, since the propagation channel does not change significantly from segment to segment, we need to include correlation. This is done by correlating the LSPs from segment to segment. For example, measurements show that the shadow fading (the average signal attenuation due to building, trees, etc.) is correlated over up to 100 m. Hence, we call all channel characteristics showing similarly slow changes LSPs.

With a segment length of 20 m, two neighboring segments of the same state will have similar receive-power. To get the correct correlation, QuaDRiGa correlates the shadow fading for a large area. This approach also contains cross-correlations to other LSPs such as the delay spread. For example, a shorter delay spread might result in a higher received power. Hence, there is a positive correlation between power and delays spread which is also included.

To get a continuous time-series of channel coefficients requires that the paths from different segments are combined at the output of the model. In between two segments clusters from the old segment disappear and new clusters appear. This is modeled by merging the channel coefficients of adjacent segments. The active time of a scattering cluster is confined within the combined length of two adjacent segments. The power of clusters from the old segment is ramped down and the power of new clusters is ramped up within the overlapping region of the two segments. The combination clusters to ramp up and down is modeled by a statistical process. Due to this approach, there are no sudden changes in the LSPs. For example, if the delay spread in the first segment is 400 ns and in the second it is 200 ns, then in the overlapping region, the delay spread (DS) slowly decreases till it reaches 200 ns. However, this requires a careful setup of the segments along the used trajectory. If the segments are too short, sudden changes cannot be excluded. This process is described in detail in Section 3.8.

## 1.5 QuaDRiGa Program Flow

For a propagation environment (e.g. urban, suburban, rural or tree-shadowing) typical channel characteristics are described by statistics of the LSPs. Those are the median and the standard deviation of the delay spread, angular spreads, shadow fading, Ricean K-Factor, as well as correlations between them. Additional parameters describe how fast certain properties of the channel change (i.e. the decorrelation distance). Those parameters are stored in configuration files which can be edited by the model user. Normally, the parameters are extracted from channel measurements. A detailed description of the model steps can be found Section 3.

1. The user of the model needs to configure the network layout. This includes:
  - Setting the transmitter position (e.g. the BS positions or the satellite orbital position)
  - Defining antenna properties for the transmitter and the receiver
  - Defining the user trajectory
  - Defining states (or segments) along the user trajectory
  - Assigning a propagation environment to each state

Defining the user trajectory, states along the user trajectory and related parameters is performed by the state sequence generator (SSG). In the current implementation different SSGs are available:

- Manual definition of all parameters by the user, e.g. definition of short tracks.
  - Statistical model for the “journey”. A simple model (mainly designed for demonstration and testing purpose is included in the tutorial “satellite\_channel”)
  - Derive trajectory and state sequence from the measurement data.
2. Configuration files define the statistical properties of the LSPs. For each state (also called scenario) a set of properties is provided. Typically two configurations files are used.
    - One for the “good state” (also called LOS scenario)
    - The other for the “bad state” (NLOS scenario).

For each state QuaDRiGa generates correlated “maps” for each LSP. For example, the delay spread in the file is defined as log-normal distributed with a range from 40 to 400 ns. QuaDRiGa translates this distribution in to a series of discrete values, e.g. 307 ns for segment 1, 152 ns for segment 2, 233 ns for segment 3 and so on. This is done for all LSPs.

3. The trajectory describes the position of the MT. For each segment of the trajectory, clusters are calculated according to the values of the LSPs at the MT position. The cluster positions are random within the limits given by the LSP. For example, a delay spread of 152 ns limits the distance between the clusters and the terminal.
4. Each cluster is split into 20 sub-paths and the arrival angles are calculated for each sub-path and for each positions of the terminal on the trajectory.
5. The antenna response for each of the arrival angles is calculated (the same holds for the departure angles). If there is more than one antenna at the transmitter- and/or receiver side, the calculation is repeated for each antenna.
6. The phases are calculated based on the position of the terminal antennas in relation to the clusters. The terminal trajectory defines how the phases change. This results in the Doppler spread.
7. The coefficients of the 20 sub-paths are summed (the output are paths). If there is more than one antenna and depending on the phase, this sum results in a different received power for each antenna-pair. At this point, the MIMO channel response is created.
8. The channel coefficients of adjacent segments are combined (merged). This includes the birth/death process of clusters. Additionally, different speeds of the terminal can be emulated by interpolation of the channel coefficients.
9. The channel coefficients together with the path delays are formatted and returned to the user for further analysis.

### 1.6 Description of modeling of different reception conditions by means of a typical drive course

This section describes some of the key features of the model using a real world example. A detailed introduction with a variety of tutorials, test cases and interface descriptions then follows in section 4. The later part of the document then focusses on the mathematical models behind the software and the assumptions made.




Figure 3: Typical driving course: From home to woodland parking site on the village outskirts

The different effects along the track can be summarized as follows:

1. Start environment: Urban, LOS reception of satellite signal
2. LOS  $\rightarrow$  NLOS change
3. NLOS  $\rightarrow$  LOS change
4. Turning off without change in reception condition (LOS)
5. Stopping at traffic light (LOS)
6. Turning off with change of reception condition (LOS  $\rightarrow$  NLOS)
7. Crossing side street (NLOS  $\rightarrow$  short LOS  $\rightarrow$  NLOS)
8. Structural change in the environment without a change in the environment type (higher density of buildings but still the environment remains urban)
9. Stopping at traffic lights (NLOS)
10. Houses have the same characteristics as before but are further away from the street (urban environment with different reception characteristics)
11. Change of environment (Urban  $\rightarrow$  Forest)
12. Turning off without change of environment (NLOS)

Each simulation run in QuaDRiGa is done in three (and an optional fourth) step.

1. Set up tracks, scenarios, antennas and network layout
2. Generate correlated LSPs
3. Calculate the channel coefficients
4. Post-processing (optional)

Those steps also need to be done for the above scenario. However, different aspects of the track are handled in different parts of the model. Additionally, the QuaDRiGa model supports two operating modes for handling the LSPs:

1. The first (default) mode generates the correlated LSPs automatically based on a scenario-specific parameter set. This is done in step 2 and involves so called parameter maps.
2. The manual mode does not generate LSPs automatically. Here, the user has to supply a list of parameters to the model. The step 2 thus to be implemented by the user.

Steps 1, 3 and 4 are identical for both modes. The following list describes the modeling of the observed effects along the track when using the automatic mode (1).

### 1. **Start environment: Urban, LOS reception of satellite signal**

Each segment along the track gets assigned an environment. In the QuaDRiGa terminology, this is called a scenario. E.g. the first segment on the track is in the "Satellite-LOS-Urban"-scenario. The selection of the scenario is done during the first step (set up tracks, scenarios, antennas and network layout). After the model setup, the "automatic mode" generates a set of LSPs for this segment. I.e. the second step of the model calculates one value for each of the 7 LSPs. The third step then calculates a time-series of fading coefficients.

### 2. **LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS change**

A scenario change is defined along the track. E.g. the second segment along the track gets assigned the scenario "Satellite-NLOS-Urban". Now, a second set of LSPs is generated for all "Satellite-NLOS-Urban"-segments. We get a set of channel coefficients with different properties (e.g. more multipath components, lower K-Factor etc.). A smooth transition between the coefficients from the first segment and the second is realized by the ramping down the powers of the clusters of the old segment and ramping up the power of the new. This is implemented in step 4 (Post-processing).

### 3. **NLOS $\rightarrow$ LOS change**

This is essentially the same as in point 2. However, since the third segment is also in the scenario

”Satellite-LOS-Urban”, the parameters are extracted from the same spatially correlated generators as for the starting segment.

#### 4. **Turning off without change in reception condition (LOS)**

QuaDRiGa supports free 3D-trajectories for the receiver. Thus, no new segment is needed - the terminal stays in the same segment as in point 3. However, we assume that the receive antenna is fixed to the terminal. Thus, if the car turns around, so does the antenna. Hence, the arrival angles of all clusters, including the direct path, change. This is modeled by a time-continuous update of the angles, delays and phases of each multipath component, also known as drifting. Due to the change of the arrival angles and the path-lengths, the terminal will also see a change in its Doppler-profile.

#### 5. **Stopping at traffic light (LOS)**

QuaDRiGa performs all internal calculations at a constant speed. However, a stop of the car at a traffic light is realized by interpolating the channel coefficients in an additional post processing step (step 4). Here, the user needs to supply a movement profile that defines all acceleration, deceleration or stopping points along the track. An example is given in section 4.8. Since the interpolation is an independent step, it makes no difference if the mobile terminal is in LOS or NLOS conditions.

#### 6. **Turning off with change of reception condition (LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS)**

This is realized by combining the methods of point 2 (scenario change) and point 4 (turning without change). The scenario change is directly in the curve. Thus, the LOS and the NLOS segments have an overlapping part where the cluster powers of the LOS segment ramp down and the NLOS clusters ramp up. The update of the angles, delays and phases is done for both segments in parallel.

#### 7. **Crossing side street (NLOS $\rightarrow$ short LOS $\rightarrow$ NLOS)**

This is modeled by two successive scenario changes (NLOS-LOS and LOS-NLOS). For both changes, a new set of clusters is generated. However, since the parameters for the two NLOS-segments are extracted from the same map, they will be highly correlated. Thus, the two NLOS segments will have similar properties.

#### 8. **Structural change in the environment without a change in the environment type** (higher density of buildings but still the environment remains urban)

This is not explicitly modeled. However, the ”Satellite-NLOS-Urban” scenario covers a typical range of parameters. E.g. in a light NLOS area, the received power can be some dB higher compared to an area with denser buildings. The placement of light/dense areas on the map is random. Thus, different characteristics of the same scenario are modeled implicit. They are covered by the model, but the user has no influence on where specific characteristics occur on the map when using the automatic mode. An alternative would be to manually overwrite the automatically generated parameters or use the manual mode. In order to update the LSPs and use a new set of parameters, a new segment needs to be created. In this example, an environment change from ”Satellite-NLOS-Urban” to the same ”Satellite-NLOS-Urban” has to be created. Thus, a new set of LSPs is read from the map and new clusters are generated accordingly.

#### 9. **Stopping at traffic lights (NLOS)**

This is the same as in point 5.

#### 10. **Structural change in environment**

Houses have the same characteristics as before but are further away from the street (urban environment with different reception characteristics). This is the same as point 8.

#### 11. **Change of environment (Urban $\rightarrow$ Forest)**

This is the same as in point 2. The segment on the track gets assigned the scenario ”Satellite-Forest” and a third set of maps (15-21) is generated for the ”Satellite-Forest”-segment. The parameters are drawn from the corresponding scenario distributions, new channel coefficients are calculated and the powers of the clusters are ramped up/down.

## 12. Turning off without change of environment (NLOS)

Same as in point 4.

### 1.7 Compatibility with 3GPP models

This section provides an overview of the implemented 3GPP 36.873 [8] and 3GPP 38.901 [9] model components. Some modifications were made in order to make the models consistent. These modifications are described in the technical documentation (Section 3). 3GPP calibration results are reported in Section 5.

In addition to the *small-scale-fading (SSF)* model, which is in large parts identical to the WINNER+ model, 3GPP-3D specifies an antenna model, deployment scenarios, as well as path-loss models and parameter tables for urban-microcell (UMi) and urban-macrocell (UMa) deployments. All essential parts of the 3GPP-3D model have been implemented in QuaDRiGa as well. However, there are some differences between the two models which are explained in the following:

#### Differences between QuaDRiGa and the 3GPP-3D model

- **Coordinate system**

The 3GPP-3D coordinate system is defined with respect to a spherical coordinate system where the zenith angle  $\theta = 0^\circ$  points to the zenith and  $\theta = 90^\circ$  points to the horizon. QuaDRiGa uses the geographic coordinate system where the elevation angle  $\theta = 90^\circ$  points to the zenith and  $\theta = 0^\circ$  points to the horizon. The conversion between the two is straight forward. To avoid confusion between the coordinate systems, 3GPP uses the term “zenith”, i.e. zenith angle of arrival (ZoA), zenith angle of departure (ZoD), zenith angle spread of arrival (ZSA), zenith angle spread of departure (ZSD), while QuaDRiGa uses the term “elevation”, i.e. elevation angle of arrival (EoA), elevation angle of departure (EoD), elevation angle spread of arrival (ESA), elevation angle spread of departure (ESD).

- **Delays and path powers**

3GPP-3D uses a heuristically determined Ricean K-factor dependent scaling constant in order to adjust the delays in LOS scenarios (see [8], pp. 25). QuaDRiGa solves this differently by first assigning delays and path powers, including the Ricean K-factor (KF) power scaling. Then, the resulting DS is calculated and the path delays are scaled to the value from the large-scale fading (LSF) model. This avoids the heuristic scaling. See Section 3.2 for details.

- **Intra-cluster delay spread**

The 3GPP-3D model splits the two strongest clusters into three sub-clusters (per cluster), with fixed delay offsets. However, when using the spatial consistency model from 3GPP 38.901 [9], the cluster-power changes as a function of the MT position. Hence, depending on where the MT is, the strongest clusters will be different. This will break the spatial consistency when for two neighboring positions, the two strongest clusters are different ones. Therefore, QuaDRiGa either splits ALL clusters into three sub-cluster or none. In both cases, spatial consistency is maintained.

- **Departure and arrival angles**

3GPP-3D obtains the individual angles from mapping the path powers to a wrapped Gaussian or wrapped Laplacian power-angular spectrum (PAS). Then, heuristically determined scaling factors are used to adjust the angular values for a different number of paths and the Ricean K-factor (see [8], pp. 26, step 7 and 8). However, this approach breaks the input-output consistency of the angular spread, i.e. the angular spread calculated from the channel coefficients for an individual BS-MT link is not equal to the value given to the SSF model. Only the first-order statistics agree with each other. QuaDRiGa solves this by creating random angles, calculating the resulting angle spread, and scaling the angles to obtain the value from the LSF model (see Section 3.3).<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The 3GPP-3D method is implemented as well and can be activated in the simulation setting of QuaDRiGa. However, there

• **Polarization model**

QuaDRiGa has its own polarization model as described in Section 3.5. However, for the calibration, the model from 3GPP was used (see [8], pp. 26, step 11). The QuaDRiGa polarization model was originally introduced to correctly model ecliptic cross polarization ratios (XPRs) (e.g. for satellite channels), which is not covered well by the existing approach. The 3GPP / WINNER polarization model creates additional random phase shifts which effectively destroy ecliptic polarization in NLOS channels. These effects also change phase information in the channel coefficients - leading to a different singular-value spread in cross-polarized channels.

• **Wrapping method**

QuaDRiGa does not implement a wrapping method for large deployments. Instead, MTs are only placed inside the inner ring of the BSs, which ensures that the interference from the outer rings is correctly modeled. An additional option is to add an additional ring of BS.<sup>2</sup> However, this roughly doubles the number of BSs in the deployment (111 instead of 57), adding additional memory requirements and computation time.

**Baseline model features**

The following table lists the implemented 3GPP baseline features:

Feature	3GPP Specification	QuaDRiGa v2.0.0
Coordinate system	TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Sec. 5.1, Page 7 TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.1, Page 14  Global coordinate system: Cartesian coordinates (in units of meters) with arbitrary origin.  Local coordinate system: Spheric coordinates (elevation $\theta = 0^\circ$ points to the zenith, $\theta = 90^\circ$ points to the horizon)	Global coordinate system: Same as 3GPP.  Local coordinate system: Geographic coordinates (elevation $\theta = 90^\circ$ points to the zenith, $\theta = 0^\circ$ points to the horizon)
Antenna modeling	TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Sec. 7.1, Page 17 TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.3, Page 21	3GPP antenna models are implemented in the 'qd_arrayant' class of the channel model. The 36.873 model is named <code>3gpp-3d</code> and the 38.901 model is named <code>3gpp-mmw</code> . See 'qd_arrayant.generate' for available antenna models.
Polarized antenna modeling	TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Sec. 7.1.1, Page 19 TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.3.2, Page 23 3GPP defines two model variants	The antenna polarization model in QuaDRiGa is equivalent to 'Model-1' in 3GPP (see Section 3.5.2). 3GPP 'Model-2' is not implemented.
Pathloss models	TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Sec. 7.2.1, Page 20 TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.4.1, Page 24	Path-loss models are implemented in 'qd_builder.get_pl'. The scenario-specific parameters are specified in the configuration files in the folder 'quadriga_src\config'.
LOS probability	TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Sec. 7.2.2, Page 23 TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.4.2, Page 27	Line-Of-Sight (LOS) probability models are implemented in 'qd_layout.set_scenario'.
O2I penetration loss	TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Sec. 7.2.3, Page 24 TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.4.3, Page 27	TR 36.873 models included in path-loss formulas. TR 38.901 models implemented in 'qd_layout.gen_o2i_loss'
O2I car penetration loss	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.4.3.2, Page 29	Not implemented
Autocorrelation of shadow fading	TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Sec. 7.2.4, Page 24 TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.4.4, Page 29	Implemented by the sum-of-sinusoids method in the 'qd_sos' class. The technical documentation (Section 3.1) is outdated and not used anymore in QuaDRiGa 2.0

is no difference in the calibration results.

<sup>2</sup>There is an automatic function `qd_layout.generate('regular', 37)` for this.

Feature	3GPP Specification	QuaDRiGa v2.0.0
Fast fading model	<p>TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Sec. 7.3, Page 24                      TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.5, Page 29</p> <p>Baseline fast fading model.</p> <p>An alternative model is used for spatial consistency and multi-frequency simulations in TR 38.901.</p>	<p>Baseline model is not used by default! Enable by:</p> <pre>qd_simulation_parameters.use_spherical_waves = 0 qd_simulation_parameters.use_geometric_polarization = 0</pre> <p>Some modifications have been made:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Matrix square-root is used instead of Cholesky decomposition for inter-parameter LSF correlation</li> <li>2. Cluster delays and powers are calculated as described in Section 3.2</li> <li>3. Cluster angles are calculated as described in Section 3.3</li> <li>4. Intra-cluster DS is applied to all clusters</li> </ol>
NLOS polarization model	<p>TR 36.873 v12.5.0, Page 35, Step 10                      TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Page 35, Step 10</p>	<p>See Section 3.5. 3GPP polarization model is not used by default, but can be enabled by:</p> <pre>qd_simulation_parameters.use_geometric_polarization = 0</pre>

### Additional 3GPP 38.901 modeling components

In addition to the baseline features, 3GPP 38.901 specifies so-called additional features. The implementation status is as follows:

Feature	3GPP Specification	QuaDRiGa v2.0.0
Oxygen absorption	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.1, Page 43	Not implemented
Large bandwidth and large antenna array	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.2, Page 43	Large array antenna functionality is implemented and used by default. Large bandwidth extension is not implemented.
Spatial consistency procedure	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.3.1, Page 45	Implemented by the sum-of-sinusoids method in the 'qd_sos' class. Requires alternative fast fading model (which is based on UT mobility modeling procedure B and multi-frequency simulations).
Spatially-consistent UT mobility modeling	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.3.2, Page 46 3GPP defines two procedures (A and B)	QuaDRiGa uses drifting (see Section 3.4) for UT mobility modeling. This is similar to 3GPP procedure A, but not the same. 3GPP procedure B is implemented as well. See 'spatial_consistency' tutorial for details.
Spatially-consistent LOS/NLOS/indoor states and O2I parameters	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.3.3, Page 50	Not implemented
Blockage	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.3, Page 52	Not implemented
Multi-frequency simulations	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.5, Page 57	Alternative channel generation method is implemented and enabled by default. See tutorial 'multi_frequency_simulations' for details.
Time-varying Doppler shift	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.6, Page 60	QuaDRiGa uses drifting (see Section 3.4) which includes time-varying Doppler shifts. Variable MT speeds can be achieved as well. See tutorials 'time_evolution' and 'speed_profile_interpolation' for details.
UT rotation	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.7, Page 60	Implemented. Can be controlled by the properties 'ground_direction' and 'height_direction' of the 'qd_track' class.
Explicit ground reflection model	TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.6.8, Page 60	Implemented with minor modification. See [11] and tutorial 'ground_reflection' for details..

## 2 Software Structure

### 2.1 Overview

QuaDRiGa is implemented in MATLAB / Octave using an object oriented framework. The user interface is built upon classes which can be manipulated by the user. Each class contains fields to store data and methods to manipulate the data.

It is important to keep in mind that all classes in QuaDRiGa are “handle”-classes. This significantly reduces memory usage and speeds up the calculations. However, **all MATLAB variable names assigned to QuaDRiGa objects are pointers**. If you copy a variable (i.e. by assigning “**b = a**”), only the pointer is copied. “**a**” and “**b**” point to the same object in memory. If you change the values of “**b**”, the value of “**a**” is changed as well. This is somewhat different to the typical MATLAB behavior and might cause errors if not considered properly. Copying a QuaDRiGa object can be done by “**b = a.copy**”.

- **User input**

The user inputs (Point 1 in the programm flow) are provided through the classes: “`qd_simulation_parameters`”, “`qd_arrayant`”, “`qd_track`”, and “`qd_layout`”.

“`qd_simulation_parameters`” defines the general settings such as the center frequency and the sample density. It also enables and disables certain features of the model such as geometric polarization, spherical waves, and progress bars.

“`qd_arrayant`” combines all functions needed to describe array antennas.

“`qd_track`” is used to define user trajectories, states and segments.

“`qd_layout`” combines the tracks and antenna properties together with further parameters such as satellite positions (e.g. for simulations including satellites).

- **Internal processing**

Internal processing steps are done by the classes “`qd_sos`” and “`qd_builder`”.

“`qd_sos`” is responsible for generating spatially correlated random variables based on the sum-of-sinusoids method.

“`qd_builder`” creates the channel coefficients. It is responsible for generating LSPs for the cluster generation, the cluster generation, and the MIMO channels. It implements steps 2-7 of the program flow.

- **Model output**

The final two steps (8 and 9) of the program flow are implemented in the class “`qd_channel`”. Objects of this class hold the data for the channel coefficients. The class also implements the channel merger, which creates long time evolving sequences out of the snapshots produced by the channel builder. Additional functions such as the transformation into frequency domain can help the user to further process the data.

An overview of the model software is depicted in Fig. 4. The unified modeling language (UML) class diagram of the QuaDRiGa channel model gives an overview of all the classes, methods and properties of the model. The class diagram serves as a reference for the following descriptions which also lists the methods that implement a specific functionality.




Figure 4: UML class diagram of the model software.

## 2.2 Description of Classes, Properties, and Methods

In the following, all properties and methods of the QuaDRiGa classes are described. For the methods, input and output variables are defined and explained. There are three types of methods: Standard methods require an instance of a class. They are printed in black without the class name:

<code>par = generate_parameters ( overlap, usage, check_parfiles, verbose )</code>	
Calling object	Single object
Description	Method description

Static methods can be called directly from the command line without creating an instance of the class first. They are printed in blue:

<code>[ h_array, mse, mse_pat ] = qd_array.import_pattern ( fVi, fHi )</code>	
Calling object	None (static method)
Description	Method description

The constructor is a special method that is called when the class name is used as a function, e.g. when calling `a = qd_array('dipole')`. There is only one constructor for each class. They are printed in blue.

<code>h_array = qd_array ( array_type, phi_3dB, theta_3dB, rear_gain )</code>	
Calling object	None (constructor)
Description	Method description

In addition, methods can either be called on single objects of a class or arrays of objects of the same class. This is specified by the field “Calling object”. It can have three options:

- None (for constructors and static methods)
- Scalar object (method can only be called on single objects of a class)
- Object array (method can be called on single objects and arrays of objects of the same class)

Note that calls to object arrays work different in MATLAB and Octave. The following code works in MATLAB only:

```

1 a = qd_arrayant; % Create arrayant object
2 a(2) = qd_arrayant; % Create another arrayant object ("a" now has two elements)
3 a(2).name = 'test'; % Assign name to second object (fails in Octave)
4 b = a.copy; % Copy the entire object array (fails in Octave)

```

Octave 4.0 and 4.2 have limited support for classes and contain some bugs. Line 3 fails because linear indexing of object arrays is buggy in those versions. Line 4 fails because the calling method is not implemented. The following code works on both platforms, MATLAB and Octave:

```

1 a = qd_arrayant; % Create arrayant object
2 a(1,2) = qd_arrayant; % Always use correct array indexing in Octave
3 a(1,2).name = 'test'; % Assign name (works in Octave due to array indexing)
4 b = copy(a); % Call the copy method and provide "a" as input

```

### 2.2.1 Class “qd\_simulation\_parameters”

This class controls the simulation options and calculates constants for other classes.

#### Properties

sample_density	The number of samples per half-wave length Sampling density describes the number of samples per half-wave length. To fulfill the sampling theorem, the minimum sample density must be 2. For smaller values, interpolation of the channel for variable speed is not possible. On the other hand, high values significantly increase the computing time significantly. A good value is around 2.5.
samples_per_meter	Samples per meter This parameter is linked to the sample density by $f_s = 2 \cdot f_C \cdot \frac{SD}{c}$ where $f_C$ is the carrier frequency in Hz, SD is the sample density and c is the speed of light.
center_frequency	Center frequency in [Hz]
use_absolute_delays	Returns absolute delays in channel impulse response (CIR). By default, delays are calculated such that the LOS delay is normalized to 0. By setting 'use_absolute_delays' to 1 or 'true', the absolute path delays are included in 'qd_channel.delays' at the output of the model.
use_random_initial_phase	Initializes each path with a random initial phase By default, each path is initialized with a random phase (except the LOS path and the optional ground reflection). Setting "use_random_initial_phase" to false disables this function. In this case, each path gets initialized with a zero-phase.
use_spherical_waves	Enables or disables spherical waves <b>spherical_waves = 0</b> This method applies rotating phasors to each path which emulates time varying Doppler characteristics. However, the large-scale parameters (departure and arrival angles, shadow fading, delays, etc.) are not updated in this case. This mode requires the least computing resources and may be preferred when only short linear tracks (up to several cm) are considered and the distance between transmitter and receiver is large. The phases at the array antennas are calculated by a planar wave approximation. <b>spherical_waves = 1 (default)</b> This option uses spherical waves at both ends, the transmitter and the receiver. This method uses a multi-bounce model where the departure and arrival angles are matched such that the angular spreads stay consistent.
use_geometric_polarization	Select the polarization rotation method <b>use_geometric_polarization = 0</b> Uses the polarization method from 3GPP. No polarization rotation is calculated. The polarization transfer matrix contains random phasors scaled to match the XPR. <b>use_geometric_polarization = 1 (default)</b> Uses the polarization rotation with an additional phase offset between the H and V component of the NLOS paths. The offset angle is calculated to match the XPR for circular polarization.
show_progressBars	Show a progress bar on the MATLAB / Octave prompt. If this doesn't work correctly, you need to enable real-time output by calling "more off".
version	Version number of the current QuaDRiGa release (constant)
speed_of_light	Speed of light (constant)
wavelength	Carrier wavelength in [m] (read only)

#### Methods

h_simpar = <a href="#">qd_simulation_parameters</a>	
Calling object	None (constructor)
Description	Creates a new 'qd_simulation_parameters' object with default settings

out = copy		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Creates a copy of the handle class object or array of objects. While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of the object array (in case obj is an array of object handles), copy checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same object and keeps this information.	
Output	out	Copy of the current object or object array

set_speed ( speed_kmh, sampling_rate_s )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	This method can be used to automatically calculate the sample density for a given mobile speed	
Input	speed_kmh sampling_rate_s	speed in [km/h] channel update rate in [s]

### 2.2.2 Class “qd\_arrayant”

This class combines all functions to create and edit array antennas. An array antenna is a set of single antenna elements, each having a specific beam pattern, that can be combined in any geometric arrangement. A set of synthetic arrays that allow simulations without providing your own antenna patterns is provided (see generate method for more details).

#### Properties

name	Name of the array antenna
no_elements	Number of antenna elements in the array Increasing the number of elements creates new elements which are initialized as copies of the first element. Decreasing the number of elements deletes the last elements from the array.
elevation_grid	Elevation angles (phi) in [rad] where samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the elevation sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ (downwards) to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (upwards).
azimuth_grid	Azimuth angles (theta) in [rad] where samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the azimuth sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\pi$ to $\pi$ .
element_position	Position of the antenna elements in local cartesian coordinates (using units of [m])
Fa	The first component of the antenna pattern contains the vertical component of the electric field given in spherical coordinates (aligned with the phi direction of the coordinate system). This variable is a tensor with dimensions [ elevation, azimuth, element-index ] describing the e-theta component of the far field of each antenna element in the array.
Fb	The second component of the antenna pattern contains the horizontal component of the electric field given in spherical coordinates (aligned with the theta direction of the coordinate system). This variable is a tensor with dimensions [ elevation, azimuth, element-index ] describing the e-phi component of the far field of each antenna element in the array.
coupling	Coupling matrix between elements This matrix describes a pre or postprocessing of the signals that are fed to the antenna elements. For example, in order to transmit a left hand circular polarized (LHCP) signal, two antenna elements are needed. They are then coupled by a matrix $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ j \end{pmatrix}$ The rows in the matrix correspond to the antenna elements, the columns to the signal ports. In this example, the antenna has one port, i.e. it is fed with one input signal. This signal is then split into two and fed to the two antenna elements where the second element radiates the signal with 90° phase shift. In a similar fashion, it is possible to create fixed beamforming antennas and include crosstalk between antenna elements. By default, 'coupling' is set to an identity matrix which indicates perfect isolation between the antenna elements.
no_az	Number of azimuth values
no_el	Number of elevation values

## Methods

<b>h_array = qd_arrayant ( array_type, varargin )</b>		
Calling object	None (constructor)	
Description	Creates a new array object.  The constructor calls <code>qd_arrayant.generate</code> to create new array antennas. If no input is specified, a vertically polarized omni-antenna is generated. See ' <code>qd_arrayant.generate</code> ' for a description of the input parameters and the list of supported antenna types.	

<b>append_array ( a )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Appends an array antenna to the existing one  This method appends the array antenna given in "a" to the existing array object. The antenna patterns from "a" are copied to the calling object. For example, if the calling object has 3 elements and "a" has 2 elements, the two elements are added to the calling object which now has 5 elements. Element positions and coupling factors are copied as well.	
Input	a	The array object which is appended to the current array object (scalar object).

<b>[ gain_dBi, pow_max ] = calc_gain ( i_element )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates the gain in dBi of the array antenna	
Input	i_element	A list of element indices.
Output	gain_dBi pow_max	Normalized Gain of the antenna in dBi. Maximum power in main beam direction in dBi.

<b>combine_pattern ( center_frequency )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates a virtual pattern of the given array  When the inputs of an array antenna are coupled (i.e. fed with the same signal), then it is possible to combine the elements of the array. This function calculates the virtual pattern by using the QuaDRiGa simulator. Individual coupling weights can be set in the "coupling" property of the <code>qd_arrayant</code> object. Phase offsets of the individual antenna elements due to their positions in the array ("element_position" property of the calling <code>qd_arrayant</code> object) are calculated for the phase center of the array.	
Input	center_frequency	The center frequency in [Hz]. If this input variable is not given, it is assumed that the element spacings in the "element_position" property of the calling arrayant object are given in multiples of the carrier wavelength.

<b>out = copy</b>		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Creates a copy of the handle class object or array of objects  While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of the object array (in case obj is an array of object handles), copy checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same object and keeps this information.	
Output	out	Copy of the current object or object array

<b>copy_element ( i_source, i_target )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Creates a copy of an antenna element	
Input	i_source i_target	Index of the array object that should be copied. The value must be scalar, integer and greater than 0 and it can not exceed the array size. Target can be a scalar or vector with elements > 0.

<b>par = qd_arrayant.generate ( array_type, Ain, Bin, Cin, Din, Ein, Fin, Gin, Hin, Iin, Jin )</b>		
Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	Generates predefined array antennas	
Array types	omni dipole half-wave-dipole patch	An isotropic radiator with vertical polarization. A short dipole radiating with vertical polarization. A half-wave dipole radiating with vertical polarization. A vertically polarized patch antenna with 90° opening in azimuth and elevation.

custom	An antenna with a custom gain in elevation and azimuth. The values A,B,C and D for the parametric antenna are returned.  Ain - 3dB beam width in azimuth direction Bin - 3dB beam width in elevation direction Cin - Isotropic gain (linear scale) at the back of the antenna
parametric	An antenna with the radiation pattern set to  $E\theta = A \cdot \sqrt{B + (1 - B) \cdot (\cos \theta)^C} \cdot \exp(-D \cdot \phi^2)$
multi	A multi-element antenna with adjustable electric downtilt.  Ain - Number of elements stacked in elevation direction Bin - Element spacing in [ $\lambda$ ] Cin - Electric downtilt in [deg] Din - Individual element pattern "Fa" for the vertical polarization Ein - Individual element pattern "Fb" for the horizontal polarization
3gpp-macro	An antenna with a custom gain in elevation and azimuth. See. 3GPP TR 36.814 V9.0.0 (2010-03), Table A.2.1.1-2, Page 59  Ain - Half-Power in azimuth direction (default = 70 deg) Bin - Half-Power in elevation direction (default = 10 deg) Cin - Front-to back ratio (default = 25 dB) Din - Electrical downtilt (default = 15 deg)
3gpp-3d	The antenna model for the 3GPP-3D channel model (TR 36.873, v12.5.0, pp.17).  Ain - Number of vertical elements ( $M$ ) Bin - Number of horizontal elements ( $N$ ) Cin - The center frequency in [Hz] Din - Polarization indicator <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. K=1, vertical polarization only</li> <li>2. K=1, H/V polarized elements</li> <li>3. K=1, +/-45 degree polarized elements</li> <li>4. K=M, vertical polarization only</li> <li>5. K=M, H/V polarized elements</li> <li>6. K=M, +/-45 degree polarized elements</li> </ol> Ein - The electric downtilt angle in [deg] for Din = 4,5,6 Fin - Element spacing in [ $\lambda$ ], Default: 0.5
3gpp-mmw	Antenna model for the 3GPP-mmWave channel model (TR 38.901, v14.1.0, pp.21). The parameters "Ain" - "Fin" are identical to the above model for the "3gpp-3d" channel model. Additional parameters are:  Gin - Number of nested panels in a column ( $Mg$ ) Hin - Number of nested panels in a row ( $Ng$ ) Iin - Panel spacing in vertical direction ( $dg, V$ ) in [ $\lambda$ ], Default: 0.5 $M$ Jin - Panel spacing in horizontal direction ( $dg, H$ ) in [ $\lambda$ ], Default: 0.5 $N$
xpol	Two elements with ideal isotropic patterns (vertical polarization). The second element is slanted by 90°.
rhcp-dipole	Two crossed dipoles with one port. The signal on the second element (horizontal) is shifted by -90° out of phase. The two elements thus create a <b>right hand circular polarized (RHCP)</b> signal.
lhcp-dipole	Two crossed dipoles with one port. The signal on the second element (horizontal) is shifted by 90° out of phase. The two elements thus create a <b>left hand circular polarized (LHCP)</b> signal.
lhcp-rhcp-dipole	Two crossed dipoles. For input port 1, the signal on the second element is shifted by +90° out of phase. For input port 2, the the signal on the second element is shifted by -90° out of phase. Port 1 thus transmits a LHCP signal and port 2 transmits a RHCP signal.
ula2	Uniform linear arrays composed of 2 omni-antennas (vertical polarization) with 10 cm element spacing.

	ula4	Uniform linear arrays composed of 4 omni-antennas (vertical polarization) with 10 cm element spacing.
	ula8	Uniform linear arrays composed of 8 omni-antennas (vertical polarization) with 10 cm element spacing.
Input	array_type Ain - Jin	One of the above array types. Additional parameters for the array antenna (see above).
Output	par	The parameters A, B, C, and D for the "parametric" antenna type.

[ V, H, CP, dist ] = **interpolate** ( azimuth, elevation, i\_element )

Calling object	Single object	
Description	Interpolates the field pattern  Interpolation of the beam patterns is very computing intensive. It must be performed several thousands of times during a simulation run. Therefore, optimized 2D linear interpolation is used. There are additional input parameters specified in the .m-File that are not in the list below. Those parameters correspond to the properties of the 'qd_arrayant' class. Passing those variables during the function call takes less time than reading them from the object properties. This is used internally in 'qd_builder.get_channels' but is irrelevant here.	
Input	azimuth elevation i_element	A vector of azimuth angles in [rad] A vector of elevation angles in [rad] The element indices for which the interpolation is done. If no element index is given, the interpolation is done for all elements in the array.
Output	V H dist	The interpolated vertical field pattern ( $\theta$ -component) The interpolated horizontal field pattern ( $\phi$ -component) The effective distances between the antenna elements when seen from the direction of the incident path. The distance is calculated by an projection of the array positions on the normal plane of the incident path. This is needed for the planar wave approximation.

gain\_dBi = **normalize\_gain** ( i\_element, gain )

Calling object	Single object	
Description	Normalizes all patterns to their gain	
Input	i_element gain	A list of elements for which the normalization is done. Default: All elements The gain that should be set in the pattern. If this variable is not given, the gain is calculated from the pattern
Output	gain_dBi	Normalized gain of the antenna

**rotate\_pattern** ( deg, rotaxis, i\_element, usage )

Calling object	Single object	
Description	Rotates antenna patterns  Pattern rotation provides the option to assemble array antennas out of single elements. By setting the 'element_position' property of an array object, elements can be placed at different coordinates. In order to freely design arbitrary array configurations, however, elements often need to be rotated (e.g. to assemble a +/- 45° crosspolarized array out of single dipoles). This functionality is provided here.	
Input	deg rotaxis i_element  usage	The rotation angle in [degrees] ranging from -180° to 180° The rotation axis specified by the character 'x', 'y', or 'z'. The element indices for which the rotation is done. If no element index is given, the rotation is done for all elements in the array. The optional parameter 'usage' can limit the rotation procedure either to the pattern or polarization. Possible values are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0: Rotate both (pattern+polarization) - default</li> <li>• 1: Rotate only pattern</li> <li>• 2: Rotate only polarization</li> </ul>

<b>set_grid ( azimuth_grid, elevation_grid )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Sets a new grid for azimuth and elevation and interpolates the pattern  This function replaces the properties 'azimuth_grid' and 'elevation_grid' of the antenna object with the given values and interpolates the antenna patterns to the new grid.	
Input	azimuth_grid	Azimuth angles in [rad] were samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the azimuth sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\pi$ to $\pi$ .
	elevation_grid	Elevation angles in [rad] were samples of the field patterns are provided The field patterns are given in spherical coordinates. This variable provides the elevation sampling angles in radians ranging from $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ (downwards) to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (upwards).

<b>a = sub_array ( i_element )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Generates a sub-array with the given array indices This function creates a copy of the given array with only the selected elements specified in <b>i_element</b> .	
Input	i_element	A list of element indices
Output	a	An arrayant object with the desired elements

<b>h_figures = visualize ( i_element )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Create a plot showing the element configurations	
Input	i_element	The element indices for which the plot os created. If no element index are given, a plot is created for each element in the array.
Output	h_figures	The figure handles for further processing of the images.

### 2.2.3 Class “qd\_track”

One feature of the channel model is the continuous evolution of wireless channels when the terminal moves through the environment. A track describes the movement of a mobile terminal. It is composed of an ordered list of positions. During the simulation, one snapshot is generated for each position on the track.

Along the track, wireless reception conditions may change, e.g. when moving from an area with LOS to a shaded area. This behavior is described by segments, or states. A segment is a subset of positions that have similar reception conditions. Each segment is classified by a segment index (i.e. the center position of the segment) and a scenario.

### Properties

name	Name of the track
initial_position	Position offset (will be added to positions) This position is given in global cartesian coordinates (x,y, and z-component) in units of [m]. The initial position normally refers to the starting point of the track. If the track has only one segment, it is also the position for which the LSPs are calculated. The initial position is added to the values in the positions variable.
no_snapshots	Number of positions on the track
positions	Ordered list of positions relative to the initial position QuaDRiGa calculates an instantaneous channel impulse response (also called snapshot) for each position on the track.
movement_profile	Time (in sec) vs. distance (in m) for speed profile QuaDRiGa supports variable terminal speeds. This is realized by interpolating the channel coefficients at the output of the model. The variable 'track.movement_profile' describes the movement along the track by associating a time-point with a distance-point on the track. An example is:  <pre> 1 t.movement_profile = [ 0,7 ; 5,0 ; 6,0 ; 20,20 ]'; 2 dist = t.interpolate_movement( 1e-3 ); 3 ci = cn.interpolate( dist , t.get_length ); </pre> See also the tutorial “Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation)” for more details.

no_segments	Number of segments or states along the track
segment_index	Starting point of each segment given as index in the 'positions' vector
scenario	Scenarios for each segment along the track This variable contains the scenario names for each segment as a cell array of strings. A list of supported scenarios can be obtained by calling 'qd_builder.supported_scenarios'. If there is only one transmitter (i.e. one base station), the cell array has the dimension [1 x no_segments]. For multiple transmitters, the rows of the array may contain different scenarios for each transmitter. For example, in a multicell setup with three terrestrial base stations, the propagation conditions may be different to all BSs. Hence, cell arrays have a dimension [3 x no_segments].
par	Manual parameter settings This variable contains a structure with LSPs. This can be used for assigning LSPs directly to the channel builder, e.g. when they are obtained from measurements. The structure contains the following fields: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ds - The delay spread in [s] per segment</li> <li>• kf - The Ricean K-Factor in [dB] per snapshot</li> <li>• pg - The effective path gain in [dB] excluding antenna gains per snapshot</li> <li>• asD - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter</li> <li>• asA - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver</li> <li>• esD - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter</li> <li>• esA - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver</li> <li>• xpr - The NLOS cross-polarization in [dB] per segment</li> <li>• o2i_loss - The outdoor-to-indoor penetration loss in [dB] per segment</li> </ul> If there is only a subset of variables (e.g. the angle spreads are missing), then the corresponding fields can be left empty. They will be completed by the builder class. Dimensions of the data fields for ds, asD, asA, esD, esA, xpr, o2i_loss are [ nBS, nSegment, nFreq ]. Dimensions of the data fields for kf and pg are [ nBS, nSnapshot, nFreq ].
ground_direction	Azimuth orientation of the terminal antenna for each snapshot This variable can be calculated automatically from the positions by the function 'track.compute_directions'.
height_direction	Elevation orientation of the terminal antenna for each snapshot
closed	Indicates that the track is a closed curve

## Methods

**h\_track = qd\_track ( track\_type, varargin )**

Calling object	None (constructor)
Description	Creates a new track object The constructor calls <b>qd_track.generate</b> to create new tracks. If no input is specified, a linear track with 1 m length is generated. See 'qd_track.generate' for a description of the input parameters and the list of supported antenna types.

### compute\_directions

Calling object	Object array
Description	Calculates ground and height orientations from positions  This function calculates the orientations of the terminal based on the positions. If we assume that the receive array antenna is fixed on a car and the car moves along the track, then the antenna turns with the car when the car is changing direction. This needs to be accounted for when generating the channel coefficients. This function calculates the orientation based on the positions and stored the output in the <b>ground_direction</b> and <b>height_direction</b> field of the track object.

### out = copy

Calling object	Object array
Description	Creates a copy of the handle class object or array of objects. While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of the object array (in case obj is an array of object handles), copy checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same object and keeps this information.
Output	out      Copy of the current object or object array

<b>correct_overlap</b> ( overlap )		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	<p>Corrects positions of the segment start to account for the overlap.</p> <p>After the channel coefficients are calculated, adjacent segments can be merged into a time-continuous output. The merger assumes that the merging interval happens at the end of one segment, before a new segments starts. In reality, however, the scenario change happens in the middle of the overlapping part (and not at the end of it). This function corrects the position of the segment start to account for that.</p>	
Input	overlap	The length of the overlapping part relative to the segment length. It can have values in between 0 (no overlap) and 1 (ramp along the entire segment). The default value is 0.5. You need to make sure that the same value is used when calling "qd_channel.merge".

**h\_track = qd\_track.generate** ( track\_type, track\_length, direction, street\_length\_min, street\_length\_mu, street\_length\_std, curve\_radius, turn\_probability )

Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	<p>Generate tracks</p> <p>This function creates tracks with specific properties. Currently supported are "linear", "circular" and "street".</p>	
Track types	linear	Creates a linear track with given length and direction. Direction describes the travel direction along the track in [rad] in mathematical sense (i.e. 0 means east, pi/2 means north, pi means west and -pi/2 south). If "track_length" or "direction" is not specified, then the default track is 1 m long and has a random direction.
	circular	Creates a circular track with given length and starting-direction. Direction defines the starting point on the circle in [rad]. Positive values define the travel direction as counter clock-wise and negative values as clock-wise. E.g. 0 sets the start point in the east of the circle, traveling north; -2π sets it in the east, traveling south. The default is random.
	street	Emulates a drive route through a city grid. The mobile terminal starts at point 0, going into a specified direction. The trajectory grid is build from street segments. The length of each street is specified by the parameters 'street_length_min', 'street_length_mu', and 'street_length_sigma'. At the end of a street (i.e. at a crossing), the terminal turns with a probability specified by 'turn_probability'. The change of direction is in between 75 and 105 degrees either left or right. The radius of the curve is given by 'curve_radius'. The track is set up in a way that prevents driving in circles.
Input	track_type track_length direction  street_length_min street_length_mu  street_length_std  curve_radius turn_probability	<p>The type of the track</p> <p>the length in [m]</p> <p>specifies the driving direction in [rad] of the first segment in mathematical sense (0 means east, pi/2 means north). The default value is random</p> <p>the minimal street length in [m]. The default is 50 m. (for type "street" only)</p> <p>the median street length in [m]. The default is 187 m. This value was obtained from measurements in Berlin, Germany. (for type "street" only)</p> <p>the standard deviation of the street length in [m]. The default is 83 m. This value was obtained from measurements in Berlin, Germany. (for type "street" only)</p> <p>the curve radius during a turn in [m]. The default is 10 m. (for type "street" only)</p> <p>the probability of a turn at a crossing. Possible values are in between 0 and 1. The default is 0.5. (for type "street" only)</p>
Output	h_track	A qd_track object

**[ len, dist ] = get\_length**

Calling object	Object array	
Description	Calculates the length of the track in [m]	
Output	len dist	<p>Length of a track in [m]</p> <p>Distance of each position (snapshot) from the start of the track in [m]</p>

<b>subtracks = get_subtrack ( i_segment )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Splits the track in subtracks for each segment (state)  After defining segments along the track, one needs the subtrack that corresponds only to one segment to perform the channel calculation. This new track can consist of two segments. The first segment contains the positions from the previous segment, the second from the current. This is needed to generate overlapping channel segments for the merging process. This function returns the subtracks for the given segment indices. When no input argument is provided, all subtracks are returned.	
Input	i_segment	A list of indices indicating which subtracks should be returned. By default, all subtracks are returned.
Output	subtracks	A vector of <code>qd_track</code> objects corresponding to the number of segments.

<b>dist = interpolate_movement ( si, method )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Interpolates the movement profile to a distance vector  This function interpolates the movement profile. The distance vector at the output can then be used to interpolate the channel coefficients to emulate varying speeds. See also the tutorial “Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation)”.	
Input	si method	the sampling interval in [seconds] selects the interpolation algorithm. The default is cubic spline interpolation. Optional are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• nearest - Nearest neighbor interpolation</li><li>• linear - Linear interpolation</li><li>• spline - Cubic spline interpolation</li><li>• pchip - Piecewise Cubic Hermite Interpolating Polynomial</li><li>• cubic - Cubic spline interpolation</li></ul>
Output	dist	Distance of each interpolated position from the start of the track in [m]

<b>interpolate_positions ( samples_per_meter )</b>		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Interpolates positions along the track  This function interpolates the positions along the track such that it matches the samples per meter specifies in the simulation parameters.  The channel model operates on a position-based sample grid. That means that the 'builder' generates one CIR for each position on the track. In practise, however, a time continuous evolution of the CIR is often needed. This can be interpolated from the position-based grid, provided that the spatial sample theorem is not violated (i.e. the channel needs to be sampled at least twice per half wave length). In order to do that, enough sample points are needed along the track. This function calculates the missing sample points and places them equally spaced along the track. This corresponds to a constant speed when evaluating the output CIRs. The required value for 'samples_per_meter' can be obtained from the 'qd_simulation_parameters' object.	
Input	samples_per_meter	the samples per meter (e.g. from 'qd_simulation_parameters.samples_per_meter')

<b>set_scenario ( scenario, probability, seg_length_min, seg_length_mu, seg_length_std )</b>		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Assigns random scenarios and creates segments.  This function can be used to create segments along the trajectory and assign scenarios to the segments. If there are less than 3 input arguments (i.e. only 'scenario' and/or 'probability' is given), then no segments will be created. To create segments with the default settings call 'set_scenario(scenario, [], [])'. Alternatively, it is possible to only create segments by leaving the scenario empty, e.g. by calling 'set_scenario([], [], [])'.	
Input	scenario	A cell array of scenario-names. Each scenario (synonym for propagation environment) is described by a string (e.g. "MIMOSA_16-25_LOS" or "WINNER_SMa_C1_NLOS"). A list of supported scenarios can be obtained by calling 'parameter_set.supported_scenarios'. The scenario parameters are stored in the configuration folder "config" in the QuaDRiGa main folder. The filenames (e.g. "MIMOSA_16-25_LOS.conf") also serves as scenario name.

	probability	The probability for which the scenario occurs. This parameter must be a vector of the same length as there are scenarios. Probabilities must be specified in between 0 and 1. The sum of the probabilities must be 1. By default (or when 'probability' is set to '[]'), each scenario is equally likely.
	seg_length_min	the minimal segment length in [m]. The default is 10 m.
	seg_length_mu	the median segment length in [m]. The default is 30 m.
	seg_length_std	the standard deviation of the street length in [m]. The default is 12 m.

**set\_speed ( speed )**

Calling object	Object array	
Description	Sets a constant speed in [m/s] for the entire track.  This function fills the 'track.movement_profile' field with a constant speed value. This helps to reduce computational overhead since it is possible to reduce the computation time by interpolating the channel coefficients.	
Input	speed	The terminal speed in [m/s]

**split\_segment ( mi, ma, mu, sig, no\_check )**

Calling object	Object array	
Description	Splits long segments in subsegments of the same type.	
Input	mi	Minimum length of the subsegment in [m], default: 10m
	ma	Maximum length of the subsegment in [m], must be > 2*mi, default: 30m
	mu	Mean length of the subsegment (mi < mu < ma), default: 15m
	sig	Std of the length of the subsegment, default: 5m
	no_check	Disable parsing of input variables, default: false

**2.2.4 Class "qd\_layout"**

Objects of this class define the network layout of a simulation run. Each network layout has one or more transmitters and one or more receivers. Each transmitter and each receiver need to be equipped with an array antenna which is defined by the **qd\_arrayant** class. In general, it is assumed that the transmitter is at a fixed position and the receiver is mobile. Thus, each receivers movement is described by a track.

**Properties**

name	Name of the layout
simpar	Handle of a 'qd_simulation_parameters' object. See Section 2.2.1
no_tx	Number of transmitters (or base stations)
no_rx	Number of receivers (or mobile terminals)
tx_name	Identifier of each Tx, must be unique
tx_position	Position of each Tx in global cartesian coordinates using units of [m]
tx_array	Handles of 'array' objects for each Tx. See Section 2.2.2
rx_name	Identifier of each Rx, must be unique
rx_position	Initial position of each Rx (relative to track start) in global cartesian coordinates using units of [m]
rx_array	Handles of 'array' objects for each Rx. See Section 2.2.2
track	Handles of track objects for each Rx. See Section 2.2.3
pairing	An index-list of links for which channels are created. The first row corresponds to the Tx and the second row to the Rx.
no_links	Number of links for which channel coefficients are created (read only)

**Methods**

**h\_layout = qd\_layout ( simpar )**

Calling object	None (constructor)	
Description	Creates a new <b>qd_layout</b> object.	
Input	simpar	Handle of a 'qd_simulation_parameters' object.

<b>out = copy</b>		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Creates a copy of the handle class object or array of objects. While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of the object array (in case obj is an array of object handles), copy checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same object and keeps this information.	
Output	out	Copy of the current object or object array

[ par, h\_builder ] = **gen\_lsf\_parameters** ( overlap, usage, check\_parfiles )

Calling object	Single object	
Description	<p>Generates large scale parameters and stores them in '<code>qd_layout.track.par</code>'</p> <p>Normally, parameters are handled by objects of the '<code>qd_builder</code>' class, which are generated by calling '<code>layout.init_builder</code>'. However, this method is rather inflexible when the user wants to manipulate the parameters directly. As an alternative, parameters can be provided in the property '<code>qd_layout.track.par</code>' of the '<code>qd_track</code>' class. This allows the user to edit parameters without dealing with the '<code>qd_builder</code>' objects.</p> <p>This function extracts the LSPs for the given scenario from the '<code>qd_builder</code>' class and stores them in '<code>qd_layout.track.par</code>'. Hence, it automatically generates the LSPs and, thus, implements an easy-to-use interface for the '<code>qd_builder</code>' class.</p>	
Input	overlap	<p>The length of the overlapping part relative to the segment length. When there are scenario transitions, KF and PG change smoothly during a predefined interval. The length of that interval is a percentage of the previous segment. The parameter overlap adjusts this percentage, ranging from 0 (i.e. very hard, step-like change at the scenario boundary) to 1 (very smooth, but long transition).</p>
	usage	<p>Changes the behavior of the method. Options are</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>0 - Deletes all existing parameters from the track.</li> <li>1 - Deletes all existing parameters from the track and generates new ones. Existing LSPs will be overwritten.</li> <li>2 - Keeps existing parameters, but generates missing ones. This is useful when, for example, the effective path gain (PG) is provided, but the other LSPs are unknown. In this case, the unknown "gaps" are filled with values which are generated from the provided scenario description. (Default mode)</li> </ul>
	check_parfiles	<p>check_parfiles = 0 / 1 (default: 1)</p> <p>Disables (0) or enables (1) the parsing of shortnames and the validity-check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the files are valid. In this case, this saves execution time.</p>
Output	par	<p>The automatically generated parameters.</p> <p>This cell array contains a parameter structure of the LSPs for each receiver with the following fields:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ds - The delay spread in [s] per segment</li> <li>• kf - The Ricean K-Factor in [dB] per snapshot</li> <li>• pg - The effective path gain in [dB] excluding antenna gains per snapshot</li> <li>• asD - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter</li> <li>• asA - The azimuth angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver</li> <li>• esD - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the transmitter</li> <li>• esA - The elevation angle spread in [deg] per segment at the receiver</li> <li>• xpr - The NLOS cross-polarization in [dB] per segment</li> </ul> <p>An identical copy of this variable is assigned to '<code>track.par</code>'.</p>
	h_builder	<p>An array of '<code>qd_builder</code>' objects. Rows correspond to the scenarios, columns correspond to the transmitters.</p>

o2i_loss_dB = <b>gen.o2i_loss</b> ( low_loss_fraction, SC_lambda )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	<p>Generates the outdoor-to-indoor penetration loss for the 3GPP 38.901 model</p> <p>This method generates the outdoor-to-indoor (O2I) penetration loss in [dB] for the 3GPP 38.901 channel model. The O2I-loss is specific for each terminal. In other words, if a MT is served by several BSs, the same O2I-loss applies for each BSs. The values therefore need to be generated before any other LSF or SSF parameters are generated. The automatic channel generation in 'qd_layout.get_channels' will call all functions in the correct order. For details see: 3GPP TR 38.901 v14.1.0, Sec. 7.4.3, Page 27.</p> <p>The method generates the O2I-loss and indoor 3D distance as specified by 3GPP. The values are then stored with the tracks in 'qd_layout.track.par.o2i_loss' and 'qd_layout.track.par.o2i_d3din'. These two variables are then read again by 'qd_builder.get_pl' and applied to the path-loss in the specific scenario.</p>	
Input	low_loss_fraction	3GPP TR 38.901 specifies two different formulas for the O2I-loss, one for high-loss (e.g. IRR glass and concrete) and one for low-loss (standard multi-pane glass). The variable 'low_loss_fraction' determines the likelihood of the low-loss model. Values must be between 0 (high-loss only) and 1 (low-loss only). Default-value is 0.5.
	SC_lambda	Random variables for the 'low_loss_fraction' are spatially consistent. 'SC_lambda' describes the decorrelation distance of the random generator. Default: 50 m.
Output	o2i_loss_dB	A cell array containing the results for each MT. These values are identical to the ones stored in 'qd_layout.track.par.o2i_loss'. Each cell contains an array of values, where the dimensions correspond to: [ BS, Segment, Frequency ]. For outdoor-scenarios, an empty array is returned.

h_layout = <b>qd_layout.generate</b> ( layout_type, no_sites, isd, h_arrayant, no_sectors, sec_orientation )		
Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	Generates predefined network layouts.	
Layout types	hexagonal	A hexagonal network layout with up to three rings of BSs. The first BS is at coordinates [0,0]. The first BS of each ring is placed in the (north)-east of BS1. Additional BSs are added in mathematical positive sense (counter-clockwise). Default BS height is 25 m.
	regular	Same as hexagonal. However, each BS has three sectors. The number of sites can be 1, 7, 19 or 37 - resulting in 3, 21, 57 or 111 sectors, respectively. Sector orientations are 30, 150 and -90 degrees in mathematical sense.
	regular6	Same as hexagonal, but with default settings of 6 sectors per site and sector orientations 0, 60, 120, 180, 240 and 300 degree.
	indoor	3GPP 38.901 indoor scenario. The number of sites can be given by a two-element array [ N, M ], where N denotes the number of BSs in y-direction and m in x-direction. Default BS height is 3 m.
	random	Randomly places base stations. The input parameter ISD describes the radius of the layout in [m].
Input	layout_type	The layout type (string)
	no_sites	The number of BS sites in the layout.
	isd	The inter-site distance in [m]
	h_arrayant	The array antenna object for each sector.
	no_sectors	The number of sectors per site (default: 1)
	sec_orientation	The orientation offset of the first sector in [deg]. (default: 0 deg)
Output	h_layout	The generated layout

[ h_channel, h_builder ] = <b>get_channels</b> ( sampling_rate, check_parfiles )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculate the channel coefficients.  This is the most simple way to create the channel coefficients. This function executes all steps that are needed to generate the channel coefficients. Hence, it is not necessary to use the 'qd_builder' objects.	
Input	sampling_rate	channel update rate in [s]. This parameter is only used if a speed profile is provided in the track objects. Default value: 0.01 = 10 ms
	check_parfiles	check_parfiles = 0 / 1 (default: 1) Disables (0) or enables (1) the parsing of shortnames and the validity-check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the files are valid. In this case, this saves execution time.
Output	h_channel	A vector channel objects.
	h_builder	A vector of 'qd_builder' objects.

[ h_channel, h_builder ] = <b>get_channels_seg</b> ( i_tx, i_rx, i_seg, overlap )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Returns the channel coefficients for a single segment only  This function can be used to obtain the channel coefficients for a single segment or single rx-tx combination only. Thus, the channel model can be run in "streaming-mode", where updates are provided on the fly. This can significantly reduce the memory requirements for long time-sequences.  Features: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Caching will be used to avoid multiple calculation of the same overlapping regions.</li> <li>• Preinitialization will be used to return the same coefficients for successive calls.</li> </ul>	
Input	i_tx	The index of the transmitter (e.g. the BS)
	i_rx	The index of the receiver, or track (e.g. the BS)
	i_seg	The segment indices on the the track. If it is not provided or empty, the entire track is returned. It is also possible to concat successive segments, i.e.: [1:3] or [3:5], etc.
	overlap	The overlapping fraction for the channel merger. Default is 0.5
Output	h_channel	A <b>qd_channel</b> object
	h_builder	A vector of 'qd_builder' objects

h_builder = <b>init_builder</b> ( check_parfiles )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Creates 'qd_builder' objects based on layout specification  This function processes the data in the 'qd_layout' object. First, all tracks in the layout are split into subtracks. Each subtrack corresponds to one segment. Then, then scenario names are parsed. A 'qd_builder' object is created for each scenario and for each transmitter. For example, if there are two BSs, each having urban LOS and NLOS users, then 4 'qd_builder' objects will be created (BS1-LOS, BS2-NLOS, BS2-LOS, and BS2-NLOS). The segments are then assigned to the 'qd_builder' objects.	
Input	check_parfiles	Enables (1, default) or disables (0) the parsing of shortnames and the validity-check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the files are valid. In this case, this saves some execution time.
Output	h_builder	A matrix of 'qd_builder' objects. Rows correspond to the scenarios, columns correspond to the transmitters.

[ map, x_coords, y_coords ] = <b>power_map</b> ( scenario, usage, sample_distance, x_min, x_max, y_min, y_max, rx_height, tx_power )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates a power-map for the given layout.  This function calculates receive power values in [W] on a square lattice at a height of 'rx_height' above the ground for the given layout. This helps to predict the performance for a given setup.	
Input	scenario	The scenario for which the map shall be created. There are four options: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A string describing the scenario. A list of supported scenarios can be obtained by calling 'qd_builder.supported_scenarios'.</li> <li>2. cell array of strings describing the scenario for each transmitter in the layout.</li> <li>3. A 'qd_builder' object. This method is useful if you need to edit the parameters first. For example: call 'p = qd_builder('UMal')' to load the parameters. Then edit 'p.scenpar' or 'p.plpar' to adjust the settings.</li> <li>4. An array of 'qd_builder' objects describing the scenario for each transmitter in the layout.</li> </ol>
	usage	A string specifying the detail level. The following options are implemented: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 'quick' - Uses the antenna patterns, the LOS path, and the path gain from the scenario</li> <li>• 'sf' - Uses the antenna patterns, the LOS path, the path gain from the scenario, and a shadow fading map</li> <li>• 'detailed' - Runs a full simulation for each pixel of the map (very slow)</li> <li>• 'phase' - Same as quick, but the output contains the complex-valued amplitude instead of the power</li> </ul>
	sample_distance	Distance between sample points in [m] (default = 10 m)
	x_min	x-coordinate in [m] of the top left corner
	x_max	x-coordinate in [m] of the bottom right corner
	y_min	y-coordinate in [m] of the bottom right corner
	y_max	y-coordinate in [m] of the top left corner
	rx_height	Height of the receiver points in [m] (default = 1.5 m)
	tx_power	A vector of tx-powers in [dBm] for each transmitter in the layout. This power is applied to each transmit antenna in the tx-array antenna. By default (if tx_power is not given), 0 dBm are assumed.
Output	map	A cell array containing the power map for each tx array in the layout. The power maps are given in [W] and have the dimensions [ n_y_coords , n_x_coords , n_rx_elements , n_tx_elements ].
	x_coords	Vector with the x-coordinates of the map in [m]
	y_coords	Vector with the y-coordinates of the map in [m]

<b>randomize_rx_positions</b> ( max_dist, min_height, max_height, track_length, rx_ind )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Generates random Rx positions and tracks. Places the users in the layout at random positions. Each user will be assigned a linear track with random direction. The random height of the user terminal will be in between 'min_height' and 'max_height'.	
Input	max_dist	the maximum distance from the layout center in [m]. Default is 50 m.
	min_height	the minimum user height in [m]. Default is 1.5 m.
	max_height	the maximum user height in [m]. Default is 1.5 m.
	track_length	the length of the linear track in [m]. Default is 1 m.
	rx_ind	a vector containing the receiver indices for which the positions should be generated. Default: All receivers

[ pairs, power ] = <b>set_pairing</b> ( method, threshold, tx_power, overlap, check_parfiles )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	<p>Determines links for which channel coefficient are generated.</p> <p>This function can be used to automatically determine the links for which channel coefficients should be generated. For example, in a large network there are multiple base stations and mobile terminals. The base stations, however, only serve a small area. If the terminal is far away from this area, it will receive only noise from this particular BS. In this case, the channel coefficients will have very little power and do not need to be calculated. Disabling those links can reduce the computation time and the storage requirements for the channel coefficients significantly. There are several methods to do this which can be selected by the input variable 'method'.</p>	
Methods	'all'	Enables the simulation of all links
	'power'	Calculates the expected received power taking into account the path loss, the antenna patterns, the LOS polarization, and the receiver orientation. If the power of a link is below the 'threshold', it gets deactivated.
	'sf'	Same as 'power', but this option also includes the shadow fading. Therefore, the LSPs have to be calculated. LSPs get then stored in 'qd_layout.track.par'. This method is the most accurate. The actual power in the channel coefficients can be up to 6 dB higher due to multipath effects
Input	method	Link selection method. Supported are: 'all', 'power', and 'sf' (see above)
	threshold	If the Rx-power is below the threshold in [dBm], the link gets deactivated
	tx_power	A vector of tx-powers in [dBm] for each transmitter in the layout. This power is applied to each transmit antenna in the tx-array antenna. By default (if 'tx_power' is not given), 0 dBm is assumed
	overlap	The length of the overlapping part relative to the segment length. It can have values in between 0 (no overlap) and 1 (ramp along the entire segment). The default value is 0.5. You need to make sure that the same value is used when calling "qd_channel.merge". (only used for 'sf')
	check_parfiles	Disables (0) or enables (1, default) the parsing of shortnames and the validity-check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the files are valid. In this case, this saves execution time.
Output	pairs	An index-list of links for which channels are created. The first row corresponds to the Tx and the second row to the Rx. An identical copy gets assigned to 'qd_layout.pairing'.
	power	A matrix containing the estimated receive powers for each link in [dBm]. Rows correspond to the receiving terminal, columns correspond to the transmitter station. For MIMO links, the power of the strongest MIMO sublink is reported.

pos = <b>set_satellite_pos</b> ( rx_latitude, sat_el, sat_az, sat_height, tx_no )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	<p>Calculates the Tx position from a satellite orbit.</p> <p>QuaDRiGas reference coordinate system is on the surface of the earth. In order to use QuaDRiGa for satellite links, the satellite position must be set. Normally, this position is given in azimuth and elevation relative to the users position. This function takes a satellite orbital position and calculates the corresponding transmitter coordinates.</p>	
Input	rx_latitude	The receiver latitude coordinate on the earth surface in [deg]. Default is 52.5
	sat_el	Satellite elevation seen from the receiver positions in [deg]. Default is 31.6
	sat_az	Satellite azimuth in [deg] given in compass coordinates. Default is 180° (south)
	sat_height	Satellite height in [km] relative to earth surface. Default is 35786 (GEO orbit)
	tx_no	The 'tx_no' in the layout object for which the position should be set. Default is 1
Output	pos	The satellite positions in the metric QuaDRiGa coordinate system

indoor_rx = <b>set_scenario</b> ( scenario, rx, tx, indoor_frc )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	<p>Assigns scenarios to tracks and segments.</p> <p>This function can be used to assign scenarios to tracks and segments of tracks. This takes the distance-dependent LOS probability into account for some specific scenarios. Currently, distance-dependent scenario selection is available for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3GPP_3D_UMi</li> <li>• 3GPP_3D_UMa</li> <li>• mmMAGIC_initial_UMi</li> <li>• mmMAGIC_initial_Indoor</li> <li>• 3GPP_38.901_UMi</li> <li>• 3GPP_38.901_UMa</li> <li>• 3GPP_38.901_Indoor_Mixed_Office</li> <li>• 3GPP_38.901_Indoor_Open_Office</li> </ul> <p>Alternatively, you can use all scenarios specified in 'qd_builder.supported_scenarios'.</p>	
Input	scenario	A string containing the scenario name
	rx	A vector containing the receiver indices for which the scenarios should be set. Default: all receivers
	tx	A vector containing the transmitter indices for which the scenarios should be set. Default: all transmitters.
	indoor_frc	The fraction of the users (number between 0 and 1) that are indoors
Output	indoor_rx	A logical vector indicating if a user is indoors (1) or outdoors (0).

han = <b>visualize</b> ( tx , rx, show_names , create_new_figure )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Plots the layout.	
Input	tx	A vector containing the transmitter indices that should be shown. Default: All
	rx	A vector containing the receiver indices that should be shown. Default: All
	show_names	Options: (0) shows no Tx and Rx names; (1, default) shows the Tx name and the scenario for each track segment; (2) shows the Tx and Rx name
	create_new_figure	If set to 0, no new figure is created, but the layout is plotted in the currently active figure
Output	han	The figure handle

### 2.2.5 Class “qd\_builder”

This class implements all functions that are necessary to generate and manage correlated LSPs, correlated SSFs, and functions that are needed to generate the channel coefficients. It thus implements the core components of the channel model. The class holds all the input variables as properties. Its main function 'get\_channels' then generates the coefficients.

LSPs are the shadow fading, the Ricean K-Factor, the RMS delay spread and the four angles (elevation and azimuth at the transmitter and receiver). This class implements functions of the channel model that the user does normally not need to interact with it. However, if parameter tables need to be changed, here is the place to do so.

When calling `get_channels`, the builder generates a set of random clusters around each receiver. This is done by drawing random spatially correlated variables for the delay, the power and the departure and arrival angles for each cluster. Each cluster thus represents the origin of a reflected (and scattered) signal. The clusters are then represented as taps in the final CIR. The random variables fit the distributions and correlations defined by the LSF parameters. Spatial correlation is implemented by using the sum-of-sinuoids method for all random variables in the model.

Next, antenna dependent parameters are extracted for each user. Those depend on the position of the terminal, its orientation and the equipped antennas. The polarization rotation of the NLOS taps is modeled by a random variable which fits to the distribution defined by the LSPs. The LOS polarization is calculated

from the geometric orientation of the antennas. A core function here is the interpolation of the antenna patterns which results in a specific antenna response for each subpath.

The core function then generates the coefficients themselves. This is done for each antenna element and for each snapshot separately and also includes the Doppler shift of each subpath. Finally, the K-factor and the shadow fading are applied and all the data is returned as an 'qd\_channel' object.

## Properties

name	Name of the 'qd_builder' object
scenario	Name of the scenario (text string)
scenpar	The parameter table. See Section 2.4
plpar	Parameters for the path loss. See Section 2.4
simpar	Handle of a 'qd_simulation_parameters' object. See Section 2.2.1
tx_array	Handles of 'qd_arrayant' objects for each Tx. See Section 2.2.2
rx_array	Handles of 'qd_arrayant' objects for each Rx. See Section 2.2.2
rx_track	Handles of 'qd_track' objects for each Rx. See Section 2.2.3
sos	Handles of 'qd_sos' objects for each of the seven LSPs. See Section 2.2.6
tx_position	The transmitter position obtained from the corresponding 'qd_layout.tx_position'
ry_positions	The list of initial positions for which LSPs are generated. This variable is obtained from the properties 'qd_track.initial_position' and 'qd_layout.rx_position'
ds	The RMS delay spread in [s] for each receiver position
kf	The Ricean K-Factor [linear scale] for each receiver position
sf	The shadow fading [linear scale] for each receiver position
asD	The azimuth spread of departure in [deg] for each receiver position
asA	The azimuth spread of arrival in [deg] for each receiver position
esD	The elevation spread of departure in [deg] for each receiver position
esA	The elevation spread of arrival in [deg] for each receiver position
xpr	The cross polarization ratio [linear scale] for each receiver position
NumClusters	The number of clusters
NumSubPaths	The number of sub-paths for each cluster
taus	The initial delays for each path in [s]. Rows correspond to the MTs, columns to the paths.
pow	The normalized initial power (squared average amplitude) for each path. Rows correspond to the MTs, columns to the paths. The sum over all columns must be 1.
AoD	The initial azimuth of departure angles for each path in [rad].
AoA	The initial azimuth of arrival angles for each path in [rad].
EoD	The initial elevation of departure angles for each path in [rad].
EoA	The initial elevation of arrival angles for each path in [rad].
xpr_path	The initial cross polarization power ratio in [dB] for each sub-path. The dimensions correspond to the MT, the path number, and the sub-path number.
pin	The initial phases in [rad] for each sub-path.
kappa	The phase offset angle for the circular XPR in [rad]. The dimensions correspond to the MT, the path number, and the sub-path number.
random_pol	Random phasors for the WINNER polarization coupling method. The dimensions correspond to polarization matrix index '[ 1 3 ; 2 4 ]', the subpath number, and the MT.
gr_epsilon_r	The relative permittivity for the ground reflection
subpath_coupling	A random index list for the mutual coupling of subpaths at the Tx and Rx. The dimensions correspond to the subpath index (1-20), the angle (AoD, AoA, EoD, EoA), the path number and the MT.
data_valid	Indicates if the data is valid
map_valid	Indicates if the qd_sos objects have been correctly initialized
no_rx_positions	Number of receiver positions associated to this 'qd_builder' object Note that each segment in longer tracks is considered a new Rx position.
lsp_vals	The distribution values of the LSPs (extracted from scenpar)
lsp_xcorr_chk	Indicator if cross-correlation matrix is positive definite
lsp_xcorr	The Cross-correlation matrix for the LSPs

## Methods

h_builder = <b>qd_builder</b> ( scenario, check_parfiles )		
Calling object	None (constructor)	
Description	Creates a new 'qd_builder' object.	
Input	scenario  check_parfiles	The scenario name for which the parameters should be loaded. A list of supported scenarios can be obtained by calling 'qd_builder.supported_scenarios'. check_parfiles = 0 / 1 (default: 1) Disables (0) or enables (1) the parsing of shortnames and the validity-check for the config-files. This is useful, if you know that the parameters in the files are valid. In this case, this saves execution time.
Output	h_builder	Handle to the created 'qd_builder' object.

[ phi_d_lm, theta_d_lm, lbs_pos, fbs_pos ] = <b>calc_scatter_positions</b> ( i_mobile )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates the positions of the scatterers  This function calculates the positions of the scatterers and initializes the drifting module. The output variables are the NLOS Tx angles for the precomputation of the Tx array response.	
Input	i_mobile	The index of the mobile terminal within the channel builder object
Output	phi_d_lm theta_d_lm lbs_pos fbs_pos	The departure azimuth angles for each subpath The departure elevation angles for each subpath The position of the last-bounce scatterer The position of the first-bounce scatterer

<b>gen_lsf_parameters</b> ( force )		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Generates the LSF parameters for all terminals.  This function calculates correlated large scale parameters for each user position. Those parameters are needed by the channel builder to calculate initial SSF parameters for each track or segment which are then evolved into time varying channels.  By default, 'gen_lsf_parameters' reads the values given in the 'qd_track' objects of the 'qd_layout'. If there are no values given or if parts of the values are missing, the correlation maps are generated to extract the missing parameters.	
Input	force	<b>force = 0</b> (default) Tries to read the parameters from 'qd_layout.track.par'. If they are not provided or if they are incomplete, they are completed with values from the correlated LSP generators (the qd_sos objects). If the parameters are invalid (e.g. because they have not been generated yet), new parameters are created.  <b>force = 1</b> Creates new qd_sos objects and reads the LSPs from those objects. Values from 'qd_layout.track.par' are ignored. Note that the parameters 'pg' and 'kf' will still be taken from 'qd_layout.track.par' when generating channel coefficients.

aso = <b>gen_ssf_parameters</b> ( init_all )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Generates the small-scale-fading parameters  This function creates the small-scale-fading parameters for the channel builder. Already existing parameters are overwritten. However, due to the spatial consistency of the model, identical values will be obtained for the same rx positions. Spatial consistency can be disabled by setting qd_builder.scenpar.SC.lambda = 0	
Input	init_all	By default, only the needed subset of the parameters is initialized. However, if you want to change the model settings after creating the SSF parameters, you need to set <b>init_all = 1</b>
Output	aso	An array with angular spread values for each terminal in [deg]. The rows are [ AoD ; AoA ; EoD ; EoA ]

angles = <code>get_angles</code>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates the departure- and arrival angles of the LOS path between Tx and Rx	
Output	angles	<p>A matrix containing the four angles:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Azimuth of Departure at the Tx (AoD, row 1)</li> <li>• Azimuth of Arrival at the Rx (AoA, row 2)</li> <li>• Elevation of Departure at the Tx (EoD, row 3)</li> <li>• Elevation of Arrival at the Rx (EoA, row 4)</li> </ul> <p>The number of columns corresponds to the number of rx-positions.</p>

h_channel = <code>get_channels</code>		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Calculate the channel coefficients	
Output	h_channel	A vector handles to the <code>qd_channel</code> objects

dist = <code>get_distances</code>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates the 3D distances between Rx and Tx	
Output	dist	A vector containing the 3D distances between each Rx and the Tx in [m]

h_channel = <code>get_los_channels</code> ( precision, return_coeff, tx_array_mask )		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	<p>Generates channel coefficients for the LOS path only. This function generates static coefficients for the LOS path only. This includes the following properties:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• antenna patterns</li> <li>• orientation of the Rx (if provided)</li> <li>• polarization rotation for the LOS path</li> <li>• plane-wave approximation of the phase</li> <li>• path loss</li> <li>• shadow fading</li> </ul> <p>No further features of QuaDRiGa are used (i.e. no drifting, spherical waves, time evolution, multipath fading etc.). This function can thus be used to acquire quick previews of the propagation conditions for a given layout.</p>	
Input	precision	The additional input parameter 'precision' can be used to set the numeric precision to 'single', thus reducing the memory requirements for certain computations. Default: double precision.
	return_coeff	<p>Adjusts the format of the output. This is mainly used internally.</p> <p><code>return_coeff = 'coeff';</code></p> <p>If this is set to 'coeff', only the raw channel coefficients are returned, but no QuaDRiGa channel object is created. This may help to educe memory requirements. In this case, 'h_channel' has the dimensions: [ n_rx, n_tx, n_pos ]</p> <p><code>return_coeff = 'raw';</code></p> <p>Same as 'coeff' but without applying the distance-dependant phase and the path loss. The rx-antenna is assumed to be dual-polarized with two elements (i.e. the rx interpolation is omitted). This mode is used QuaDRiGa-internally by [<code>qd_arrayant.combine_pattern</code>]</p>
	tx_array_mask	Indices for selected transmit antenna elements
Output	h_channel	A handle to the created 'qd_channel' object. The output contains one coefficient for each position in 'qd_builder.rx_position'.

map = <b>get_lsp_map</b> ( xc, yc, zc )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates the spatial map of the correlated LSPs	
Input	xc yc zc	A vector containing the map sample positions in [m] in x-direction A vector containing the map sample positions in [m] in y-direction A vector containing the map sample positions in [m] in z-direction
Output	map	An array of size [ nx, ny, nz, 8 ] containing the values of the LSPs at the sample positions. The indices of the fourth dimension are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Delay spread [s]</li> <li>2. K-factor [linear]</li> <li>3. Shadow fading [linear]</li> <li>4. Azimuth angle spread of departure [rad]</li> <li>5. Azimuth angle spread of arrival [rad]</li> <li>6. Elevation angle spread of departure [rad]</li> <li>7. Elevation angle spread of arrival [rad]</li> <li>8. Cross-polarization ratio [linear]</li> </ol>

par = <b>get_lsp_val</b> ( pos )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates the spatially correlated values of LSPs	
Input	pos	The 3D positions of the receivers in [m]; size [ 3 x N ]
Output	par	The values of the LSPs; matrix of size [ 8 x N ]. The first dimension corresponds to: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Delay spread [s]</li> <li>2. K-factor [linear]</li> <li>3. Shadow fading [linear]</li> <li>4. Azimuth angle spread of departure [rad]</li> <li>5. Azimuth angle spread of arrival [rad]</li> <li>6. Elevation angle spread of departure [rad]</li> <li>7. Elevation angle spread of arrival [rad]</li> <li>8. Cross-polarization ratio [linear]</li> </ol>

[ pl, scale_sf ] = <b>get_pl</b> ( evaltrack, alt_plpar )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Implements various path-loss models  This function implements various path-loss models such as defined by 3GPP 36.873 or 38.901. The parameters of the various models are given in the configuration files in the folder 'quadriga_src\config'. When a builder object is initialized, the parameters appear in the structure 'qd_builder.plpar'.	
Input	evaltrack  alt_plpar	A 'qd_track' object for which the PL should be calculated. If 'evaltrack' is not given, then the path loss is calculated for each Rx position. Otherwise the path loss is calculated for the positions provided in 'evaltrack'.  An optional alternative plpar which is used instead of 'qd_builder.plpar'.
Output	pl scale_sf	The path loss in [dB] In some scenarios, the SF might change with distance between Tx and Rx. Hence, the shadow fading provided by the LSFs generator has to be changed accordingly. The second output parameter "scale_sf" can be used for scaling the (logarithmic) SF value.

[ sf,kf ] = <b>get_sf_profile</b> ( evaltrack )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Returns the shadow fading and the K-factor along a track  This function returns the shadow fading and the K-factor along the given track. This function is mainly used by the channel builder class to scale the output channel coefficients. The profile is calculated by using the data in the LSF autocorrelation model and interpolating it to the positions in the given track.	
Input	evaltrack	Handle to a 'qd_track' object for which the SF and KF should be interpolated.
Output	sf kf	The shadow fading [linear scale] along the track The K-factor [linear scale] along the track

<b>h_builder_out = split_multi_freq</b>		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	<p>Split the builder objects for multi-frequency simulations</p> <p>QuaDRiGa allows multi-frequency-simulations by setting multiple carrier frequencies in "qd_simulation_parameters.center_frequency". Correlated SSF for multi-frequency simulations is an additional feature of the 3GPP model (see Section 7.6.5, pp 57 of TR 38.901 V14.1.0).</p> <p>Identical parameters for each Frequency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LOS / NLOS state must be the same</li> <li>• BS and MT positions are the same (antenna element positions are different!)</li> <li>• Cluster delays and angles for each multipath component are the same</li> <li>• Spatial consistency of the LSPs is identical</li> </ul> <p>Differences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antenna patterns are different for each frequency</li> <li>• Path-loss is different for each frequency</li> <li>• Path-powers are different for each frequency</li> <li>• Delay- and angular spreads are different</li> <li>• K-Factor is different</li> <li>• XPR of the NLOS components is different</li> </ul> <p>The method "split_multi_freq" separates the builder objects so that each builder creates channels for only one frequency. If you call "split_multi_freq" before any LSF and SSF parameters are generated as it is done the the following code, then LSF parameters (e.g. SF, DS, AS) will be uncorrelated for each frequency. If you call "gen_lsf_parameters" before "split_multi_freq", then all LSF parameters will be fully correlated. However, frequency dependent averages and variances still apply. If you call "gen_lsf_parameters" and "gen_ssf_parameters" before "split_multi_freq", then SSF will also correlated, i.e. the same paths will be seen at each frequency.</p>	
Output	h_builder_out	An array of "qd_builder" objects where where each builder only served one frequency.

<b>[ scenarios, file_names, file_dir ] = qd_builder.supported_scenarios ( parse_shortnames )</b>		
Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	Returns a list of supported scenarios	
Input	parse_shortnames	This optional parameter can disable (0) the shortname parsing. This is significantly faster. By default shortname parsing is enabled (1)
Output	scenarios file_names file_dir	A cell array containing the scenario names. Those can be used in 'qd_track.scenario' The names of the configuration files for each scenario The directory where each file was found. You can place configuration file also in your current working directory

<b>visualize_clusters ( i_mobile , i_cluster, create_figure )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	<p>Plots the positions of the scattering clusters for a mobile terminal</p> <p>This method plots all scattering clusters for a given mobile terminal. If <b>i_cluster</b> is not given, then only the main paths are shown for all MPCs. If <b>i_cluster</b> is given, then also the subpaths are shown for the selected cluster. The plot is in 3D coordinates. You can rotate the image using the rotate tool.</p>	
Input	i_mobile i_cluster create_figure	The index of the mobile terminal within the channel builder object The index of the scattering cluster. (Optional) If set to 1 (default), a new figure is created. If set to 0, the last figure is updated

### 2.2.6 Class “qd\_sos”

This class implements the sum-of-sinusoids method for generating spatially correlated random variables. An autocorrelation function (ACF), i.e. a description of the correlation vs. distance, needs to be provided. This function is approximated by a Fourier series. The coefficients of the series can be used to generate spatially correlated random variables.

#### Properties

name	The name of the object
distribution	Distribution of random variables ('normal' or 'uniform')
dist_decorr	Decorrelation distance in [m]
dimensions	Number of dimensions (1, 2 or 3)
no_coefficients	Number of sinusoid coefficients used to approximate the ACF
dist	Vector of sample points for the ACF in [m]
acf	Desired autocorrelation function
sos_freq	Sinusoid coefficients (frequencies)
sos_phase	Phase offsets
sos_amp	Amplitude of the sinusoid coefficients
approx_mse	Mean-square error of the approximation. The first value only contains the values from 0 to the maximum distance for which the ACF is specified. The second values also covers the values outside the specified range (which are assumed to be 0 in the desired ACF).

#### Methods

##### `h_sos = qd_sos ( dist_decorr, distribution )`

Calling object	None (constructor)	
Description	Creates a new 'qd_sos' object with default settings. The default ACF is defined by a combination of the Gauss profile (ranging from 0 to the decorrelation distance) and an exponential decaying profile (ranging from the decorrelation distance to a maximum distance of 5 time the decorrelation distance. It is approximated by 400 sinusoids in 3D coordinates.	
Input	dist_decorr	Decorrelation distance in [m], Default: 10 m
	distribution	Distribution of the random variables ('normal' or 'uniform'), Default: 'normal'

##### `[ Ri, Di ] = acf_2d`

Calling object	Single object	
Description	Interpolates the ACF to a 2D version This method calculates a 2D version of the given ACF (qd_sos.acf). The distance ranges from -2 Dmax to 2 Dmax, where Dmax is the maximum value in qd_sos.dist. Values outside the specified range are set to 0.	
Output	Ri	2D ACF
	Di	Vector of sample points (in x and y direction) for the 2D ACF in [m]

##### `[ Ro, Do ] = acf_approx`

Calling object	Single object	
Description	Generates the approximated ACF from SOS coefficients This method calculates the approximated ACF. The distance ranges from -2 Dmax to 2 Dmax, where Dmax is the maximum value in qd_sos.dist. The format of the output variable (Ro) depends on the number of dimensions. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 dimension Ro is a vector containing the values at the sample points of Do.</li> <li>• 2 dimensions Ro is a matrix containing the approximated 2D ACF</li> <li>• 3 dimensions Ro contains 3 matrices, one for the x-y plane, one for the x-z plane and one for the y-z plane.</li> </ul>	
Output	Ro	Approximated ACF
	Do	Vector of sample points (in x and y direction) for the ACF in [m]

[ mse_core, mse_all, Ro, Ri ] = calc_mse		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Calculates the approximation MSE of the ACF This method calculates the mean-square-error (in dB) of the approximation of the given ACF.	
Output	mse_core mse_all Ro Ri	The MSE for the range 0 to Dmax (covered by the desired ACF) The MSE for the range 0 to 2*Dmax Approximated ACF from qd_sos.acf_approx Interpolated ACF (from qd_sos.acf_2d in case of 2 dimensions or more)

out = copy		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Creates a copy of the handle class object or array of objects. While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of the object array (in case obj is an array of object handles), copy checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same object and keeps this information.	
Output	out	Copy of the current object or object array

h_sos = qd_sos.generate ( R, D, L, dim, uniform_smp, debug )		
Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	Generates SOS parameters from a given ACF This (static) method tries to approximate any given ACF by sinusoid coefficients. A sampled ACF is provided at the input. Then, the L sinusoid coefficients are iteratively determined until the best match is found.	
Input	R D L dim uniform_smp debug	The desired ACF (having values between 1 and -1). The first value must be 1. Vector of sample points for the ACF in [m] Number of sinusoid coefficients used to approximate the ACF Number of dimensions (1, 2 or 3) If set to 1, sample points for 2 or more dimensions are spaced equally. If set to 0, sample points are chosen randomly. Default: 0 (random) If set to 1, an animation plot of the progress is shown. Default: 0
Output	h_sos	Handle of the created qd_sos object

init		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	Initializes the random phases	

h_sos = qd_sos.load ( filename )		
Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	Loads coefficients from mat file Sinusoid coefficients can be stored in a mat-file by calling qd_sos.save. This (static) method loads them again. In this way, it is possible to precompute the sinusoid coefficients and save some significant time when initializing the method. It is possible to adjust the decorrelation distance of a precomputed function without the need to perform the calculations again.	
Input	filename	Path or filename to the coefficient file
Output	h_sos	Handle of the loaded qd_sos object

S = map ( xc, yc, zc )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Generates random variables at the given coordinates in x,y and z direction This method generates a multi-dimensional array (of up to 3 dimensions) of spatially correlated random variables.	
Input	xc yc zc	A vector containing the map sample positions in [m] in x-direction A vector containing the map sample positions in [m] in y-direction A vector containing the map sample positions in [m] in z-direction
Output	S	Array of spatially correlated random variables

<b>[ s, h_sos ] = qd_sos.rand ( dist_decorr, coord )</b>		
Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	Generates spatially correlated uniformly distributed random numbers	
Input	dist_decorr coord	Vector of decorrelation distances [ 1 x M ] or [ M x 1 ] List of 3D positions [ 3 x N ]
Output	s h_sos	Random spatially correlated numbers [ M x N ] A handle to the used <b>qd_sos</b> object

<b>[ s, h_sos ] = qd_sos.randi ( dist_decorr, coord, imax )</b>		
Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	Generates spatially correlated random integers between 1 and imax	
Input	dist_decorr coord imax	Vector of decorrelation distances [ 1 x M ] or [ M x 1 ] List of 3D positions [ 3 x N ] The maximum value [ 1 x 1 ]
Output	s h_sos	Random spatially correlated numbers [ M x N ] A handle to the used <b>qd_sos</b> object

<b>[ s, h_sos ] = qd_sos.randn ( dist_decorr, coord )</b>		
Calling object	None (static method)	
Description	Generates spatially correlated normal distributed random numbers	
Input	dist_decorr coord	Vector of decorrelation distances [ 1 x M ] or [ M x 1 ] List of 3D positions [ 3 x N ]
Output	s h_sos	Random spatially correlated numbers [ M x N ] A handle to the used <b>qd_sos</b> object

<b>save ( filename )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Saves the coefficients to a mat-file Sinusoid coefficients can be stored in a mat-file. In this way, it is possible to precompute the sinusoid coefficients and save significant time when initializing the method. It is possible to adjust the decorrelation distance of a precomputed function without the need to perform the calculations again.	
Input	filename	Path or filename to the coefficient file

<b>s = val ( coord )</b>		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Returns correlated values at given coordinates This method generates spatially correlated random variables at the given coordinates.	
Input	coord	Coordinates in [m] given as [ 3 x N ] matrix. The rows correspond to the x,y and z coordinate
Output	s	Vector (N elements) of spatially correlated random variables

### 2.2.7 Class “qd\_channel”

Objects of this class are the output of the channel model. They are created by the `'channel_builder'`. By default, channel coefficients are provided in the time domain, as a list of delays and complex-valued amplitudes. However, this class also implements certain methods to postprocess the channel data. Those include:

- Transformation into frequency domain
- Interpolation in time domain (to change the terminal speed and sampling rate)
- Combining channel traces into longer segments (including birth and death of clusters)

## Properties

name	<p>Name of the 'channel' object.</p> <p>This string is a unique identifier of the 'qd_channel' object. The 'qd_builder' creates one channel object for each MT, each Tx and each segment. They are further grouped by scenarios (propagation environments). The string consists of four parts separated by an underscore '_'. Those are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The scenario name from 'qd_track.scenario'</li> <li>• The transmitter name from 'qd_layout.tx_name'</li> <li>• The receiver name from 'qd_layout.rx_name'</li> <li>• The segment number</li> </ul> <p>After 'channel.merge' has been called, the name string consists of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The transmitter name from 'qd_layout.tx_name'</li> <li>• The receiver name from 'qd_layout.rx_name'</li> </ul>
version	Version number of the QuaDRiGa release that was used to create the 'qd_channel' object.
coeff	The complex-valued channel coefficients for each path. The indices of the 4-D tensor are: [ no_rxant , no_txant , no_path , no_snapshot ]
delay	<p>The delays for each path.</p> <p>There are two different options. If the delays are identical on the MIMO links, i.e. 'individual_delays = 0', then 'delay' is a 2-D matrix with dimensions [ no_path , no_napshot ]. If the delays are different on the MIMO links, then 'delay' is a 4-D tensor with dimensions [ no_rxant , no_txant , no_path , no_snapshot ].</p>
no_rxant no_txant no_path no_snap	<p>Number of receive elements (read only)</p> <p>Number of transmit elements (read only)</p> <p>Number of paths (read only)</p> <p>Number of snapshots (read only)</p>
par initial_position	<p>Field to store custom variables.</p> <p>The snapshot number for which the initial LSPs have been generated.</p> <p>Normally, this is the first snapshot. However, if the user trajectory consists of more than one segment, then 'initial_position' points to the snapshot number where the current segment starts. For example: If 'initial_position' is 100, then snapshots 1-99 are overlapping with the previous segment.</p>
tx_position rx_position	<p>Position of each Tx in global cartesian coordinates using units of [m].</p> <p>The receiver position global cartesian coordinates using units of [m] for each snapshot.</p>
individual_delays	Indicates if the path delays are identical on each MIMO link (0) or if each link has a different path delay (1).

## Methods

<b>h_channel = qd_channel ( Ccoeff, Cdelay, Cinitial_position )</b>		
Calling object	None (constructor)	
Description	Creates a new 'qd_channel' object.	
Input	Ccoeff	The complex-valued channel coefficients for each path. The indices of the 4-D tensor are: [ no_rxant , no_txant , no_path , no_snapshot ]
	Cdelay	The delays for each path. There are two different options: a 2-D matrix with dimensions [ no_path , no_snapshot ] or a 4-D tensor with dimensions [ no_rxant , no_txant , no_path , no_snapshot ].
	Cinitial_position	The snapshot number for which the initial LSPs have been generated
Output	h_channel	Handle to the created 'qd_channel' object
<b>out = copy</b>		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	<p>Creates a copy of the handle class object or array of objects.</p> <p>While the standard copy command creates new physical objects for each element of the object array (in case obj is an array of object handles), copy checks whether there are object handles pointing to the same object and keeps this information.</p>	
Output	out	Copy of the current object or object array

freq_response = fr ( bandwidth, carriers, i_snapshot )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	Transforms the channel into frequency domain and returns the frequency response	
Input	bandwidth carriers	The baseband bandwidth in [Hz] The carrier positions. There are two options: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Specify the total number of carriers. In this case, 'carriers' a scalar natural number &gt; 0. The carriers are then equally spaced over the bandwidth.</li> <li>2. Specify the pilot positions. In this case, 'carriers' is a vector of carrier positions. The carrier positions are given relative to the bandwidth where '0' is the begin of the spectrum and '1' is the end. For example, if a 5 MHz channel should be sampled at 0, 2.5 and 5 MHz, then 'carriers' must be set to [0, 0.5, 1].</li> </ol>
	i_snapshot	The snapshot indices for which the frequency response should be calculated. By default, i.e. if 'i_snapshot' is not given, all snapshots are processed.
Output	freq_response	The complex-valued channel coefficients for each carrier in frequency domain. The indices of the 4-D tensor are: [ Rx-Antenna , Tx-Antenna , Carrier-Index , Snapshot ]

c = interpolate ( dist, method )		
Calling object	Single object	
Description	<p>Interpolates the channel coefficients and delays.</p> <p>The channel builder creates one snapshot for each position that is listed in the track object. When the channel sampling theorem is not violated (i.e. the sample density is <math>\geq 2</math>), then the channel can be interpolated to any other position on the track. This can be used e.g. to emulate arbitrary movements along the track.</p> <p>For more information see 'qd_track.movement_profile', 'qd_track.interpolate_movement', or the tutorial "Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation)".</p>	
Input	dist	A vector containing distance values on the track. The distance is measured in [m] relative to the beginning of the track.  Alternatively, "dist" can be given as a 3-D tensor with dimensions [ Rx-Antenna , Tx-Antenna , Snapshot ]. In this case, interpolation is done for each antenna element separately.
	method	Selects the interpolation algorithm. The default is linear interpolation. Optional are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• linear - Linear interpolation (optimized for speed)</li> <li>• spline - Cubic spline interpolation of the channel coefficients and piecewise cubic hermite polynomial interpolation for the delays</li> </ul>
Output	c	Handle to the 'qd_channel' object containing the interpolated coefficients and delays for each entry in 'dist'.

c = merge ( overlap, optimize, verbose )		
Calling object	Object array	
Description	<p>Combines channel segments into a continuous time evolution channel.</p> <p>The channel merger implements the continuous time evolution with smooth transitions between segments. Each segment of a track is split in two parts: an overlapping area with the previous segment and an exclusive part with no overlapping. Each segment is generated independently by the channel builder. However, the distance dependent autocorrelation of the large scale parameters was considered when the parameters were drawn from the corresponding statistics.</p> <p>Transition from segment to segment is carried out by replacing taps of the previous segment by the taps of the current segment, one by one. The modeling of the birth/death process is done as published in the documentation of the WIM2 channel model. The route between adjacent channel segments is split into sub-intervals equal to the minimum number of taps in both overlapping segments. During each sub-interval the power of an old tap ramps down and a new tap ramps up. Power ramps are modeled by a modified sinus function to allow smooth transitions.</p>	

	Taps from the old and new segments are coupled based on their power. If the number of clusters is different in the channel segments, the weakest clusters are ramped up or down without a counterpart from the new/old segment. The merging is only done for the NLOS components since the LOS component has a deterministic behavior. The LOS component is thus just scaled in power.	
Input	overlap	The length of the overlapping part relative to the segment length. It can have values in between 0 (no overlap) and 1 (ramp along the entire segment). A value of 0 disables the merging process and the channel segments are simply concatenated. A value of 1 constantly merges the channels. The default setting is 0.5.
	verbose	Enables (1, default) or disables (0) the progress bar.
Output	c	An array of handles to the 'qd_channel' objects containing the merged coefficients and delays.

**chan\_out = split\_snap ( varargin )**

Calling object	Single object	
Description	<p>Splits channel objects based on snapshot indices.</p> <p>This function can be used to split a channel object into sub-objects based on a list of snapshots. For example, this can be used to separate channels into LOS and NLOS parts. To split the channels, the following command can be used:</p> <pre>cs = c.split( 1:100, 101:2:200 );</pre> <p>This splits the channel object "c" into two sub-channels, the first containing the snapshots 1 to 100, and the second containing the snapshots 101 to 199 (at half resolution).</p> <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inputs must be scalar channel objects.</li> <li>• If there is evaluation data in the <code>par</code> field, it will be split as well. This requires the field <code>par.cluster_ind</code> which determines the small-scale-fading averaging intervals.</li> <li>• A running index (in the format "p001", "p002", etc.) is added to the channel name, so that the sub-channels can be identified.</li> </ul>	
Input	varargin	A list of snapshot indices. The number of inputs determines the number of output channels.
Output	chan_out	An array of handles to the split 'qd_channel' objects

**chan\_out = split\_tx ( varargin )**

Calling object	Object array	
Description	<p>Splits channel arrays based on transmit antenna indices.</p> <p>This function can be used to split large transmit array antennas into smaller arrays. For example, this can be used to calculate the channels for individual sectors at a BS.</p> <p>Example: A channel array has channels from three base stations (BSs). The first and second BS have two sectors, each with two antennas. However, the sector antennas are merged into one array. The third BS has only one sector. To split the channels into five sectors, the following command can be used:</p> <pre>cs = c.split( {1:2,3:4}, {1:2,3:4}, {1:2} );</pre> <p>Notes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The method parses the name-string of the channel objects <code>channel.name</code> in order to determine the Tx-Rx relationship. There are two allowed formats: (a) "tx_rx" and (b) "scenario.tx_rx"</li> <li>• The order of the inputs must match the transmitters in alphabetical order, i.e. the first input corresponds to "Tx01", the second to "Tx02" and so on. This is independent of the order in "layout.tx_name", which might have a different order.</li> <li>• If only one cell is given as input, but there are several Tx's in the channel array, the same sectorization is applied to each one of them.</li> <li>• Outputs are sorted alphabetically according to "tx_rx" (scenario names are ignored)</li> <li>• If the input array is shaped as [ Rx, Tx ], the output will be shaped as [ Rx, Tx * Sec ]</li> </ul>	
Input	varargin	A list of cell-arrays containing the transmit antenna indices.
Output	chan_out	An array of handles to the split 'qd_channel' objects

### 2.3 Data Flow

The data flow of the QuaDRiGa channel model is depicted in Fig. 5. This figure shows how each of the processing steps, which are described in detail in the following sections, are linked together. The lines show, which parameters are exchanged and how often they are updated. Black lines are for parameters that are either provided by the model users or which are given in the parameter table. Those values are constant. Blue values are updated once per segment and red values are updated once per snapshot.




Figure 5: QuaDRiGa Data Flow

## 2.4 Scenario Specific Parameters

The large-scale parameters (LSPs) are defined by the parameter files which can be found in the folder 'config' of the QuaDRiGa-Core 'quadriga\_src' folder. The parameters are processed as follows:

- The states and segments are identified by a name. Examples are  
 S1 = 'MIMOSA\_10-45\_LOS'; - parameter set selected for "good state"  
 S2 = 'MIMOSA\_10-45\_NLOS'; - parameter set selected for "bad state"
- The name selects the related configuration file. For the given example the files **MIMOSA\_10-45\_LOS.conf** and **MIMOSA\_10-45\_NLOS.conf** are selected

Table 16: Parameter sets provided together with the standard software

LOOnly	One LOS Path only, no Shadow-Fading, no Path-Loss
3GPP_3D_UMa_LOS 3GPP_3D_UMa_LOS_O2I 3GPP_3D_UMa_NLOS 3GPP_3D_UMa_NLOS_O2I	3GPP 3D Urban Macro-Cell (see [8]) For typical terrestrial base stations deployed above rooftop in densely populated urban areas. The max. cell radius is about 1 km.
3GPP_3D_UMi_LOS 3GPP_3D_UMi_LOS_O2I 3GPP_3D_UMi_NLOS 3GPP_3D_UMi_NLOS_O2I	3GPP 3D Urban Micro-Cell (see [8]) For typical terrestrial pico-base stations deployed below rooftop in densely populated urban areas. The max. cell radius is about 200 m.
3GPP_38.901_Indoor_LOS 3GPP_38.901_Indoor_NLOS	3GPP 3D Urban Micro-Cell (see [9]) For typical indoor deployments such as WiFi or femto-cells covering carrier frequencies from 500 MHz to 100 GHz.
3GPP_38.901_RMa_LOS 3GPP_38.901_RMa_LOS_O2I 3GPP_38.901_RMa_NLOS 3GPP_38.901_RMa_NLOS_O2I	3GPP 3D Rural Macro-Cell (see [9]) For typical rural deployments covering carrier frequencies from 500 MHz to 7 GHz and BS heights from 10 m to 150 m.
3GPP_38.901_UMa_LOS 3GPP_38.901_UMa_LOS_O2I 3GPP_38.901_UMa_NLOS 3GPP_38.901_UMa_NLOS_O2I	3GPP 3D Urban Macro-Cell (see [9]) For typical terrestrial base stations deployed above rooftop in densely populated urban areas covering carrier frequencies from 500 MHz to 100 GHz.
3GPP_38.901_UMi_LOS 3GPP_38.901_UMi_LOS_O2I 3GPP_38.901_UMi_NLOS 3GPP_38.901_UMi_NLOS_O2I	3GPP 3D Urban Micro-Cell (see [9]) For typical terrestrial pico-base stations deployed below rooftop in densely populated urban areas covering carrier frequencies from 500 MHz to 100 GHz.
mmMAGIC_Indoor_LOS mmMAGIC_Indoor_NLOS	3GPP 3D Urban Micro-Cell (see [12]) For typical indoor deployments such as WiFi or femto-cells covering carrier frequencies from 6 GHz to 100 GHz.
mmMAGIC_UMi_LOS mmMAGIC_UMi_LOS_O2I mmMAGIC_UMi_NLOS mmMAGIC_UMi_NLOS_O2I	mmMAGIC 3D Urban Micro-Cell (see [12]) For typical terrestrial pico-base stations deployed below rooftop in densely populated urban areas covering carrier frequencies from 6 GHz to 100 GHz.
WINNER_UMa_C2_LOS WINNER_UMa_C2_NLOS	WINNER Urban Macrocell For typical terrestrial base stations deployed above rooftop in densely populated urban areas. The max. cell radius is about 1 km.
WINNER_UMi_B1_LOS WINNER_UMi_B1_NLOS	WINNER Urban Microcell For typical terrestrial pico-base stations deployed below rooftop in densely populated urban areas. The max. cell radius is about 200 m.
WINNER_SMa_C1_LOS WINNER_SMa_C1_NLOS	WINNER Sub-Urban Macrocell For typical terrestrial base stations deployed above rooftop in sub-urban areas. The max. cell radius is about 10 km.
WINNER_Indoor_A1_LOS WINNER_Indoor_A1_NLOS	WINNER Indoor Hotspot For typical indoor deployments such as WiFi or femto-cells.
WINNER_UMa2Indoor_C4_LOS WINNER_UMa2Indoor_C4_NLOS	WINNER Urban Macrocell to Indoor For users within buildings that are connected to a terrestrial base station deployed above rooftop in densely populated urban areas.

WINNER_UMi2Indoor_B4_LOS WINNER_UMi2Indoor_B4_NLOS	WINNER Urban Microcell to Indoor For users within buildings that are connected to terrestrial pico-base stations deployed below rooftop in densely populated urban areas.
BERLIN_UMa_LOS BERLIN_UMa_NLOS	Terrestrial Urban Macrocell parameters extracted from measurements in Berlin, Germany.
MIMOSA_10-45_LOS MIMOSA_10-45_NLOS	MIMOSA Satellite to Mobile Parameters for Urban Propagation Elevation range from 10 to 45°. Parameters were extracted from terrestrial measurement using a high-altitude platform.
MIMOSA_16-25_LOS MIMOSA_16-25_NLOS	MIMOSA Satellite to Mobile Parameters for Urban Propagation Elevation range from 16 to 25°. Parameters were extracted from terrestrial measurement using a high-altitude platform.
MIMOSA_25-35_LOS MIMOSA_25-35_NLOS	MIMOSA Satellite to Mobile Parameters for Urban Propagation Elevation range from 25 to 35°. Parameters were extracted from terrestrial measurement using a high-altitude platform.
MIMOSA_35-45_LOS MIMOSA_35-45_NLOS	MIMOSA Satellite to Mobile Parameters for Urban Propagation Elevation range from 35 to 45°. Parameters were extracted from terrestrial measurement using a high-altitude platform.

### 2.4.1 Description of the Parameter Table

The QuaDRiGa channel model is a generic model. That means, that it uses the same method for generating channel coefficients in different environments. E.g. the principal approach is exactly the same in a cellular network and in a satellite network. The only difference is the parametrization for both cases. Each environment is described by 55 individual parameters. These parameters are stored in configuration files that can be found in the subfolder named “config” in the main channel model folder. The parameters and values can be described as follows:

- **No. Clusters**

This value describes the number of clusters. Each cluster is the source of a reflected or scattered wave arriving at the receiver. Typically there are less clusters in a LOS scenario than in a NLOS scenario. Note that the number of clusters directly influences the time needed to calculate the coefficients.

- **Path Loss (PL)**

A common path loss (PL) model for cellular systems is the log-distance model

$$PL_{[\text{dB}]} = A \cdot \log_{10} \frac{d}{d_0} + B + C \log_{10} \frac{f}{f_0} \quad (1)$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are scenario-specific coefficients. They are typically determined by measurements.  $d$  is the distance between the transmitter and the receiver,  $f$  is the carrier frequency.  $d_0$  is the reference distance (usually 1 m),  $f_0$  is the reference frequency (usually 1 GHz). The path-loss exponent  $A$  typically varies between 20 and 40, depending on the propagation conditions, the base station height and other influences. In other environments such as in satellite systems, the PL does not depend on the distance but has a constant value. In this case,  $A$  would be 0.

- **Shadow Fading (SF)**

Shadow fading occurs when an obstacle gets positioned between the wireless device and the signal transmitter. This interference causes significant reduction in signal strength because the wave is shadowed or blocked by the obstacle. It is modeled as log-normal distributed with two parameters: The standard deviation  $SF_\sigma$  defines the width of the distribution, i.e. the power value (in dB) above or below the distance dependent PL and the decorrelation distance  $SF_\lambda$ . This parameter defines how fast the SF varies when the terminal moves through the environment. E.g. a value of 87 means that when the terminal moves 87 m in any given direction, then the correlation of the value at this distance with the value at the initial position is  $e^{-1} = 0.37$ .

- **Delay Spread (DS)**

The root-mean-square (RMS) delay spread is probably the most important single measure for the delay time extent of a multipath radio channel. The RMS delay spread is the square root of the second central moment of the power delay profile and is defined to be

$$DS = \sqrt{\frac{1}{P_i} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot (\tau_l)^2 - \left( \frac{1}{P_i} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot \tau_l \right)^2} \quad (2)$$

with  $P_i$  is the total received power,  $P_l$  the cluster-power and  $\tau_l$  the cluster delay.

In order to generate the coefficients, QuaDRiGa has to generate delays for each of the multipath clusters. I.e. the total lengths of scattered paths have to be defined. This generation of delays is governed by value of the DS in a specific environment. The DS is assumed to be log-normal distributed and defined by two parameters: Its median value  $DS_\mu$  and its STD  $DS_\sigma$ . Thus, a value for  $DS_\mu$  of  $-6.69$  corresponds to a median DS of 204 ns (Note: mean and median differ for log-normal distributions).  $DS_\sigma$  then defines the range of the values. E.g.  $DS_\sigma = 0.3$  leads to typical values in the range of  $10^{-6.69-0.3} = 102$  ns to  $10^{-6.69+0.3} = 407$  ns. As for the shadow fading, the decorrelation distance  $DS_\lambda$  defines how fast the DS varies when the terminal moves through the environment.

The delay spread is calculated from both, the delays  $\tau_l$  and the path powers  $P_l$ . I.e. larger delay spreads can either be achieved by increasing the values of  $\tau_l$  and keeping  $P_l$  fixed or adjusting  $P_l$  and keeping  $\tau_l$  fixed. In order to avoid this ambiguity, an additional proportionality factor (delay factor)  $r_\tau$  is introduced to scale the width of the distribution of  $\tau_l$ .  $r_\tau$  is calculated from measurement data. See Sec. 3.2 for more details.

- **Rician K-Factor (KF)**

Rician fading occurs when one of the paths, typically a line of sight signal, is much stronger than the others. The KF  $K$  is the ratio between the power in the direct path and the power in the other, scattered, paths. As for the DS, the KF is assumed to be log-normal distributed. The distribution is defined by its median value  $KF_\mu$  and its STD  $KF_\sigma$ . The decorrelation distance  $KF_\lambda$  defines how fast the KF varies when the terminal moves through the environment.

- **Angular Spread**

The angular spread defines the distribution of the departure- and arrival angles of each multipath component in 3D space seen by the transmitter and receiver, respectively. Each path gets assigned an azimuth angle in the horizontal plane and an elevation angle in the vertical plane. Thus we have four values for the angular spread:

1. Azimuth spread of Departure (AsD)
2. Azimuth spread of Arrival (AsA)
3. Elevation spread of Departure (EsD)
4. Elevation spread of Arrival (EsA)

Each one of them is assumed to be log-normal distributed. Hence, we need the parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\lambda$  to define the distributions. These spreads are translated into specific angles for each multipath cluster. Additionally, we assume that clusters are the source of several multipath components that are not resolvable in the delay domain. Thus, these sub-paths do not have specific delays, but they have different departure- and arrival angles. Thus, we need an additional parameter  $c_\phi$  for each of the four angles that scales the dimensions of the clusters in 3D-space. See Sec. 3.3 for details.

- **Cross-polarization Ratio (XPR)**

The XPR defines how the polarization changes for a multipath component. I.e. the initial polarization of a path is defined by the transmit antenna. However, for the NLOS components, the transmitted signal undergoes some diffraction, reflection or scattering before reaching the receiver.

The XPR (in dB) is assumed to be normal distributed where  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  define the distribution. We translate the XPR in a polarization rotation angle which turns the polarization direction. A XPR value where a value of +Inf means that the axis remains the same for all NLOS paths. I.e. vertically polarized waves remain vertically polarized after scattering. On the other hand, a value of -Inf dB means that the polarization is turned by 90°. In case of 0 dB, the axis is turned by 45°, i.e. the power of a vertically polarized wave is split equally into a H- and V component.

The following table gives an overview of the parameters in the config files. They get converted into a structure 'qd\_parameter\_set.scenpar'.

Parameter	Unit or type	Description
plpar.model (PL_model)	Text string	Selects the model for average path loss. For satellite applications the pathloss is defined by the satellite distance and is assumed to be constant for the reception are. For terrestrial cases pathloss models like "Hata" or others (e.g. WINNER pathloss models) can be selected.
plpar.A (PL_A)	dB	For satellite applications this parameter defines the average path loss and is equivalent to the "mu" of the lognormal distribution of the shadow fading.
Parameters in structure 'qd_parameter_set.scenpar'		
Large-Scale Parameters		Those parameters describe how the large-scale parameters vary within a propagation environment.
SF_sigma SF_delta SF_lambda	dB dB/GHz meter	Those parameter describe the slow fading implemented as Lognormal distribution and filtered according to the decorrelation distance "lambda". SF_delta describes the frequency dependence relative to 1 GHz.
KF_mu KF_gamma KF_sigma KF_delta KF_lambda	dB dB/GHz dB dB/GHz meter	Statistical properties of the K-factor. KF_gamma describes the frequency dependence of the average KF relative to 1 GHz, KF_delta describes the frequency dependence of the KF spread relative to 1 GHz
XPR_mu XPR_gamma XPR_sigma XPR_delta XPR_lambda	dB dB/GHz dB dB/GHz meter	The XPR is defined by the XPR-Antenna (see antenna pattern) and the XPR "environment". The parameters describe the statistical properties of the XPR "environment". Note: For the LOS component no XPR environment is assumed, only the XPR antenna is applied. Hence the overall XPR depends also highly on the K-factor.
DS_mu DS_gamma DS_sigma DS_delta DS_lambda	log10([s]) log10([s])/GHz log10([s]) log10([s])/GHz meter	Statistical properties of the delay spread.
AS_A_mu AS_A_gamma AS_A_sigma AS_A_delta AS_A_lambda	log10([deg]) log10([deg])/GHz log10([deg]) log10([deg])/GHz meter	Statistical properties of the azimuth of arrival spread at the receiver.
ES_A_mu ES_A_gamma ES_A_sigma ES_A_delta ES_A_lambda	log10([deg]) log10([deg])/GHz log10([deg]) log10([deg])/GHz meter	Statistical properties of the elevation of arrival spread at the receiver.
AS_D_mu AS_D_gamma AS_D_sigma AS_D_delta AS_D_lambda	log10([deg]) log10([deg])/GHz log10([deg]) log10([deg])/GHz meter	Statistical properties of the azimuth of departure spread at the transmitter.
ES_D_mu ES_D_gamma ES_D_mu_A ES_D_mu_min ES_D_sigma ES_D_delta ES_D_lambda	log10([deg]) log10([deg])/GHz log10([deg])/m log10([deg]) log10([deg]) log10([deg])/GHz meter	Statistical properties of the elevation of departure spread at the transmitter. The additional parameter ES_D.mu.A described the distance-dependency of the ESD relative to one meter. ES_D.mu_min describes the minimum allowed ESD.

Cross correlations		There are interdependencies between parameters. For example, if the K-Factor is high, the delay spread gets shorter since more power is coming from the direct component. This is expressed by the cross-correlations parameters. They can vary between -1 and 1. Negative values denote inverse correlation, e.g. a high K-Factor implies a low delay spread. Positive Value implies a positive correlation such as a high K-Factor also implies a high shadow fading. Cross-Correlations are used during the map-generation.
ds_kf asA_ds esA_ds ds_sf asA_kf esA_kf sf_kf esA_asA asA_sf esA_sf		Correlation of delay spread and K-Factor. Correlation of delay spread and azimuth of arrival angle spread. Correlation of delay spread and elevation of arrival angle spread. Correlation of delay spread and shadow fading. Correlation of K-Factor and azimuth of arrival angle spread. Correlation of K-Factor and elevation of arrival angle spread. Correlation of K-Factor and shadow fading. Correlation elevation of arrival angle spread and azimuth of arrival angle spread. Correlation of shadow fading and azimuth of arrival angle spread. Correlation of shadow fading and elevation of arrival angle spread.
Cluster Parameter		Those parameters influence the generation of the scattering-clusters and the distribution of the sub-paths within each cluster.
NumClusters	Integer	The number of clusters including LOS and (optional) ground reflection cluster. For multipath rich environments typically more clusters are used. If the LOS component is dominant a lower number of clusters is sufficient.
NumSubPaths	Integer	The number of sub-paths per cluster. The default value is 20.
SubpathMethod	Text string	Selector for the subpath generation method. The default 3GPP and WINNER model use 'legacy' model. Alternatively, the 'mmMAGIC' [12] model can be used.
PerCluster_DS PerClusterDS_gamma PerClusterDS_min	ns ns/GHz ns	The per-cluster delay spread in nanoseconds. <b>PerClusterDS_gamma</b> describes the frequency dependence of the cluster DS relative to 1 GHz. <b>PerClusterDS_min</b> describes the minimum value.
PerCluster_AS_A PerCluster_ES_A PerCluster_AS_D PerCluster_ES_D	deg deg deg deg	The azimuth of arrival angular spread of the sub-paths within one cluster. The elevation of arrival angular spread of the sub-paths within one cluster. The azimuth of departure angular spread of the sub-paths within one cluster. The elevation of departure angular spread of the sub-paths within one cluster.
LNS_ksi		Normally, cluster powers are taken from an exponential power-delay-profile. This parameter enables an additional variation of the individual cluster powers around the PDP.
r_DS		This parameter allows the mapping of delay-spreads to delays and powers for the clusters. See section 3.2.
Spatial consistency parameters		Those parameters influence the locations-dependence of the large-and small-scale parameters.
SC_lambda	meter	Decorrelation distance of all random variables used for generating the small-scale-fading.
Ground reflection parameters		Those parameters control the ground reflection.
GR_enabled GR_epsilon	0/1 complex	Enables (1) or disables (0, default) the explicit ground reflection. Fixed reflection coefficient (complex-valued) of the ground. If a value of 0 is set, the reflection coefficient is determined by a frequency-dependent model. See: [11]

### 3 Technical Documentation of QuaDRiGa v1.4.8

**This section is out-of-date (it is identical to QuaDRiGa v1.4.8). New features such as the sum-of-sinusoids spatial consistency model [10], the multi-frequency correlation model [9] and the ground reflection model [11] are not included in the documentation yet. The section will be updated with the next QuaDRiGa version.**

Geometry-based stochastic channel models (GSCMs) such as the 3GPP-SCM [13], the WINNER model [4], the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) model [14] and the 3GPP-3D channel model [8] are important tools to validate new concepts in mobile communication systems. Early models such as the 3GPP-SCM [13], its extensions [15, 16], and the WINNER model [4] are based on a two-dimensional (2-D) modeling approach. However, Shafi et al. [17] pointed out the importance of a three-dimensional (3-D) extension when studying the effects of cross-polarized antennas on the MIMO capacity. This was taken up in the WINNER+ project where the parameter tables were completed with the elevation component [5]. 3-D propagation was also incorporated into other models such as the COST model [18] or mobile-to-mobile propagation models [19]. These later models share similar ideas which are incorporated into the new model outlined in this chapter.

A second aspect of major importance for various propagation environments is polarization. Multiple polarizations can be exploited to increase the number of spatial degrees of freedom especially when using compact antennas with a limited amount of elements [20, 21]. First attempts to include polarization effects into the SCM were made by Shafi *et al.* [17] who extended the simple 2-D antenna pattern of the SCM to a dual-polarized 3-D pattern. This method was then also adopted for the WINNER model [22]. However, Shafi *et al.*'s approach did not include a geometry-based method to calculate the cross-polarization effects. Instead, the XPR was incorporated statistically where the parameters were derived from measurements. This statistical approach leads to correct results for the cross-polarization discrimination (XPD)<sup>3</sup> in case of a well-balanced statistical mixture between LOS and NLOS scenarios in an indoor environment. However, the distribution of singular values, which is a better metric for characterizing the multi-stream capabilities of MIMO channels, was not considered. Zhou *et al.* [24] already indicated that it might be preferable to model the channel XPR by a rotation matrix. Later on, Quitin *et al.* [25] introduced an analytical channel model that correctly takes the antenna orientation into account. However, this method is limited to azimuthal propagation only (*i.e.*, no elevation angles are supported) and it does not support arbitrary antenna characteristics. It is discussed later in this chapter that the WINNER approach, which was adopted by all succeeding models, has great similarities with the Jones calculus, a method for handling polarized electromagnetic waves in the field of optics [26]. A new method to incorporate the polarization effects based on the Jones calculus is proposed in Section 3.5.

Another prerequisite for *virtual field trials* is the continuous time evolution of channel traces. Xiao *et al.* [15] added short-term time evolution to the SCM which was afterwards incorporated into an official SCM extension [16]. The idea is to calculate the position of the last-bounce scatterers (LBSs) based on the arrival angles of individual multipath components. Then, when the MT is moving, the arrival angles, delays and phases are updated using geometrical calculations. However, the WINNER-II model did not incorporate this technique and so did not the ITU, WINNER+, and 3GPP-3D model. Hence, all those models do not support time evolution beyond the scope of a few milliseconds which restricts the mobility of the MTs to a few meters. The COST model [14] incorporates time evolution by introducing groups of randomly placed scattering clusters that fade in and out depending on the MT position. However, despite the effort that was made to parameterize the model [27, 28], it still lacks sufficient parameters in many interesting scenarios. Czink *et al.* [29] introduced a simplified method that fades the clusters in and out over time. The cluster parameters were extracted from measurements, and the model is well suited for link-level simulations. However, this *random cluster model* cannot be used for system-level scenarios because it does not include

<sup>3</sup>Following the definition in [23], the term cross polarization ratio (XPR) is used for the polarization effects in the channel. Combining the XPR with imperfect antennas yields the cross-polarization discrimination (XPD).

geometry-based deployments. Nevertheless, the ideas presented by [29] led to more research on the birth and death probability as well as the lifetime of individual scattering clusters [30]. Wang *et al.* [31] then proposed a model for non-stationary channels that allows the scattering clusters to be mobile.

This chapter describes an extension of the WINNER model [4] where time evolution, geometric polarization and 3-D propagation effects such as spherical waved are incorporated. A reference implementation in MATLAB is available as open source [32]. The modeling approach consists of two steps: a stochastic part generates so-called large-scale parameters (LSPs) (*e.g.*, the delay and angular spreads) and calculates random 3-D positions of scattering clusters. It is assumed that the base station (BS) is fixed and the mobile terminal (MT) is moving. In this case, scattering clusters are fixed as well and the time evolution of the radio channel is deterministic. Different positions of the MT lead to different arrival angles, delays and phases for each multipath component (MPC). Longer sequences are generated by transitions between channel traces from consecutive initializations of the model. This allows the MTs to traverse different scenarios, *e.g.*, when moving from indoors to outdoors.

Figure 6 gives an overview of the modeling steps. The network layout (*i.e.*, the positions of the BSs, antenna configurations and downtilts), the positions and trajectories of the MTs, and the propagation scenarios need to be given as input variables. The channel coefficients are then calculated in seven steps which are described in detail in Sections 3.1 to 3.8 of this chapter. A quick summary of the procedure for generating the channel coefficients is given in the following list.




Figure 6: Steps for the calculation of time-evolving channel coefficients

#### A. Calculation of correlated large scale parameter maps

The first step ensures that the LSPs are consistent. As the name implies, these parameters (delay and angular spreads, K-factor and shadow fading) do not change rapidly. Closely spaced MTs will thus experience similar propagation effects. However, their fast-fading channels might be different.

#### B. Calculation of initial delays and path powers

Once the LSPs are known, the fast-fading channels are calculated for each MT separately. This step takes the specific values of the delay spread and the Ricean K-factor from step A and translates them into a set of multipath components, each having a specific power and delay value.

#### C. Calculation of departure and arrival angles

Each MPC gets assigned a specific departure direction at the transmitter and an arrival direction at the receiver. The power and delay values from step B remain unchanged during that process. The directions are chosen such that the angular spreads from step A are maintained.

#### D. Drifting of delays, angles, and phases over a short segment

This step incorporates mobility and spherical waves at the MT. Given the angles and delays (*i.e.*, the output of steps B and C), it is possible to calculate the exact position of the last-bounce scatterer (LBS), *i.e.*, the last reflection of a MPC before it reaches the receiver from the known. Then, when the MT moves to a different location, the LBS positions of all MPCs are kept fixed and the delays and arrival directions are updated. This also leads to an update of the phases of the MPCs which reflect the correct Doppler shift when the MT is moving because the length of a propagation path changes in a deterministic manner.

#### E. Calculation of polarized channel coefficients

This step takes care of the antenna and polarization effects. The antennas are described by their 3-D far field radiation patterns in a polar-spheric representation [33]. However, those patterns are given in an antenna-specific local coordinate system. Thus, this step includes a method to change the orientation of the antennas to match the MT and BS orientations defined at the input of the model. Then, additional changes in the polarization might occur during scattering of a MPC. The resulting effects are handled by a method inspired by the Jones calculus [26] where successive linear transformations are used to calculate the polarization state of a MPC.

#### F. Application of path gain, shadow fading and K-Factor

In this step, the remaining LSPs from step A, *i.e.*, the distance-dependent path gain and the shadow fading, are applied to the channel coefficients. When the MT position changes during drifting in step D, the Ricean K-factor at the new location might be different. This is taken into account here as well.

#### G. Transitions between segments

Longer sequences of channel coefficients need to consider the birth and death of scattering clusters as well as transitions between different propagation environments. This is addressed by splitting the MT trajectory into *segments*. A segment can be seen as an interval in which the LSPs do not change considerably and where the channel maintains its wide sense stationary (WSS) properties. Channel traces are then generated independently for each segment (*i.e.*, steps B-F). Those individual traces are combined into a longer sequence in the last step.

Time evolution requires a more detailed description of the mobility of the terminals compared to previous models. This is done by assigning tracks, *i.e.*, ordered lists of positions, to each MT. In reality, this may include accelerations, decelerations, and MTs with different speeds, *e.g.*, pedestrian and vehicular users. However, to minimize the computational overhead and memory requirements, channel coefficients are calculated at a constant sample rate that fulfills the sampling theorem

$$f_T \geq 2 \cdot B_D = 4 \cdot \max |\Delta f_D| = 4 \cdot \frac{\max |v|}{\lambda_c}, \quad (3)$$

where  $B_D$  is the width of the Doppler spectrum,  $\Delta f_D$  is the maximum frequency change due to the velocity  $v$ , and  $\lambda_c$  is the carrier wavelength. Thus, the appropriate sampling rate is proportional to the maximum speed of the MT. Since it is sometimes useful to examine algorithms at different speeds, it is undesirable to fix the sampling rate in advance as the speed is then fixed as well. To overcome this problem, channel coefficients are calculated at fixed positions with a sampling rate  $f_S$  measured in samples per meter. In its normalized form, it is known as sample density (SD). A time-series for arbitrary or varying speeds is then obtained by interpolating the coefficients in a post processing step.

$$f_S = \frac{f_T}{\max |v|} \geq \frac{4}{\lambda_c} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{SD} = \frac{f_S}{\lambda_c/2} \geq 2 \quad (5)$$

### 3.1 Correlated Large-Scale Parameter Maps

The first part of the channel model deals with the LSPs. Hence, it can also be considered the large-scale fading (LSF) model (step A in Fig. 6). A subsequent small-scale-fading (SSF) model (steps B-F in Fig. 6) then generates individual scattering clusters for each MT.

LSPs do not change rapidly. Typically, they are relatively constant for several meters. An example is the shadow fading (SF) which is caused by buildings or trees blocking a significant part of the signal. The so-called decorrelation distance of the SF, *i.e.*, the distance a MT must move to experience a significant change in the SF, is in the same order of magnitude as the size of the objects causing it. Thus, if a MT travels along a trajectory or if multiple MTs are closely spaced together, their LSPs are correlated. The positions of the scattering clusters are based on seven LSPs:

1. RMS delay spread (DS)
2. Ricean K-factor (KF)
3. Shadow fading (SF)
4. Azimuth spread of departure (ASD)
5. Azimuth spread of arrival (ASA)
6. Elevation spread of departure (ESD)
7. Elevation spread of arrival (ESA)

Their distribution properties are directly obtained from measurement data (*e.g.*, [4–7, 34]). The distance-dependent correlation of the LSPs is modeled by means of 2-D maps as illustrated in Figure 7. The method for generating these maps is adopted from [35]. The maps are initialized with values obtained from an independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) zero-mean Gaussian random process with desired variance. The samples are then subsequently filtered to obtain the desired autocorrelation function, *i.e.*, a decaying exponential function with a specific decorrelation distance. In contrast to [35], the maps are filtered also in the diagonal direction to get a smooth evolution of the values along the MT trajectory. Once the maps are generated, initial LSPs for each segment are obtained by interpolating the maps to match the exact position of the MT. The granularity of each LSP can be described on three levels: the propagation scenario level, the link level, and the path level.

- **Propagation scenario level**

The magnitude, variance and the correlation of a LSP in a specific scenario, *e.g.*, urban-macrocell, indoor hotspot, or urban satellite, are usually calculated from measurement data. Normally, LSPs are assumed to be log-normal distributed. For example, the median log-normal delay spread  $DS_\mu$  in an urban cellular scenario is  $-6.89 \log_{10}(\text{s})$  which corresponds to a DS of  $\sigma_\tau = 128 \text{ ns}$ . With a standard deviation of  $DS_\sigma = 0.5$ , typical values may vary in between 40 and 407 ns. The same principle applies for the other six LSPs. The decorrelation distance (*e.g.*,  $DS_\lambda = 40 \text{ m}$ ) describes the distance-dependent correlation of the LSP. If *e.g.*, two mobile terminals in the above example are 40 m apart from each other, their DS is correlated with a correlation coefficient of  $e^{-1} = 0.37$ . Additionally, all LSPs are cross correlated. A typical example is the dependence of the angular spread (AS), *e.g.*, the azimuth spread of arrival on the KF. With a large KF (*e.g.*, 10 dB), a significant amount of energy comes from a single direction. Thus, the AS gets smaller which leads to a negative correlation between the DS and the KF.

- **Link level**

A MT at a specific position (black dot on the map in Figure 7) is assigned to a propagation scenario. Depending on the position and the scenario, it experiences a radio channel which is determined by the specific values of the seven LSPs. Due to the autocorrelation properties, small distances between users in the same scenario also lead to high correlations in the channel statistics, *e.g.*, a second terminal right next to the current user will experience a similar DS. The second granularity level thus contains the specific values of the LSPs for each user position. Generating those values can be seen as going

from the scenario-wide distribution  $\mu, \sigma$  of a LSP to virtual “*measurement*”-values for each MT.

- **Path Level**

Finally, the individual components of the CIR are calculated. This procedure takes the values of the LSPs into account and calculates the path-powers and the path-delays of the MPCs. The detailed procedure for this is described in the following sections.

The correlation maps are generated for a fixed sampling grid by successively filtering a random, normal distributed sequence of values with a **finite impulse response (FIR)** filter. The principle is depicted in Figure 8. The map is represented by a matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  with entries  $B_{y,x}$  where  $y$  is the row index and  $x$  is the column index. The first value  $B_{1,1}$  corresponds to the top left (or north-west) corner of the map. The FIR filter coefficients are calculated from the decorrelation distance  $d_\lambda$  (in units of meters). The distance dependent correlation coefficient follows an exponential function

$$\rho(d) = \exp\left(-\frac{d}{d_\lambda}\right), \quad (6)$$

with  $d$  as the distance between two positions [36]. Two sets of filter coefficients are used: one for the horizontal and vertical direction, and one for the diagonal directions. This is done by taking (6) and substituting the distance  $d$  by the relative distance  $d_{px}$  of two neighboring values.

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\lambda}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{k \cdot d_{px}}{d_\lambda}\right) \quad (7)$$

$$b_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_\lambda}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{k \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot d_{px}}{d_\lambda}\right) \quad (8)$$

$k$  is the running filter coefficient index. The exponential decay function is cut at a maximum distance of  $4 \cdot d_\lambda$  and normalized with  $\sqrt{d_\lambda}$ . The map size is therefore determined by the distribution of the users in the




Figure 7: Principle of the generation of channel coefficients based on correlated LSPs

scenario plus the length of the filter function. This is also illustrated in Figure 8 where the user terminals are placed inside the black square. The extension space is needed to avoid filter artifacts at the edges of the map. The map is initialized with random, normal distributed numbers. Then, the filter (7) is applied in vertical direction (running from top to bottom) and in horizontal direction (from left to right).

$$B_{y,x}^{[1]} = X \quad \text{with} \quad X \sim N(0,1) \quad (9)$$

$$B_{y,x}^{[2]} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 4 \cdot d_\lambda / d_{px} \rfloor} a_k \cdot B_{y-k,x} \quad (10)$$

$$B_{y,x}^{[3]} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 4 \cdot d_\lambda / d_{px} \rfloor} a_k \cdot B_{y,x-k} \quad (11)$$

Next, the second filter (8) is applied on the diagonals of the map: at first from the top left to the bottom right, and then from the bottom left to the top right.

$$B_{y,x}^{[4]} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 4 \cdot d_\lambda / d_{px} \rfloor} b_k \cdot B_{y-k,x-k} \quad (12)$$

$$B_{y,x}^{[5]} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor 4 \cdot d_\lambda / d_{px} \rfloor} b_k \cdot B_{y+k,x-k} \quad (13)$$

After the 2-D autocorrelation shaping is done, the extension space is removed and remaining map are scaled to have the desired distribution  $\mu, \sigma$ . The same procedure is repeated for all seven LSPs. However, the decorrelation distance  $d_\lambda$  as well as  $\mu, \sigma$  for each LSP can be different.

In order to account for the inter-LSP correlation, a  $7 \times 7$  matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  is assembled containing all cross-correlation values  $\rho$  between each two LSPs.

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho_{DS,KF} & \rho_{DS,SF} & \rho_{DS,ASD} & \rho_{DS,ASA} & \rho_{DS,ESD} & \rho_{DS,ESA} \\ \rho_{KF,DS} & 1 & \rho_{KF,SF} & \rho_{KF,ASD} & \rho_{KF,ASA} & \rho_{KF,ESD} & \rho_{KF,ESA} \\ \rho_{SF,DS} & \rho_{SF,KF} & 1 & \rho_{SF,ASD} & \rho_{SF,ASA} & \rho_{SF,ESD} & \rho_{SF,ESA} \\ \rho_{ASD,DS} & \rho_{ASD,KF} & \rho_{ASD,SF} & 1 & \rho_{ASD,ASA} & \rho_{ASD,ESD} & \rho_{ASD,ESA} \\ \rho_{ASA,DS} & \rho_{ASA,KF} & \rho_{ASA,SF} & \rho_{ASA,ASD} & 1 & \rho_{ASA,ESD} & \rho_{ASA,ESA} \\ \rho_{ESD,DS} & \rho_{ESD,KF} & \rho_{ESD,SF} & \rho_{ESD,ASD} & \rho_{ESD,ASA} & 1 & \rho_{ESD,ESA} \\ \rho_{ESA,DS} & \rho_{ESA,KF} & \rho_{ESA,SF} & \rho_{ESA,ASD} & \rho_{ESA,ASA} & \rho_{ESA,ESD} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Then, the square-root matrix  $\mathbf{X}^{1/2}$  is calculated from  $\mathbf{X}$ . In order to calculate the matrix-square-root,  $\mathbf{X}$  must be positive definite to get a unique, real-valued solution. The matrix  $\mathbf{X}^{1/2}$  is then multiplied with the




Figure 8: Map-based 2-D autocorrelation shaping using FIR filters

seven values obtained from the maps at the sample point  $(y, x)$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{y,x,DS} \\ \vdots \\ B_{y,x,EsD} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{X}^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} B_{y,x,DS}^{[5]} \\ \vdots \\ B_{y,x,EsD}^{[5]} \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

The procedure is repeated for all sample points of the map. Finally, the MTs are placed in the maps and the corresponding LSPs values are obtained by interpolating the surrounding entries of the matrices, *e.g.*, by a 2-D spline interpolation. In this way, initial LSPs for the following steps of the channel model are generated.

### 3.2 Initial Delays and Normalized Path Powers

Once the LSP maps are generated, the SSF part of the model generates individual scattering clusters for each MT. The next step is to read the delay spread (DS) and the Ricean K-factor (KF) from the maps at the exact position of the MT. Then, initial delays are drawn randomly from a scenario-dependent delay distribution as

$$\tau_l^{[1]} = -r_\tau \sigma_\tau \ln(X_l), \quad (16)$$

where the index  $l$  denotes the path number,  $X_l \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$  is a uniformly distributed random variable having values between 0 and 1,  $\sigma_\tau$  is the initial DS from the map, and  $r_\tau$  is a proportionality factor (see [4]). The term  $r_\tau$  was introduced in [13] because  $\sigma_\tau$  is influenced by both the delays  $\tau_l$  and the powers  $P_l$ ;  $r_\tau$  is usually calculated from measurement data. Next, the delays are adjusted such that the first delay is set to zero and then they are sorted

$$\tau_l^{[2]} = \text{sort} \left\{ \tau_l^{[1]} - \min(\tau_l^{[1]}) \right\}. \quad (17)$$

The NLOS path powers are drawn from a single slope exponential power delay profile (PDP) depending on the DS  $\sigma_\tau$  and a random component  $Z_l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \zeta^2)$  [4]. The term  $\zeta$  is a scenario-dependent coefficient emulating an additional shadowing process. It is obtained from measurements.

$$P_l^{[1]} = \exp \left( -\tau_l^{[2]} \cdot \frac{r_\tau - 1}{r_\tau} \cdot \sigma_\tau \right) \cdot 10^{\frac{-Z_l}{10}} \quad (18)$$

Here, the power values are given in units of Watts. The power of the first path is further scaled according to the initial KF from the map and the path powers are normalized so that their sum power is one Watt.

$$P_1^{[2]} = K \sum_{l=2}^L P_l^{[1]} \quad (19)$$

$$P_{2\dots l}^{[2]} = P_{2\dots l}^{[1]} \quad (20)$$

$$P_l = P_l^{[2]} / \sum_{l=1}^L P_l^{[2]} \quad (21)$$

The scaling of the powers by the KF changes the DS. Hence, in the last step, this is corrected by calculating the actual delay spread using the scaled powers and normalizing the delays in order to obtain the desired RMS delay spread in the PDP. The DS after applying (21) is

$$\sigma_\tau^{[\text{actual}]} = \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot \tau_l^2 - \left( \sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot \tau_l \right)^2}. \quad (22)$$

This value differs from the initial value  $\sigma_\tau$  that was provided by the parameter map. Hence, the delays (17) are scaled such that the correct delay spread can be calculated from the generated path-delay and path-powers.

$$\tau_l = \frac{\sigma_\tau}{\sigma_\tau^{[\text{actual}]}} \cdot \tau_l^{[2]} \quad (23)$$

The last step is different from other models. The WINNER [4] and 3GPP-3D model [8] scale the delays with an empiric formula that corrects the delays to reduce the effect of a high KF. However, due to the random variables in (16) and (18) the resulting DS is always different from the value in the map. The new method ensures that scattering clusters are distributed in a way that the DS calculated from the MPCs is exactly the same as the DS from the maps. In the following section, the departure and arrival directions of each MPC are generated. Those are the combined with the delays in order to calculate the 3-D positions of the scattering clusters.

### 3.3 Departure and Arrival Angles

Four angles are calculated for each propagation path. The 2-D WINNER model [4] introduced the azimuth angle of departure (AoD),  $\phi^d$ , and the azimuth angle of arrival (AoA),  $\phi^a$ . In 3-D coordinates, the EoD,  $\theta^d$ , and the EoA,  $\theta^a$  are also needed<sup>4</sup>. The angles share similar calculation methods but have different angular spreads (ASs)  $\sigma_{\phi^a}$ ,  $\sigma_{\phi^d}$ ,  $\sigma_{\theta^a}$ , and  $\sigma_{\theta^d}$ . As for the DS, these four values are obtained by reading the values from the LSP maps at the MT position. The individual departure and arrival angles of the MPCs are generated by first assigning random angles to the already known path powers from the previous step. In order to obtain the correct ASs, a scaling operation is used to readjust the angles. This approach is different from the WINNER and 3GPP-3D model where the angles are mapped to the already known powers using a wrapped Gaussian distribution [37]<sup>5</sup>. As for the DS, the intention behind the new method is to achieve the best possible match between the ASs that can be calculated from the MPCs and the values from the LSP maps. In this section, the method for generating the azimuth and elevation angles is outlined. Since the angles can only have values between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$  it is not possible to achieve any arbitrary AS. A discussion of the limits of the method is given in a separate paragraph.

**Azimuth angles** Here, the calculation method for the azimuth angles is described. The same calculation method is used for the AoD and the AoA. Hence,  $\phi$  is used instead of  $\phi^d$  or  $\phi^a$ . Likewise, the corresponding AS is denoted as  $\sigma_\phi$ .

At first, a random list of angles is created for the NLOS paths from a Gaussian normal distribution with zero-mean and a variance corresponding to the given AS from the LSP maps. The LOS angle is defined to be zero.

$$\phi_1^{[1]} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{2\dots L}^{[1]} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_\phi^2) \quad (24)$$

The so obtained angles need to be mapped to the interval  $[-\pi; \pi]$ . This is done by a modulo operation which wraps the angles around the unit circle.

$$\phi_i^{[2]} = \left( \phi_i^{[1]} + \pi \pmod{2\pi} \right) - \pi. \quad (25)$$

The relationship between path powers and angles is random. Hence, the resulting AS is undefined. In the next step, the actual AS is calculated. This requires calculating the power-weighted mean angle  $\bar{\phi}$  because the angles are distributed on a sphere and, therefore, the AS depends on the reference angle, *i.e.*, the definition of where  $0^\circ$  is. The angle  $\bar{\phi}$  is subtracted from the angles  $\phi_i^{[2]}$  to map the mean angle to  $0^\circ$ . Then, and the wrapping around the unit circle (modulo operation) is applied a second time. The AS then follows

<sup>4</sup>The new model uses the geographic coordinate system where the elevation angle  $\theta = 90^\circ$  points to the zenith and  $\theta = 0^\circ$  points to the horizon.

<sup>5</sup>A summary of the WINNER method together with a description of how it can be used in the new model is given in Appendix A.

from

$$\bar{\phi} = \arg \left( \sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot \exp \left( j \phi_l^{[2]} \right) \right), \quad (26)$$

$$\phi_l^{[*]} = \left( \phi_l^{[2]} - \bar{\phi} + \pi \pmod{2\pi} \right) - \pi, \quad (27)$$

$$\sigma_{\phi}^{[\text{actual}]} = \sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot \left( \phi_l^{[*]} \right)^2 - \left( \sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot \phi_l^{[*]} \right)^2}. \quad (28)$$

Now, with  $\sigma_{\phi}$  being the initial AS from the map, the angles  $\phi_l^{[2]}$  are updated to

$$\phi_l^{[3]} = \frac{\sigma_{\phi}}{\sigma_{\phi}^{[\text{actual}]}} \cdot \phi_l^{[2]}. \quad (29)$$

If  $\sigma_{\phi}$  is larger than  $\sigma_{\phi}^{[\text{actual}]}$ , then (25) needs to be applied again in order to account for the periodicity of the angles. However, this could lower the AS instead of increasing it as intended by the scaling operation. A solution is to create new angles with a bias to the negative side of the circle.

$$\phi_l^{[4]} = \begin{cases} \phi_l^{[3]}, & \text{if } |\phi_l^{[3]}| < \pi; \\ \mathcal{N}(\pi, \frac{\pi^2}{4}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (30)$$

$$\phi_l^{[5]} = \left( \phi_l^{[4]} + \pi \pmod{2\pi} \right) - \pi \quad (31)$$

However, this changes the AS and the calculations (26) to (31) need to be repeated iteratively until the actual AS  $\sigma_{\phi}^{[\text{actual}]}$  converges either to the given value  $\sigma_{\phi}$  or a maximum value. Finally, the LOS direction is applied.

$$\phi_l = \phi_l^{[5]} + \phi^{LOS} \quad (32)$$

**Elevation angles** Since the elevation angles can only have values in between  $-\frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , the calculation method differs from the method used for the azimuth angles. Again, the same method is used for the EoD and the EoA. Hence,  $\theta$  is used instead of  $\theta^d$  or  $\theta^a$ . Likewise, the corresponding AS is denoted as  $\sigma_{\theta}$ .

As for the azimuth angles, a random list of angles is created for the NLOS paths from a Gaussian normal distribution with zero-mean and a variance corresponding to the given AS from the LSP maps. The LOS angle is defined to be 0.

$$\theta_1^{[1]} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{2\dots L}^{[1]} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\theta}^2) \quad (33)$$

Next, the LOS direction is applied. This makes sure that the elevation angles are spread around the LOS path.

$$\theta_l^{[2]} = \theta_l^{[1]} + \theta^{LOS} \quad (34)$$

The so obtained angles need to be mapped to the interval  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}; \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . This is done by a modulo operation which wraps the angles around the unit circle and an additional operation that mirrors the angles at the poles of the unit sphere, *e.g.*, an elevation angle of  $91^\circ$  is mapped to  $89^\circ$ ,  $92^\circ$  to  $88^\circ$ , and so on. This ensures that the previously calculated azimuth angles are not changed.

$$\theta_l^{[3]} = \left( \theta_l^{[2]} + \pi \pmod{2\pi} \right) - \pi \quad (35)$$

$$\theta_l^{[4]} = \begin{cases} \pi - \theta_l^{[3]}, & \text{if } \theta_l^{[3]} > \frac{\pi}{2}; \\ \theta_l^{[3]} - \pi, & \text{if } \theta_l^{[3]} < -\frac{\pi}{2}; \\ \theta_l^{[3]}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

As for the azimuth angles, the resulting AS is undefined. Hence, the actual elevation spread  $\sigma_{\theta}^{[\text{actual}]}$  is calculated using equations (26), (27) and (28). Then, with  $\sigma_{\theta}$  being the initial elevation spread from the map, the angles  $\theta_i^{[4]}$  are updated. Since the angles should be distributed around the LOS direction,  $\theta^{LOS}$  needs to be subtracted before scaling the angles and added again after scaling them.

$$\theta_i^{[5]} = \frac{\sigma_{\theta}}{\sigma_{\theta}^{[\text{actual}]}} \cdot (\theta_i^{[4]} - \theta^{LOS}) + \theta^{LOS} \quad (37)$$

However, this update might shift some angles outside the allowed interval, especially if there is already a strong bias due to the LOS path which might happen *e.g.*, in satellite scenarios when the satellite has a high elevation. Hence, angles outside the allowed range are replaced with

$$\theta_i^{[6]} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{N}(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{8}) & \text{if } \theta_i^{[5]} > \frac{\pi}{2}; \\ \mathcal{N}(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi^2}{8}) & \text{if } \theta_i^{[5]} < -\frac{\pi}{2}; \\ \theta_i^{[5]} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

As for the azimuth angles, equations (35) to (38) must be applied in an iterative fashion until  $\sigma_{\theta}^{[\text{actual}]}$  converges either to the given value  $\sigma_{\theta}$  or a maximum value.

**Maximal angular spread** The method for generating the angles tries to achieve the AS values from the LSP maps as best as possible. However, the generated angles can only have values between  $-\pi$  and  $\pi$  for the azimuth direction and  $-\frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  for the elevation direction. As a result, there are upper limits for the ASs. This fact was also acknowledged by the 3GPP-3D model [8] where the azimuth AS is capped at  $104^{\circ}$  and the elevation AS is capped at  $52^{\circ}$ . However, with increasing KF, the maximum AS decreases even more since more power is allocated to the LOS path. For example, with a KF of 10 dB the maximum azimuth spread is  $57^{\circ}$ , provided that all NLOS paths arrive from the opposite direction of the LOS path. If the angles are mapped randomly to the path powers, the realistically achievable AS is even lower (approx.  $41^{\circ}$ ). Figure 9 shows the maximum AS for the new method as a function of the KF. For NLOS propagation, the achievable azimuth spread is around  $100^{\circ}$ , and the elevation spread is around  $65^{\circ}$ . Those values are close to the limits specified by 3GPP. If the requested AS is larger than the maximum AS, the new method adjusts the angles in a way that the AS at the output of the model is close to the maximum AS. This is illustrated in the right part of Figure 9 where the relation between the requested AS from the map and the achieved AS in the generated MPCs is illustrated for three different values of the KF. When the requested AS exceeds the maximal achievable AS, the angles are chosen such that the maximal AS is achieved.




Figure 9: Maximal achievable angular spread depending on the K-factor

**Subpaths** At bandwidths below 100 MHz, closely clustered paths that originate from the same scattering cluster cannot be resolved in the delay domain. However, those unresolvable paths can still arrive from

slightly different directions. When the band-limited CIR is observed over time, the power of the *resolved paths* appears to fluctuate as a result of the constructive and destructive interference caused by the superposition of the unresolvable paths. This phenomenon was modeled in the 3GPP-SCM [13] by splitting NLOS paths into 20 sub-paths that arrive from slightly different directions. The LOS path has no sub-paths. The same approach is used in the new model. The azimuth and elevation angles of each sub-paths are calculated as

$$\phi_{l,m} = \phi_l + \frac{\pi \cdot c_\phi \cdot \hat{\phi}_m}{180^\circ}, \quad \text{for } l > 1; \quad (39)$$

$$\theta_{l,m} = \theta_l + \frac{\pi \cdot c_\theta \cdot \hat{\phi}_m}{180^\circ}, \quad \text{for } l > 1. \quad (40)$$

Here,  $m$  is the sub-path index,  $c_\phi$  is the scenario-dependent cluster-wise RMS AS and  $\hat{\phi}$  is the offset angle of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  sub-path from Table 19.  $c_\phi$  and  $\hat{\phi}$  are given in degrees here. Furthermore, each of the 20 angle pairs  $(\theta_{l,m}^d, \phi_{l,m}^d)$  at the transmitter (Tx) gets coupled with a random angle pair  $(\theta_{l,m}^a, \phi_{l,m}^a)$  at the receiver (Rx) (see [4]).

Table 19: Offset Angle of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  Sub-Path from [4]

Sub-path $m$	Offset angle $\hat{\phi}_m$ (degrees)	Sub-path $m$	Offset angle $\hat{\phi}_m$ (degrees)
1,2	$\pm 0.0447$	11,12	$\pm 0.6797$
3,4	$\pm 0.1413$	13,14	$\pm 0.8844$
5,6	$\pm 0.2492$	15,16	$\pm 1.1481$
7,8	$\pm 0.3715$	17,18	$\pm 1.5195$
9,10	$\pm 0.5129$	19,20	$\pm 2.1551$

At this point, each (sub-)path is described by its delay, power, departure direction, and arrival direction. The next step is to use these values to calculate the exact position of the scatterers. This is needed in order to incorporate mobility at the MT. When the MT moves to a different location, the delays and arrival directions are updated in a deterministic way. One method for doing this was proposed by Baum *et al.* [16] who introduced the term *drifting*.

### 3.4 Drifting

After the path-delays, powers, and angles are known for the *initial position* of the MT, their values are updated when the MT moves to a different location. This is an essential step to ensure spatial consistency for moving terminals. Without tracking of the delays and directions of a path, the behavior of the channel coefficients at the output of the model does not agree well with the reality. State-of-the-art GSCMs such as the 3GPP-3D model use a simplified approach for the temporal evolution of the CIR. In this approach, each MPC gets assigned a Doppler shift based on the initial arrival angles. However, the angles and path delays remain unchanged. In these models, there is no way to explicitly describe the movements of a terminal, *e.g.*, pedestrians using mobile phones, people in trains, cars, or other means of transport. This often leads to simplified simulation assumptions such as all MTs having ideal antennas and antenna orientations, moving at a constant speed and in a fixed direction. Conclusions drawn from such simulations might be very different from the achievable performance in real deployments.

In the new model, the MT moves along a trajectory which is divided into segments. Each segment is several meters long and it is assumed that the channel maintains its wide sense stationary (WSS) properties for the time it takes a MT to traverse the segment, *i.e.*, the LSPs don't change significantly. Changes in the orientation of a terminal are treated in Section 3.5 when the antenna characteristics are included. The *birth and death* of MPCs is treated at the edge of a segment when a new segment starts (see Section 3.8). In this section, a method to update the path-parameters (delay, angles, and phase) for each MT position along a segment is presented. Drifting for 2-D propagation was already introduced in an extension of the SCM [16].

However, it was not incorporated into the WINNER and 3GPP-3D models and no evaluation was reported. Here, the idea from [16] is extended towards 3-D propagation to incorporate time evolution into the new model.

The following paragraphs outline the calculations needed to implement drifting in 3-D coordinates which are not part of any of the previous GSCMs. For this, the individual delays and angles for each MPC from the previous sections are needed. In order to obtain correct results for large array antennas, *e.g.*, for massive MIMO, the calculations must be done for each individual element of an array antenna. Thus, the new model inherently supports spherical waves. If the BS array size is small compared to the BS-MT distance, it is sufficient to consider only a single scatterer (the LBS) for the NLOS paths. In this case, all calculations at the BS assume planar waves and the delays, angles and phases are updated for different MT positions with respect to the LBS. This is done in the so-called *single-bounce model*. However, many massive MIMO deployments are done indoors or in so-called micro-cell scenarios where BS and MT are relatively close to each other. At the same time, scattering clusters might be very close to the transmitter which is not the case in macro-cell scenarios when the BS antennas are deployed above the rooftop. For such micro-cell deployments it is essential to calculate the correct phase for each BS antenna element. Thus, in addition to the LBS, it is also necessary to also take the position of the first-bounce scatterer (FBS) into account. This is done in the *multi-bounce model*. Since the single-bounce model is a special case of the multi-bounce model (FBS and LBS are the same), both approaches are used. In the following, the single-bounce model is described first and then extended towards the multi-bounce model. The special LOS case is described last.

Besides the initial delays, path-powers, and angles, drifting requires the exact position of each antenna element. At the MT, element positions need to be updated for each snapshot with respect to the MT orientation. The following calculations are then done element-wise. The indices denote the Rx antenna element ( $r$ ) and the Tx antenna element ( $t$ ), the path number ( $l$ ), the sub-path number ( $m$ ), and the snapshot number ( $s$ ) within the current segment, respectively. The scatterer positions are kept fixed for the time it takes a MT to move through a segment. Hence, the angles ( $\theta^d, \phi^d$ ) seen from the BS do not change except for the LOS angle which is treated separately. Based on this assumption, the angles ( $\theta^a, \phi^a$ ) as well as the path delay only change with respect to the last-bounce scatterer (LBS).

**NLOS drifting (single-bounce model)** The position of the LBS is calculated based on the initial arrival angles and the path delays. Then, the angles and path lengths between the LBS and the terminal are updated for each snapshot on the track. This is done for each antenna element separately. Figure 10 illustrates the angles and their relations. The first delay is always zero due to (17). Hence, the total length of the  $l^{\text{th}}$  path is

$$d_l = \tau_l \cdot c + |\mathbf{r}|, \quad (41)$$

where  $|\mathbf{r}|$  is the distance between the Tx and the initial Rx location and  $c$  is the speed of light. All sub-paths have the same delay and thus the same path length. However, each sub-path has different arrival angles




Figure 10: Illustration of the calculation of the scatterer positions and updates of the arrival angles in the single-bounce model

$(\theta_{l,m}^a, \phi_{l,m}^a)$ . Those angles are transformed into Cartesian coordinates to obtain

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l,m} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi_{l,m}^a \cdot \cos \theta_{l,m}^a \\ \sin \phi_{l,m}^a \cdot \cos \theta_{l,m}^a \\ \sin \theta_{l,m}^a \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\mathbf{a}_{l,m}}{|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|}. \quad (42)$$

This vector has unit length and points from the initial Rx position towards the scatterer. Next, the length of the vector  $\mathbf{a}_{l,m}$  is obtained. Since the drifting at the MT is modeled by a single reflection, Tx, Rx, and LBS form a triangle. The values of  $d_l$ ,  $\mathbf{r}$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l,m}$  are known. Thus, the cosine theorem can be used to calculate the length  $|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|$  between the Rx and LBS.

$$|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}|^2 = |\mathbf{r}|^2 + |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|^2 - 2|\mathbf{r}||\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|\cos \alpha_{l,m} \quad (43)$$

$$(d_l - |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|)^2 = |\mathbf{r}|^2 + |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|^2 + 2|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|\mathbf{r}^T \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l,m} \quad (44)$$

$$|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}| = \frac{d_l^2 - |\mathbf{r}|^2}{2 \cdot (d_l + \mathbf{r}^T \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l,m})} \quad (45)$$

In (44) the term  $\cos \alpha_{l,m}$  is substituted with  $-\mathbf{r}^T \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l,m}/|\mathbf{r}|$  since the angle between  $\mathbf{a}_{l,m}$  and  $-\mathbf{r}$  is needed. Now, the vector  $\mathbf{a}_{r,l,m,s}$  is calculated for the Rx antenna element  $r$  at snapshot  $s$ . The element position includes the orientation of the array antenna with respect to the moving direction of the Rx. Hence, the vector  $\mathbf{e}_{r,s}$  points from the initial Rx location to the  $r^{\text{th}}$  antenna element at snapshot  $s$ .

$$\mathbf{a}_{r,l,m,s} = \mathbf{a}_{l,m} - \mathbf{e}_{r,s} \quad (46)$$

An update of the arrival angles is obtained by transforming  $\mathbf{a}_{r,l,m,s}$  back to spherical coordinates.

$$\phi_{r,l,m,s}^a = \arctan_2 \{a_{r,l,m,s,y}, a_{r,l,m,s,x}\} \quad (47)$$

$$\theta_{r,l,m,s}^a = \arcsin \left\{ \frac{a_{r,l,m,s,z}}{|\mathbf{a}_{r,l,m,s}|} \right\} \quad (48)$$

The departure angles  $\phi^d$  and  $\theta^d$  are identical for all Tx elements in a static scattering environment. However, the phases and path delays depend on the total path length  $d_{r,t,l,m,s}$ . To obtain this value, the vector  $\mathbf{b}_{l,m}$  needs to be calculated from the vectors  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{l,m}$  at  $r = s = 1$ .

$$\mathbf{b}_{l,m} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{a}_{l,m} \quad (49)$$

$$d_{r,l,m,s} = |\mathbf{b}_{l,m}| + |\mathbf{a}_{r,l,m,s}| \quad (50)$$

Finally, the phases  $\psi$  and path delays  $\tau$  are calculated as

$$\psi_{r,l,m,s} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \cdot (d_{r,l,m,s} \bmod \lambda), \quad (51)$$

$$\tau_{r,l,s} = \frac{1}{20 \cdot c} \sum_{m=1}^{20} d_{r,l,m,s}. \quad (52)$$

The phase always changes with respect to the total path length. Hence, when the MT moves away from the LBS the phase will increase and when the MT moves towards the LBS the phase will decrease. This inherently captures the Doppler shift of single paths and creates a realistic Doppler spread in the channel coefficients at the output of the model.

In the next paragraph, the single-bounce model is extended to include the FBS in order to realistically model the effects caused by large array antennas at the Tx. This is important in scenarios where there is scattering close to the BS, *e.g.*, indoors or in micro-cell deployments with low BS heights.




Figure 11: Illustration of the calculation of the scatterer positions and updates of the departure and arrival angles in the multi-bounce model

**NLOS drifting (multi-bounce model)** In the multi-bounce model, the NLOS propagation path consists of three segments as illustrated in Figure 11. In the first segment, the vector  $\mathbf{b}_{t,l,m}$  points from the position of a Tx-antenna element  $t$  to the FBS. The Tx-element position is indicated by the vector  $\mathbf{e}_t$ . In the second segment, the vector  $\mathbf{c}_{l,m}$  points from the FBS to the LBS, and in the third segment, the vector  $\mathbf{a}_{r,l,m,s}$  points from the Rx-location to the LBS. The total propagation path length  $d_l$  is fixed by (41). Hence, the total path lengths is

$$d_l = |\mathbf{b}_{l,m}| + |\mathbf{c}_{l,m}| + |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|, \quad (53)$$

where the vector  $\mathbf{b}_{l,m}$  points from the Tx-array center to the FBS, *i.e.*, where  $|\mathbf{e}_t| = 0$ . The departure and arrival angles are known from the calculations in Section 3.3. Thus, the unit-length vector  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l,m}$  can be calculated by converting the departure angles of a path to Cartesian coordinates.

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{l,m} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi_{l,m}^d \cdot \cos \theta_{l,m}^d \\ \sin \phi_{l,m}^d \cdot \cos \theta_{l,m}^d \\ \sin \theta_{l,m}^d \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\mathbf{b}_{l,m}}{|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}|} \quad (54)$$

In order to explicitly calculate the positions of the FBS and the LBS, the lengths of the vectors  $\mathbf{a}_{l,m}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_{l,m}$  are needed. However, due to (53) there is no unique solution. One way to fix the additional degree of freedom is to minimize the length of  $\mathbf{c}_{l,m}$ . Ideally,  $|\mathbf{c}_{l,m}|$  becomes zero and the multi-bounce model collapses to a single-bounce model. In order to obtain realistic results, an additional minimum distance  $d_{\min}$  between the phase center of the array antennas and the nearest scatterer is introduced. Then, the lengths  $|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|$  and  $|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}|$  can be calculated by solving the following optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|, |\mathbf{b}_{l,m}|}{\text{minimize}} && |\mathbf{c}_{l,m}| = d_l - |\mathbf{b}_{l,m}| - |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}| \\ & \text{subject to} && \mathbf{r} = \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{l,m} \cdot |\mathbf{b}_{l,m}| + \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{l,m} \cdot |\mathbf{c}_{l,m}| - \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l,m} \cdot |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|, \\ & && |\mathbf{b}_{l,m}| \geq d_{\min}, \\ & && |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}| \geq d_{\min}. \end{aligned}$$

The minimum distance  $d_{\min}$  might be fixed according to the scenario and center frequency, *e.g.*, 1 m for outdoor propagation and 0.1 m for indoor propagation. To solve the optimization problem  $|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|$  is set to  $d_{\min}$  and  $\mathbf{c}_{l,m}$  and  $|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}|$  are calculated using the cosine theorem. This is possible since the Tx, the FBS and the LBS form a triangle as indicated in Figure 11 by the gray shaded area.

$$d_l^+ = \tau_l \cdot c + |\mathbf{r}| - |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}| \quad (55)$$

$$\mathbf{d}_{l,m} = \mathbf{r} + \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{l,m} \cdot |\mathbf{a}_{l,m}| \quad (56)$$

$$|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}| = \frac{(d_l^+)^2 - |\mathbf{d}_{l,m}|^2}{2 \cdot (d_l^+ - \mathbf{d}_{l,m}^T \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{l,m})} \quad (57)$$

There can be three possible results from this first iteration step that need to be treated separately.

1. The value obtained for  $|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}|$  might be smaller than  $d_{\min}$  or even smaller than 0. The latter would imply that the departure direction of the path has changed to the other side of the Tx. However, this is not allowed since the departure angles are fixed. In this case, the optimization problem has no solution. This often happens when the propagation delay of a path is very short, *i.e.*, when the path is only a little longer than the LOS path.

This case is treated by setting  $|\mathbf{c}_{l,m}| = 0$  and calculating new departure angles using the single-bounce model. This changes the departure angular spread. However, in most cases there will be many paths where the optimization problem has a solution. This is especially true for paths with a longer propagation delay. Hence, it is possible to mitigate the changed departure angular spread by adjusting the departure angles of the multi-bounce paths. The rationale behind this is that many measurements [5, 7] show a rather small departure angular spread of just a few degrees but a large arrival angular spread. This leaves more “room” to adjust the departure angles before they are wrapped around the unit circle. Also, paths with a short propagation delay have more power due to the exponential PDP used in (18). From a physical point of view, those paths are more likely to be scattered only once. Later paths have significantly less power and can be the result of multiple scattering events.

2. The value for  $|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}|$  is larger than  $d_{\min}$  and the optimization problem has a solution. In this case, there will also be an optimal solution, *i.e.*, a minimal distance  $|\mathbf{c}_{l,m}|$ . This minimum must be in between the start point where  $|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}| = d_{\min}$  and the end point where  $|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}| = d_{\min}$ . For each value  $|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}|$  there follows a value for  $|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}|$  and a value for  $|\mathbf{c}_{l,m}|$  which both can be calculated using the cosine theorem. Hence, it is possible to apply standard numeric methods such as bisection to obtain the optimal solution.
3. The optimization problem has a solution but the solution lies on one of the end points, either at  $|\mathbf{a}_{l,m}| = d_{\min}$  or at  $|\mathbf{b}_{l,m}| = d_{\min}$ . In this case, the LBS or the FBS are very close to either the Tx or the Rx antenna. In this case, the problem is relaxed such that  $|\mathbf{c}_{l,m}|$  is allowed to double in order to increase the space between scatterer and array antenna.

Once the positions of the FBS and the LBS are known, the departure angles and the total path length are updated for each antenna element of the Tx array.

$$\mathbf{b}_{t,l,m} = \mathbf{b}_{l,m} - \mathbf{e}_t \quad (58)$$

$$\phi_{t,l,m}^d = \arctan_2 \{b_{t,l,m,y}, b_{t,l,m,x}\} \quad (59)$$

$$\theta_{t,l,m}^d = \arcsin \left\{ \frac{b_{t,l,m,z}}{|\mathbf{b}_{t,l,m}|} \right\} \quad (60)$$

$$d_{r,t,l,m,s} = |\mathbf{b}_{t,l,m}| + |\mathbf{c}_{l,m}| + |\mathbf{a}_{r,l,m,s}| \quad (61)$$

The arrival angles are updated using (46), (47) and (48). The phases and delays are calculated using (51) and (52) where  $d_{r,l,m,s}$  is replaced by  $d_{r,t,l,m,s}$ .

**LOS drifting** The direct component is handled differently because the angles need to be updated at both sides, the Tx and the Rx. The angles are calculated for each combination of Tx-Rx antenna elements based on the position of the element in 3-D coordinates.

$$\mathbf{r}_{r,t,s} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{e}_t + \mathbf{e}_{r,s} \quad (62)$$

$$\phi_{t,1,s}^d = \arctan_2 \{r_{r,t,s,y}, r_{r,t,s,x}\} \quad (63)$$

$$\theta_{t,1,s}^d = \arcsin \left\{ \frac{r_{r,t,s,z}}{|\mathbf{r}_{r,t,s}|} \right\} \quad (64)$$

$$\phi_{r,1,s}^a = \arctan_2 \{-r_{r,t,s,y}, -r_{r,t,s,x}\} \quad (65)$$

$$\theta_{r,1,s}^a = \arcsin \left\{ \frac{-r_{r,t,s,z}}{|\mathbf{r}_{r,t,s}|} \right\} \quad (66)$$

The vector  $\mathbf{r}_{r,t,s}$  points from the location of the Tx element  $t$  to the location of the Rx element  $r$  at snapshot  $s$ . The phases and delays are determined by the length of this vector and are calculated using (51) and (52) where  $d_{r,l,m,s}$  is replaced by  $|\mathbf{r}_{r,t,s}|$ .

At this point there is a complete description of the propagation path of each MPC, *i.e.*, the departure direction at the Tx, the positions of the scatterers, the arrival direction at the Rx, the phase, as well as the variation of these variables when the MT is moving. In the next Section, the antenna effects are included. This covers the orientation of the antennas at the BS and the MT, as well as the polarization effects which are closely related to the antennas.

### 3.5 Antennas and Polarization

One major advantage of geometry-based stochastic channel models (GSCMs) is that they allow the separations of propagation and antenna effects. Therefore, it is essential to have a description of the antenna that captures all relevant effects that are needed to accurately calculate the channel coefficients in the model. Antennas do not radiate equally in all directions. Hence, the radiated power is a function of the angle. The antenna is then defined by its directional response also known as radiation pattern. When the antenna is rotated around a fixed point, an additional variation in the amount of received power can be observed. This variation is due to the polarization of the antenna. There are various so-called polarization bases that can be used to describe this effect. Those different bases arise from the custom to define cross-polarization as “the polarization orthogonal to a reference polarization” [33]. Unfortunately, this leaves the reference polarization undefined and thus is ambiguous.

Of all the different ways to describe polarization (see [33, 38]), the polar spherical polarization basis is the most practical for GSCMs. In the polar spherical basis, the antenna coordinate system has two angles and two poles. The elevation angle  $\theta$  is measured relative to the pole axis. A complete circle will go through each of the two poles, similar to the longitude coordinate in the world geodetic system (WGS). The azimuth angle  $\phi$  moves around the pole, similar to the latitude in WGS. Thus, the antenna is defined in *geographic* coordinates, the same coordinate system that is used in the channel model. Hence, deriving the antenna response from the previously calculated departure and arrival angles is straightforward. The electric field is resolved onto three vectors which are aligned to each of the three spherical unit vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$  of the coordinate system. In this representation,  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$  is aligned with the propagation direction of a path. In the far-field of an antenna, there is no field in this direction. Thus, the radiation pattern consists of two components, one is aligned with  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta$  and another is aligned with  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi$ . It is usually described by a 2-element vector

$$\mathbf{F}(\theta, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} F^{[\theta]}(\theta, \phi) \\ F^{[\phi]}(\theta, \phi) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (67)$$

The complex-valued amplitude  $g$  of a path between a transmit antenna and a receive antenna is

$$g = \sqrt{P} \cdot \mathbf{F}_r(\phi^a, \theta^a)^T \cdot \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{F}_t(\phi^d, \theta^d) \cdot e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \cdot d}, \quad (68)$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_r$  and  $\mathbf{F}_t$  describe the polarimetric antenna response at the receiver and the transmitter, respectively.  $P$  is the power of the path,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength,  $d$  is the length of the path,  $(\phi^a, \theta^a)$  are the arrival and  $(\phi^d, \theta^d)$  the departure angles that were calculated in the previous steps.  $\mathbf{M}$  is the  $2 \times 2$  polarization coupling matrix. This matrix describes how the polarization changes on the way from the transmitter to the receiver. Many references (e.g. [17, 23, 39–41]) use an approximation of the polarization effects based on the XPR. The XPR quantifies the separation between two polarized channels due to different polarization orientations.  $\mathbf{M}$  is then often modeled by using random coefficients ( $Z_{\theta\theta}$ ,  $Z_{\theta\phi}$ ,  $Z_{\phi\theta}$ ,  $Z_{\phi\phi}$ ) as

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{\theta\theta} & \sqrt{1/\text{XPR}} \cdot Z_{\theta\phi} \\ \sqrt{1/\text{XPR}} \cdot Z_{\phi\theta} & Z_{\phi\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (69)$$

where  $Z \sim \exp\{j \cdot \mathcal{U}(-\pi, \pi)\}$  introduces a random phase. However, this does not account for all effects contributing to the polarization state of a radio link. For example, this model does not cover elliptical or circular polarization which depends on the phase difference between the two polarimetric components. With the above model, the phase difference is always random. Hence, the state-of-the-art GSCMs are not well suited for scenarios that rely on circular polarization such as land-mobile satellite scenarios.

A new approach on how to treat polarization in GSCMs is presented in this section. It is shown that the existing framework, *i.e.*, using radiation patterns in a polar spherical basis together with a  $2 \times 2$  polarization coupling matrix, has great similarities with the Jones calculus, a method for handling polarized electromagnetic waves in the field of optics [26]. In the Jones calculus, the changes of the polarization of an electromagnetic wave are described by successive linear transformations. The same approach is used for the new channel model.

### 3.5.1 Relation between the Polarization Model and the Jones Calculus

R. C. Jones invented a simple method to calculate polarization effects in optics [26]. In his work, he described the polarization state of a ray of light by the so-called Jones vector. Any object that changes the polarization of the light is represented by a  $2 \times 2$  Jones matrix. It was found that the product of the Jones matrix of the optical element and the Jones vector of the incident light accurately describes the polarization state of the resulting ray. Generally, this calculus can be used for any electromagnetic wave. It is especially interesting for the GSCMs such as the SCM and WINNER models where the paths are handled similarly like optical rays.

In the Jones calculus, the Jones vector contains the  $x$  and  $y$ -polarized components of the amplitude and phase of the electric field traveling along the  $z$ -direction.

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_x(t) \\ E_y(t) \end{pmatrix} = e^{j\omega t} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} A_x e^{j\epsilon_x} \\ A_y e^{j\epsilon_y} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Jones vector}} = \begin{pmatrix} J^{[\theta]} \\ J^{[\phi]} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{J} \quad (70)$$

The same expression is found in the antenna pattern (67) where the complex value  $A_y e^{j\epsilon_y}$  from the Jones vector can be identified with the (generally also complex-valued) component  $F^{[\theta]}(\theta, \phi)$  of the antenna pattern. Likewise,  $A_x e^{j\epsilon_x}$  can be identified with  $F^{[\phi]}(\theta, \phi)$ . This implies that the polarization coupling matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  in (68) is a Jones matrix and that the Jones calculus could be easily integrated into the new channel model.

In general,  $\mathbf{M}$  can be seen as a transformation operation that maps the incoming signal on the polarization plane to an outgoing signal. If the coefficients are real-valued, then linear transformations, such as rotation, scaling, shearing, reflection, and orthogonal projection as well as combinations of those operations, are possible. If the coefficients are complex-valued, then the matrix shows characteristics of a Möbius transformation. Such transformations can map straight lines to straight lines or circles and *vice versa*. Since the Jones calculus allows the use of complex-valued coefficients, it can transform linear polarized signals into circular or elliptical polarized signals and elliptical polarized signals into linear polarized signals. This implies that using (69) with complex-valued coefficients results in a completely random polarization behavior when the XPR is small, *i.e.*, when the off-diagonal elements are large. When XPR is large (and the off-diagonal elements are close to zero), then (69) describes a scaling operation.

In the next section,  $\mathbf{M}$  will be calculated explicitly for the LOS and NLOS components also taking the orientation of the antennas into account. For the NLOS components, additional operations are used to convert the XPR value from the parameter tables into Jones matrices for the linear and elliptical polarization component.




Figure 12: Example patterns for a dipole antenna

### 3.5.2 Changing the Orientation of Antennas

The antennas are defined in their local coordinate system which is fixed when the radiation patterns are generated either by measurements or by designing the antennas using special software tools. In the channel model, orientation changes of the antennas are desirable in many cases, *e.g.*, when tilting BS arrays or changing the orientation of mobile terminals. However, such orientation changes lead to a different radiation pattern. An example is depicted in Figure 12. The left side of the figure shows an ideal dipole radiation pattern that has only an  $F^{[\theta]}$  component. When the dipole gets rotated around the  $x$ -axis in Cartesian coordinates, the resulting radiation pattern will also have an  $F^{[\phi]}$  component and the  $F^{[\theta]}$  component is deformed. This is illustrated on the right side of the figure where the dipole is tilted by  $20^\circ$ . The following method shows how an existing antenna pattern can be manipulated in order to change the orientation of the antenna. Such manipulations need to take the polarization into account. It is shown that it is possible to describe this process by a  $2 \times 2$  linear transformation, *i.e.*, a Jones matrix. Hence, the following method is used in the new channel model to adjust the orientation of the antennas either at the BS or at the MT by using the matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  in (68). This makes the new model more flexible. For example, it is possible to use realistic radiation patterns at the MT, *e.g.*, the measured patterns from a smartphone. Then, typical orientations of the phone can be incorporated during the runtime of the channel model, *e.g.*, a user holding the phone close to the ear at a  $45^\circ$  angle.

When the orientation of an antenna changes, the radiation pattern has to be read at different angles ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ) that include the effect of the orientation change. Rotations in 3-D are easier described in Cartesian coordinates. Therefore, the original angle pair  $(\theta, \phi)$  is transformed into a vector  $\mathbf{c}$  that describes the arrival or departure angles in Cartesian coordinates. The three vector elements represent the  $x, y$  and  $z$ -component.

$$\mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \cdot \cos \phi \\ \cos \theta \cdot \sin \phi \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad (71)$$

A  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  can now be used to describe the orientation change in 3-D space. The example in Figure 12

was tilted by  $20^\circ$  around the  $x$ -axis of the coordinate system. The corresponding matrix is

$$\mathbf{R}_x(20^\circ) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(20^\circ) & -\sin(20^\circ) \\ 0 & \sin(20^\circ) & \cos(20^\circ) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (72)$$

The orientation change is included in the vector  $\mathbf{c}^+$  by multiplying  $\mathbf{R}$  with (71).

$$\mathbf{c}^+ = \mathbf{R}^T \cdot \mathbf{c} \quad (73)$$

The transformed pattern  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$  is needed in spherical coordinates. Thus,  $\mathbf{c}^+$  is transformed back to spherical coordinates. This results in the new angles

$$\Theta = \arcsin [c_z^+], \quad (74)$$

$$\Phi = \arctan_2 [c_y^+, c_x^+]. \quad (75)$$

$c_x^+$ ,  $c_y^+$  and  $c_z^+$  are the  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  component of  $\mathbf{c}^+$ , respectively. The coefficients of the rotated pattern are now obtained by reading the original pattern  $\mathbf{F}$  at the transformed angles.

$$\hat{\mathbf{F}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{F}^{[\theta]} \\ \hat{F}^{[\phi]} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F^{[\theta]}(\Theta, \Phi) \\ F^{[\phi]}(\Theta, \Phi) \end{pmatrix} \quad (76)$$

Since the patterns are usually sampled at a fixed angular grid, *e.g.*, at one degree resolution, interpolation is needed here since the transformed angles ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ) will usually not be aligned with the angular grid. Linear interpolation can be used as a standard computationally inexpensive procedure.

The second step takes the polarization into account. The antenna patterns are defined in a polar-spherical polarization basis. However, the rotation is defined in Cartesian coordinates. Thus, the polarization rotation needs to be done in the Cartesian polarization basis as well. The transformation from the polar-spherical polarization basis to the Cartesian polarization basis is given by [33]

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{F}^{[x]} \\ \hat{F}^{[y]} \\ \hat{F}^{[z]} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \sin \Theta \cos \Phi & -\sin \Phi \\ \sin \Theta \sin \Phi & \cos \Phi \\ -\cos \Theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{=\mathbf{T}(\Theta, \Phi)} \cdot \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} F^{[\theta]}(\Theta, \Phi) \\ F^{[\phi]}(\Theta, \Phi) \end{pmatrix}}_{=\hat{\mathbf{F}}}. \quad (77)$$

The transformation matrix  $\mathbf{T}(\Theta, \Phi)$  is both orthogonal and normalized to unity. Hence, the inverse transformation matrix is equal to the matrix **transpose**. The rotated pattern  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$  is obtained by using the pattern  $\hat{\mathbf{F}}$  and transforming it to a Cartesian polarization basis. Then, this pattern is rotated using the rotation matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  and the resulting pattern is transformed back to the polar-spherical basis. The inverse transformation needs to be done at the original angles ( $\theta, \phi$ ) because the rotated antenna pattern  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}$  is aligned with the global coordinate system (GCS) used in the channel model.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{F}} = \underbrace{\mathbf{T}(\theta, \phi)^T \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{T}(\Theta, \Phi)}_{=\tilde{\mathbf{M}}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{F}} \quad (78)$$

The entire process can be described by a  $2 \times 2$  polarization rotation matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ . The radiated energy in both polarization components remains constant. Hence, this matrix is a rotation matrix having the form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(\vartheta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta & -\sin \vartheta \\ \sin \vartheta & \cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (79)$$

where the polarization rotation angle  $\vartheta$  follows from

$$\cos \vartheta = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}^T \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sin \Theta \cos \Phi \\ \sin \Theta \sin \Phi \\ -\cos \Theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (80)$$

$$\sin \vartheta = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \phi \\ \cos \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^T \cdot \mathbf{R} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \sin \Theta \cos \Phi \\ \sin \Theta \sin \Phi \\ -\cos \Theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (81)$$

$$\vartheta = \arctan_2 [\sin \vartheta, \cos \vartheta]. \quad (82)$$

This method provides a straightforward way to change the orientation of the antennas by

1. reading the antenna patterns at different angles  $(\Theta, \Phi)$  that include the orientation change,
2. calculating the polarization rotation matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ , and
3. using both to calculate the channel coefficient  $g$  in (68).

### 3.5.3 Constructing the Polarization Transfer Matrix

In this section, the orientation changes for the BS and MT side are combined. For the NLOS components, additional changes of the polarization are caused by scattering. The Jones calculus allows each of these effects to be modeled independently. In the end, the combined Jones matrices are used to calculate the channel coefficients.

**Polarization of direct (LOS) path** In the LOS polarization model, both the transmitter and the receiver can have different orientations, *e.g.*, due to a downtilt at the BS and a given movement direction at the MT. In addition, a reflection operation is needed to transform the outgoing direction at the transmitter into an incoming direction at the receiver. Thus, a combination of three linear transformations, two rotations and one reflection, is sufficient to construct the polarization transfer matrix of the LOS path.<sup>6</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{r,t,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} &= \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{M}} \left( \vartheta_{r,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} \right) \right]^T \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{M}} \left( \vartheta_{t,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta_{r,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} & -\sin \vartheta_{r,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} \\ -\sin \vartheta_{r,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} & -\cos \vartheta_{r,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta_{t,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} & -\sin \vartheta_{t,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} \\ \sin \vartheta_{t,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} & \cos \vartheta_{t,s}^{\text{[LOS]}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

**Model for the indirect (NLOS) paths** For the NLOS components, the transmitted signal undergoes some diffraction, reflection or scattering before reaching the receiver. Following the common Fresnel formula in electrodynamics, the polarization direction can be changed at the boundary surface between two dielectric media. T. Svantesson [42] provided a method for modeling the polarization of a reflected wave where the polarization coupling is a function of several geometric parameters such as the orientation of the scatterers. However, these parameters are not generally available in the SCM. In addition to that, only metallic reflections keep the polarization unchanged. Reflections at dielectric media can cause changes of the polarization being a function of the complex-valued dielectric constant of the media and of the angle of incidence. Hence, not only the polarization angle might change, but also the polarization type. In order to address this issue, studies of the polarizations effects in individual scattering clusters in several outdoor- and indoor scenarios were done [27, 43, 44]. The published results indicate that scattering preserves the polarization in many cases. However, since only the powers of the elements in the polarization coupling matrix were analyzed, no conclusions can be drawn on how elliptic the polarization of the scattered wave will be.

<sup>6</sup>The indices denote the Rx antenna element (r) and the Tx antenna element (t), the path number (l), the sub-path number (m), and the snapshot number (s).

It is possible to use the existing values for the XPR from the parameter tables of state-of-the-art GSCMs and derive additional Jones matrices in order to include the already known effects in the new channel model. The cross polarization ratio (XPR) is calculated from measurement data. A log-normal distribution is fitted to the measurement results having the average  $XPR_\mu$  and the standard deviation (STD)  $XPR_\sigma^2$ . When those parameters are calculated from measured data, they are usually averaged over different propagations paths. Thus, the XPR value from the parameter tables is a LSP with a scenario-dependent distribution, *i.e.*, it depends on the positions of the MT. However, here, the values  $XPR_{l,m}^{[\text{dB}]}$  for individual MPCs are needed. Those are calculated in two steps. First, a value  $XPR_\mu^{[\text{LSP}]}$  is drawn from

$$XPR_\mu^{[\text{LSP}]} = \mathcal{N}(XPR_\mu, XPR_\sigma^2). \quad (84)$$

This value represents the average XPR over all MPCs at the receiver positions. Then, in a second step, the XPR for the individual MPCs is drawn using  $XPR_\mu^{[\text{LSP}]}$  instead of  $XPR_\mu$ . This maintains the original spread  $XPR_\sigma$  in the generated channel coefficients.

$$XPR_{l,m}^{[\text{dB}]} = \mathcal{N}(XPR_\mu^{[\text{LSP}]}, XPR_\sigma^2) \quad (85)$$

Following the idea that the polarization coupling matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  can be described by a combination of linear transformations, the model for the NLOS polarization maps the XPR to two Jones matrices, one for the linear polarization and one for the elliptic polarization. Additional deterministic components take the antenna orientations into account.

### 1. Deterministic part

The deterministic component is the same as for the LOS polarization, *i.e.*, the different orientations of the antennas at the transmitter and the receiver are modeled by a rotation matrix as described in Section 3.5.2. A reflection operation is used to change the direction of the path.

### 2. Linear component

During scattering, the linear polarization of a MPC might change. For example, a transmit antenna sends a *vertically* polarized wave which only oscillates in the  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta$  direction. Then, a receiver might detect a wave that oscillates in both the  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta$  direction and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi$  direction because scattering changed the *polarization angle* while the phases of the  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi$  components remain unchanged. In other words, a linear polarized wave stays linear polarized. In order to model this polarization change, the XPR of a path (85) is mapped to a rotation matrix. This was also suggested by [24].

$$\mathbf{M}_{l,m}^{[\text{linear}]} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{\theta\theta} & m_{\theta\phi} \\ m_{\phi\theta} & m_{\phi\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma_{l,m} & -\sin \gamma_{l,m} \\ \sin \gamma_{l,m} & \cos \gamma_{l,m} \end{pmatrix} \quad (86)$$

Following the notations in [23], the rotation angle  $\gamma$  is calculated as

$$XPR_{l,m} = \frac{|m_{\theta\theta}|^2}{|m_{\phi\theta}|^2} = \frac{|m_{\phi\phi}|^2}{|m_{\theta\phi}|^2} = \frac{(\cos \gamma_{l,m})^2}{(\sin \gamma_{l,m})^2} = (\cot \gamma_{l,m})^2, \quad (87)$$

$$\gamma_{l,m} = \text{arccot}(\sqrt{XPR_{l,m}}). \quad (88)$$

### 3. Elliptical component

When channel measurements are done with circular polarized antennas such as in land-mobile satellite scenarios [45], there is a very clear indication that scattering alters the phase between the two polarization components. In other words, a purely left hand circular polarized (LHCP) signal can be received with a right hand circular polarized (RHCP) antenna after scattering. There might also be a transformation from linear to elliptic polarization and *vice versa*. This is not covered well by the existing GSCMs. The commonly used approach in (69) creates a random phase difference between the polarization components. As a result, all paths have a (random) elliptic polarization and there is no

way to adjust the XPR for circular polarized antennas. This is addressed in the new model by adding elliptic polarization using an additional Jones matrix. The phase difference between the  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi$  component is obtained by a scaling matrix

$$\mathbf{M}_{l,m}^{[\text{elliptic}]} = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(j\kappa_{l,m}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-j\kappa_{l,m}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (89)$$

The phase shift  $\kappa$  is calculated using the XPR from (85).

$$\kappa_{l,m} = X_{l,m} \cdot \operatorname{arccot} \left( \sqrt{\text{XPR}_{l,m}} \right) \quad (90)$$

$X_{l,m} \sim \{-1, 1\}$  is the positive or negative sign. In this way, the same XPR can be calculated from the channel coefficients at the output of the model when using circular polarized antennas.

The polarization for the NLOS paths is a combination of five linear transformations. First, any change in the transmitter orientation is included by a rotation matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(\vartheta_{t,l,m,s})$ . Then, the influence of the scattering cluster is modeled by a combination of three operations: a scaling operation that introduces a phase shift between the vertical and horizontal component to obtain an elliptic XPR, a reflection operation, and a rotation operation to obtain the desired linear XPR. Last, the change in the receiver orientation is included by a second rotation matrix  $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}(\vartheta_{r,l,m,s})$ . The complete polarization transfer matrix is

$$\mathbf{M}_{r,t,l,m,s}^{[\text{NLOS}]} = \left[ \tilde{\mathbf{M}}(\vartheta_{r,l,m,s}) \right]^T \cdot \mathbf{M}_{l,m}^{[\text{linear}]} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{M}_{l,m}^{[\text{elliptic}]} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{M}}(\vartheta_{t,l,m,s}) \quad (91)$$

The equation can be simplified by combining the first three operations into one.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{l,m}^+ &= \vartheta_{r,l,m,s} - \gamma_{l,m} \\ \mathbf{M}_{r,t,l,m,s}^{[\text{NLOS}]} &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma_{l,m}^+ & -\sin \gamma_{l,m}^+ \\ -\sin \gamma_{l,m}^+ & -\cos \gamma_{l,m}^+ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \exp(j\kappa_{l,m}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-j\kappa_{l,m}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \vartheta_{t,s} & -\sin \vartheta_{t,s} \\ \sin \vartheta_{t,s} & \cos \vartheta_{t,s} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

In the channel model, the polarization effects and the antenna patterns are combined into a single channel coefficient

$$g_{r,t,l,m,s}^{[1]} = \mathbf{F}_r(\Theta_{r,l,m,s}^a, \Phi_{r,l,m,s}^a)^T \cdot \mathbf{M}_{r,t,l,m,s} \cdot \mathbf{F}_t(\Theta_{t,l,m,s}^d, \Phi_{t,l,m,s}^d), \quad (93)$$

where the angle pairs  $(\Theta^d, \Phi^d)$  and  $(\Theta^a, \Phi^a)$  include the orientation of the transmit antenna element  $t$  and receive antenna element  $r$ , respectively. Contrary to (68), the phase  $\psi$  (which results from the path length) and the path power  $P$  are not included yet. They are handled separately in the next section when the sub-paths were combined into paths.

### 3.6 Combining Sub-Paths into Paths

Sub-paths were introduced in Section 3.3 in order to emulate fading for the NLOS MPCs over time. Each path is split into (typically 20) sub-paths. The basic assumption is that sub-paths cannot be resolved in the delay domain but have a small angular spread. Each of the sub-paths gets initialized with a random phase  $\psi^0$ . In addition, a deterministic phase component  $\psi_{r,t,l,m,s}$  is obtained from the total length of the propagation path using (51). Both components are combined to

$$\psi_{r,t,l,m,s}^+ = \exp(-j\psi_{l,m}^0 - j\psi_{r,t,l,m,s}). \quad (94)$$

The initial channel coefficients for each sub-path, including the polarization and antenna effects, were calculated in the previous section. Here, the sub-paths are combined again to obtain the channel coefficients for the paths. However, due to the random initial phases, a simple sum will result in a random path power since it is impossible to predict if the phase components add up constructively or destructively. This issue

is left open in WINNER and 3GPP-3D channel model. Here, it is solved by defining an average power around which the path power is allowed to fluctuate. This average value is the initial path power  $P_l$  that was calculated in Section 3.2.

In the first step, the phase (94) is combined with the initial coefficients (93) to

$$g_{r,t,l,m,s}^{[2]} = g_{r,t,l,m,s}^{[1]} \cdot \psi_{r,t,l,m,s}^+ \quad (95)$$

Then, the resulting average power is calculated for each path and each segment. Segments were introduced in Section 3.4 as part of the user trajectory along which the LSPs don't change much and where the scatterer positions remain fixed. In the above equation, the channel coefficients  $g$  are given for  $s = 1 \dots S$  positions of a segment. Next, the coefficients of the 20 sub-paths are summed up and the average path powers from Section 3.2 are applied.

$$g_{r,t,l,s}^{[3]} = \sum_{m=1}^{20} g_{r,t,l,m,s}^{[2]} \quad (96)$$

$$g_{r,t,l,s}^{[4]} = \sqrt{\frac{P_l}{20} \cdot \frac{\sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{m=1}^{20} |g_{r,t,l,m,s}^{[2]}|^2}{\sum_{s=1}^S |g_{r,t,l,s}^{[3]}|^2}} \cdot g_{r,t,l,s}^{[3]} \quad (97)$$

If the resulting paths are observed over time, a characteristic fluctuation of the path power can be observed, similar to measurements with limited bandwidth. If there is only one snapshot in a segment, the scaling operation (97) ensures that each path gets assigned the power value from Section 3.2. The new method ensures that the input variables given to the SSF model, *i.e.*, the delay and angular spreads, are correctly mapped to the channel coefficients generated by the model. This is different from the WINNER and 3GPP-3D channel models where the sum over the subpaths produces random path powers. Hence, the new model can also be used to create channels having specific properties, *e.g.*, a predefined DS, to benchmark algorithms. For example, it is possible to evaluate the throughput of a MIMO-orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) scheme as a function of the DS. In the next section, the remaining LSPs, *i.e.*, the PG, the SF, and the KF are applied to the channel coefficients.

### 3.7 Path Gain, Shadow Fading and K-Factor

Hata [46] presented a simple model for macro-cellular settings where the PG scales with the logarithm of the distance  $d$  (in units of meters) between BS and terminal

$$\text{PG}^{[\text{dB}]} = -A \cdot \log_{10} d_{[\text{km}]} - B + X, \quad (98)$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are scenario-specific coefficients that are typically determined by measurements. The path gain exponent  $A$  often varies between values of 20 and 40, depending on the propagation conditions, the BS height, and other factors. The shadow fading (SF) is modeled by a random variable  $X$ . However, this variable is correlated with the distance between two points, *i.e.*, two closely spaced MTs will experience the same SF. A 2-D correlation model for this effect was introduced in Section 3.1 where the SF, among other parameters, is described by a map. Combining the PG and SF results in the effective path gain

$$\text{PG}_s^{[\text{eff}]} = \sqrt{10^{0.1(\text{PG}_s^{[\text{dB}]} + \text{SF}_s^{[\text{dB}]})}} \quad (99)$$

The movement of the MT is described by a trajectory where the index  $s$  denotes a specific position on this trajectory. Hence, the effective PG is a vector of  $s = 1 \dots S$  elements. The  $S$  values of the SF in (99) come from the map. Since the sampling grid of the map is usually different from the positions of the terminal

trajectory, an interpolation of the surrounding points of the map is needed. This can be done with standard numeric methods, *e.g.*, by spline interpolation.

The Ricean K-factor (KF) describes the power difference between the LOS and NLOS components. In the previous section, the channel coefficients were scaled by the power values  $P_l$  that were calculated in Section 3.2. These power values already include the KF. However, like the SF, the KF is also correlated with the distance which is described by a map as well. The initial power values from Section 3.2 only consider the KF at the beginning of the trajectory. When the MT moves to a different position, its KF changes and so do the power values of the MPCs. Hence, an additional scaling factor for the path powers is needed.

$$\text{KF}_{l,s}^{[\text{scale}]} = \sqrt{1 + P_1 \left( \frac{K_s}{K_0} - 1 \right)} \cdot \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{K_s}{K_0}} & \text{for } l = 1; \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (100)$$

The index  $l = 1 \dots L$  is the path number,  $P_1$  is the power of the first path that was calculated in Section 3.2,  $K_0$  is the KF at the beginning of the trajectory, and  $K_s$  is the KF at the  $s^{\text{th}}$  position of the user trajectory. The values for  $K_s$  come from the KF map from Section 3.1. The channel coefficients from the previous section (97) are then scaled to

$$g_{r,t,l,s} = \text{PG}_s^{[\text{eff}]} \cdot \text{KF}_{l,s}^{[\text{scale}]} \cdot g_{r,t,l,s}^{[4]} \quad (101)$$

This is the last step in the small-scale-fading (SSF) model. At this point, the complex-valued amplitude  $g$  for each of the  $L$  MPCs of the CIR is described for all antenna pairs  $r, t$  at  $S$  positions of the user trajectory. In addition, there is an equal amount of values for the path delays  $\tau$  that were calculated in Section 3.4. In the next section, adjacent parts of the user trajectory (*i.e.*, the segments) get merged into an even longer sequence of channel coefficients. With this, channels can be observed over long periods of time which includes transitions between propagation scenarios, *e.g.*, when a MT moves from outdoors to indoors.

### 3.8 Transitions between Segments

The small-scale-fading (SSF) model, which was laid out in the previous Sections 3.2 to 3.7 is only defined for a short part of a MT trajectory. If the MT traverses larger distances, the LSPs will change when the terminal sees different scattering clusters. Hence, in order to include long terminal trajectories in the model, there needs to be a model for the “birth and death of scattering clusters”. One idea on how to include such a process in GSCMs comes from the WINNER II model [4] where paths fade in and out over time. However, [4] does not provide a method to keep the LSPs consistent. For example, if one cluster disappears and a new one appears in its place, the delay and angular spread of the channel changes. However, those values are fixed by LSF model.

In the new model, long terminal trajectories are split into shorter segments where the LSPs are reasonably constant. Then, for each segment the small-scale-fading (SSF) model creates independent scattering clusters, channel coefficients, and path delays. Two adjacent segments are overlapping as depicted in the top of Figure 13. The lifetime of scattering clusters is confined within the combined length of two adjacent segments. In the overlapping part, the power of paths from the old segment is ramped down and the power of new paths is ramped up. Hence, this process describes the birth and death of scattering clusters along the trajectory. All paths of the segment are active outside the overlapping region. The overlapping region is further split into sub-intervals to keep the computational and memory overhead of the model low. During each sub-interval, one old path ramps down and one new path ramps up. The power ramps are modeled by a squared sine function

$$w^{[\text{sin}]} = \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot w^{[\text{lin}]} \right). \quad (102)$$

Here,  $w^{[\text{lin}]}$  is the linear ramp ranging from 0 to 1, and  $w^{[\text{sin}]}$  is the corresponding sine-shaped ramp with a constant slope at the beginning and the end. This prevents inconsistencies at the edges of the sub-intervals. If both segments have a different number of paths, the ramp is stretched over the whole overlapping area




Figure 13: Top: illustration of the overlapping area used for calculating the transitions between segments (step G), Bottom: illustration of the interpolation to obtain variable MT speeds (step H).

for paths without a partner. For the LOS path, which is present in both segments, only power and phase are adjusted.

Paths need to be carefully matched to minimize the impact of the transition on the instantaneous values of the LSPs. For example, the DS increases if a path with a small delay ramps down and a similarly strong path with a large delay ramps up. Hence, the DS can fluctuate randomly within the overlapping region. To balance this out, paths from both segments are paired in a way that minimizes these fluctuations. This is done by determining the values of the DS before and after the transition. Then, a target DS is calculated for each sub-interval. For example, if the old segment yields a DS of 200 ns and the new segment has 400 ns, then the target DS will be 220 ns for the first sub-interval, 240 ns for the second and so on. Then, a combination of paths is searched that best matches the target DS for each sub-interval.

In the real world, MTs move at arbitrary speeds, including accelerations and decelerations. Provided that the sampling theorem is fulfilled, *i.e.*, that the channel is sampled four times per wavelength, it is possible to interpolate the channel coefficients to include such effects. This is illustrated in the bottom part of Figure 13. The white dots represent the snapshots at a constant distance. However, the sample points (gray stars) can have unequal spacing, *e.g.*, for an accelerated movement. Each sample point in the time domain (given in units of seconds) has a corresponding position on the MT trajectory (in units of meters). The amplitudes and phases of the channel coefficients are interpolated separately using cubic spline interpolation. The path delays are interpolated with a piecewise cubic hermite interpolating polynomial.

### 3.9 Summary

A new channel model has been derived from existing GSCMs such as the WINNER and 3GPP-3D model. The LSF and SSF parts of the model have been extended in several ways in order to overcome some drawbacks and limitations of the state-of-the-art approaches. The main problems that were addressed by these modifications are:

- **Spatial consistency of LSPs**  
3GPP does not specify a method to ensure spatial consistency, neither for the LSF nor SSF model. In the new model, a map-based approach similar to [47] is used to correlate the LSPs with the distance

between two pints. Additional filtering steps were introduced in the new model to make the maps “smoother” in order to interpolate them to support extended mobility features.

- **Consistency between LSF and SSF model**

The WINNER and 3GPP models do not map large-scale parameters to channel coefficients. They are only correct in a statistical sense. This is solved in the new model by additional scaling operations for the delays, angles, and powers after combining the sub-paths. As a result, the correct delay and angular spreads can be calculated from the generated channel coefficients of the model.

- **Mobility of MTs**

The WINNER and 3GPP models do not allow MTs to move more than a few meters because there is no method to track the delays and directions of a path. Only the Doppler shifts of the MPCs are modeled. The mobility extensions made in the new model are two-fold: First, a concept known as *drifting* [16] was added to the SSF model. Second, a model for the appearing and disappearing of scattering clusters was added. This is done by splitting a user trajectory in short overlapping segments. When the terminal moves from one segment to the next, the scattering clusters from the old segment are smoothly replaced with clusters from the new segment while keeping the LSPs consistent.

- **Polarization**

The WINNER and 3GPP models do not correctly model elliptical and circular polarization. Therefore, a new model for the polarization was derived from the Jones calculus [26]. In this approach, changes of the polarization during scattering are modeled by successive linear transformations, allowing linear and elliptic polarization to be adjusted independently.

With these updates, it is possible to generate channel coefficients with the same spatial and temporal resolution as measured data. Thus, the output of the channel model can be directly compared to the output of a measurement campaign. This is done in the next chapters. At first, the methods for deriving the model parameters are presented in Chapter ???. Then, two measurement campaigns are evaluated and the results are compared with the model.

## 4 Tutorials

This section provides a set of tutorials on how to use the channel model for different channel simulation purposes. For each of the following examples, the source code can be found in the "tutorials" folder.

### 4.1 The Most Common Mistake: Handles

This tutorial illustrates the most common mistake that new users of the QuaDRiGa channel model often make. QuaDRiGa is implemented in MATLAB / Octave using the object-oriented framework. All QuaDRiGa objects are "handles". That means that a variable created from a QuaDRiGa class can be regarded as a "pointer" to the associated data in the computer memory. This enables a very memory-efficient implementation, for example, if all mobile terminals use the same antenna. In this case, the antenna pattern only needs to be stored once in the memory and each MT only needs to store the "pointer" to the antenna and not a copy of the data. However, working with "handles" is something that many MATLAB users are unfamiliar with.

In the following simple example, a layout with two base stations is created. Each BS is equipped with a high-gain antenna which is tilted by 12 degrees. The antenna of the second BS is rotated by 180 degrees so that the antennas point towards each other. **WARNING:** The following code will not create the intended result. Try to find the mistake!

```

1 clear all
2
3 a = qd_arrayant('multi', 8, 0.5, 12 );           % Generate High-Gain Antenna
4
5 l = qd_layout;                                  % New layout
6 l.no_tx = 2;                                    % Two BSs
7 l.tx_position(:,1) = [ -200 ; 0 ; 25 ];         % Position of BS 1
8 l.tx_position(:,2) = [  200 ; 0 ; 25 ];         % Position of BS 2
9
10 l.tx_array(1,1) = a;                            % Assign antenna to BS1
11 l.tx_array(1,2) = a;                            % Assign antenna to BS2
12 l.tx_array(1,2).rotate_pattern( 180 , 'z' );    % Rotate BS2 antenna by 180 degree


```

Here we create a plot of the layout including the sum-power that would be received by a MT at each position of the layout. You will see that the antenna of the first BS points in the wrong direction. It should point towards the east (right), but it points to the west (left).

```

1 close all
2
3 set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18)                    % Default Font Size
4 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18)                % Default Font Size
5 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times')            % Default Font Type
6 set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times')            % Default Font Type
7 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto')  % Default Plot position
8 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>')      % Default Paper Type
9 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 7.3])      % Default Paper Size
10
11 [ map,x_coords,y_coords] = l.power_map('3GPP_38.901_UMA_LOS','quick',5,-500,500,-500,500,1.5 );
12 P = 10*log10(sum( abs( cat(3,map{:}) ).^2 ,3));  % Total received power
13
14 l.visualize([],[],0);                            % Show BS and MT positions on the map
15 hold on
16 imagesc( x_coords , y_coords , P );              % Plot the received power
17 hold off
18 axis([-500 500 -500 500])                        % Plot size
19 caxis( max(P(:)) + [-20 0] )                     % Color range
20 colormap = colormap;
21 colormap( colormap*0.5 + 0.5 );                  % Adjust colors to be "lighter"
22 set(gca,'layer','top')                           % Show grid on top of the map
23 title('Incorrect antenna orientation');           % Set plot title

```



The problem is the assignment of the antenna pattern. "a", "l.tx\_array(1,1)" and "l.tx\_array(1,2)" point to the same object. When the rotation operation "l.tx\_array(1,2).rotate\_pattern" is called, the data in memory is changed. However, "a" and "l.tx\_array(1,1)" point to the same object and, therefore, their properties are now changed too. The following example shows the correct way to do it. In stead of assigning a "pointer", the "copy" command creates a new object with the same data. The rotation operation only effects the antenna of BS2.


```

1 a = qd_arrayant('multi', 8, 0.5, 12 ); % Generate High-Gain Antenna
2
3 l.tx_array(1,1) = copy( a ); % Assign copy of the antenna to BS1
4 l.tx_array(1,2) = copy( a ); % Assign copy of the antenna to BS2
5 l.tx_array(1,2).rotate_pattern( 180 , 'z' ); % Rotate BS2 antenna by 180 degree
    
```

The following plot shows the intended result.

```

1 [ map,x_coords,y_coords] = l.power_map( '3GPP_38.901_UMa_LOS', 'quick', 5, -500,500, -500,500,1.5 );
2 P = 10*log10(sum( abs( cat(3,map{:}) ).^2 ,3)); % Total received power
3
4 l.visualize([],[],0); % Show BS and MT positions on the map
5 hold on
6 imagesc( x_coords, y_coords, P ); % Plot the received power
7 hold off
8 axis([-500 500 -500 500]) % Plot size
9 caxis( max(P(:)) + [-20 0] ) % Color range
10 colormap = colormap;
11 colormap( colormap*0.5 + 0.5 ); % Adjust colors to be "lighter"
12 set(gca,'layer','top') % Show grid on top of the map
13 title('Correct antenna orientation'); % Set plot title
    
```



## 4.2 Effects of the Antenna-Orientation

This tutorial shows how to evaluate antenna effects. It creates a simple setup with a transmit and a receive antenna facing each other in pure LOS conditions. Then, the transmitter is rotated around its x-axis and the effect on the received power is studied.

One feature of the model is that it allows to freely orient the antennas at the transmitter and receiver. In the following, two cross-polarized patch antennas are aligned on the optical axis facing each other. The surface normal vectors of the transmit and the receive patch are aligned with the LOS. The transmitter is rotated from  $-90^\circ$  to  $90^\circ$  around the optical axis. The real and imaginary parts of the channel coefficients are then calculated for each angle. Each real and imaginary part is normalized by its maximum and the results are plotted. The calculation is done for both, linearly and crossed polarized elements.

**Model and Antenna Setup** Here, we parametrize the simulation. We place the receiver 10 m away from the transmitter and chose the scenario "LOSonly". Thus, no NLOS components are present. The receiver is set up as a multi-element array antenna using both, circular and linear polarization.

```

1 clear all
2 close all
3
4 a = qd_arrayant('lhcp-rhcp-dipole'); % Create circular polarized antenna
5
6 a2 = qd_arrayant('custom',90,90,0); % Create linear polarized patch antenna
7 a2.copy_element(1,2); % Copy the antenna element
8 a2.rotate_pattern(90,'x',2); % Rotate second element by 90 degree
9
10 a.append_array( a2 ); % Append the second antenna to the first
11
12 l = qd_layout;
13 l.simpar.show_progress_bars = 0; % Disable progress bar indicator
14
15 l.track = qd_track('linear',0,pi); % Create new track (pi turns the rx by 180 degree)
16 l.rx_position = [11;0;0]; % Set the receiver position
17 l.tx_position = [0;0;0];
18
19 l.set_scenario( 'LOSonly' ); % Set the scenario to LOS only
20 l.tx_array = a; % Use same antenna at both sides
21 l.rx_array = a;

```

**Iteration over all angles** Next, we rotate the receive antenna in 10 degree steps around its x-axis and calculate the channel response for each angle.


```

1  rot = -120:10:120; % Rotation angle
2  h = zeros(4,4,numel(rot));
3  for n = 1 : numel(rot)
4      cc = copy( a ); % Create copy of the Tx antenna ( !!! )
5      cc.rotate_pattern( rot(n) , 'x'); % Assign rotation angle
6
7      l.tx_array = cc; % Set Tx antenna
8      c = l.get_channels; % Update channel coefficients
9      h(:,:,n) = c.coeff(:,:,1,1);
10 end
    
```

**Linear Polarization results** Now we plot the results for the linear polarization. There are two linearly polarized antennas at the transmitter and two at the receiver. Their orientation can either be vertical (denoted as V) or horizontal (denoted as H). The channel matrix thus has 8 coefficients, VV, VH, HV and HH. Each coefficient is complex-valued. Thus, figure shows 8 curves, 4 for the real parts and 4 for the imaginary parts.

```

1  set(0,'defaultFontSize',18) % Default Font Size
2  set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize',18) % Default Font Size
3  set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
4  set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
5  set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
6  set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type
7  set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Default Paper Size
8
9  figure('Position',[100,100,760,400]);
10 g = h([3,4],[3,4],:);
11
12 plot(rot,squeeze(real(g(1,1,:))),'-sk','Linewidth',0.5,'MarkerfaceColor','k','Markersize',12)
13 hold on
14 plot(rot,squeeze(real(g(2,2,:))),'-db','Linewidth',0.5,'MarkerfaceColor','b','Markersize',8)
15 plot(rot,squeeze(real(g(2,1,:))),'-or','Linewidth',0.5,'MarkerfaceColor','r','Markersize',8)
16 plot(rot,squeeze(real(g(1,2,:))),'-^g','Linewidth',0.5,'MarkerfaceColor','g','Markersize',8)
17
18 plot(rot,squeeze(imag(g(1,1,:))), '--sk','Linewidth',0.5,'Markersize',12)
19 plot(rot,squeeze(imag(g(2,2,:))), '--db','Linewidth',0.5,'Markersize',8)
20 plot(rot,squeeze(imag(g(2,1,:))), '--or','Linewidth',0.5,'Markersize',12)
21 plot(rot,squeeze(imag(g(1,2,:))), '--^g','Linewidth',0.5,'Markersize',12)
22 hold off
23
24 xlabel('Rotation Angle')
25 ylabel('Normalized Amplitude')
26 legend('real V-V','real H-H','real H-V','real V-H',...
27        'imag V-V','imag H-H','imag H-V','imag V-H','location','EastOutside')
    
```




**Circular Polarization results** The second plot shows the same for circular polarization. The first element is LHCP (denoted as L) and the second is RHCP (denoted as R). As expected, all cross-polarization coefficients (RL and LR) are zero.

```

1 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]);
2 g = h([1,2],[1,2],:);
3
4 plot(rot,squeeze(real(g(1,1,:))),'-sk','Linewidth',0.5,'MarkerfaceColor','k','Markersize',12)
5 hold on
6 plot(rot,squeeze(real(g(2,2,:))),'-db','Linewidth',0.5,'MarkerfaceColor','b','Markersize',8)
7 plot(rot,squeeze(real(g(2,1,:))),'-or','Linewidth',0.5,'MarkerfaceColor','r','Markersize',8)
8 plot(rot,squeeze(real(g(1,2,:))),'-^g','Linewidth',0.5,'MarkerfaceColor','g','Markersize',8)
9
10 plot(rot,squeeze(imag(g(1,1,:))), '--sk','Linewidth',0.5,'Markersize',12)
11 plot(rot,squeeze(imag(g(2,2,:))), '--db','Linewidth',0.5,'Markersize',8)
12 plot(rot,squeeze(imag(g(2,1,:))), '--or','Linewidth',0.5,'Markersize',12)
13 plot(rot,squeeze(imag(g(1,2,:))), '--^g','Linewidth',0.5,'Markersize',12)
14 hold off
15
16 xlabel('Rotation Angle')
17 ylabel('Normalized Amplitude')
18 legend('real L-L','real R-R','real R-L','real L-R',...
19        'imag L-L','imag R-R','imag R-L','imag L-R','location','EastOutside')

```



### 4.3 Drifting Phases and Delays

Drifting is the method used for obtaining time evolution within one segment. This tutorial demonstrates the effect of “drifting” on the channel coefficients. It shows how drifting can be enabled and disabled as well as how the resulting data can be analyzed.

Drifting is an essential feature of the channel model. Drifting enables a continuous time evolution of the path delays, the path phases, the departure- and arrival angles and the LSPs. It is thus the enabling feature for time continuous channel simulations. Although drifting was already available in the SCME branch of the WINNER channel model, it did not make it into the main branch. Thus, drifting is not available in the WIM1, WIM2 or WIM+ model. Here the functionality is implemented again. This script focuses on the delay and the phase component of the drifting functionality.

**Channel model setup and coefficient generation** First, we parameterize the channel model. We start with the basic simulation parameters. For the desired output, we need two additional options: we want to evaluate absolute delays and we need to get all 20 sub-paths. Normally, the sub-paths are added already in the channel builder.

```

1 clear all
2 close all
3
4 set(0,'defaultFontSize',18) % Default Font Size
5 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize',18) % Default Font Size
6 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
7 set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
8 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position

```


```

9 set(0, 'DefaultFigurePaperType', '<custom>') % Default Paper Type
10 set(0, 'DefaultFigurePaperSize', [14.5 7.3]) % Default Paper Size
11
12 s = qd_simulation_parameters; % New simulation parameters
13 s.center_frequency = 2.53e9; % 2.53 GHz carrier frequency
14 s.sample_density = 4; % 4 samples per half-wavelength
15 s.use_absolute_delays = 1; % Include delay of the LOS path
16 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bars
    
```

Second, we define a user track. Here we choose a linear track with a length of 30 m. The track start 20 m east of the transmitter and runs in east direction, thus linearly increasing the distance from the receiver.

```

1 l = qd_layout( s ); % New QuaDRiGa layout
2 l.tx_position(3,1) = 25; % 25 m BE height
3 l.track = qd_track('linear',30,0); % 30 m long track facing east
4 l.track.initial_position = [20;0;0]; % Start position
5 l.set_scenario('WINNER_UMa_C2_LOS'); % Set propagation scenario
6 interpolate_positions( l.track, s.samples_per_meter ); % Set sampling intervals
7 l.visualize; % Plot the layout
    
```



Now, we generate the LSPs. We set the shadow fading and K-factor to 1 and disable the path loss model.

```

1 cb = l.init_builder; % Create new builder object
2 cb.scenpar.SF_sigma = 0; % 0 dB shadow fading
3 cb.scenpar.KF_mu = 0; % 0 dB K-Factor
4 cb.scenpar.KF_sigma = 0; % No KF variation
5 cb.plpar = []; % Disable path loss model
6 cb.gen_ssf_parameters; % Generate large- and small-scale fading
    
```

Now, we generate the channel coefficients. The first run uses the drifting module, the second run disables it. Note that drifting needs significantly more computing resources. In some scenarios it might thus be useful to disable the feature to get quicker simulation results.

```

1 s.use_spherical_waves = 1; % Enable drifting (=spherical waves)
2 c = cb.get_channels; % Generate channel coefficients
3 c.individual_delays = 0; % Remove per-antenna delays
4
5 s.use_spherical_waves = 0; % Disable drifting
6 d = cb.get_channels; % Generate channel coefficients
    
```


**Results and discussion** The following plots represent the results of the test. The first plot shows the delay of the LOS tap (blue) and the delay of the first NLOS tap (red) vs. distance. The solid lines are from the

channel with drifting, the dashed lines are from the channel without. The LOS delay is always increasing since the Rx is moving away from the Tx. However, the increase is not linear due to the 25 m height of the Tx. Without drifting, the delays are not updated and stay constant during the segment. The position of the first scatterer is in close distance to the Rx (only some m away). When moving, the Rx first approaches the scatterer (delay gets a bit smaller) and then the distance increases again.

```

1 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Change Paper Size
2 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
3
4 distance = c.rx_position(1,:); % 2D distance between Tx and Rx
5 plot( distance, c.delay(1,:)*1e9 , '-b' ) % Plot LOS delay with drifting
6 hold on
7 plot( distance, d.delay(1,:)*1e9 , '-.b' ) % Plot LOS delay without drifting
8 plot( distance, c.delay(2,:)*1e9 , '-r' ) % Plot 1st NLOS path with drifting
9 plot( distance, d.delay(2,:)*1e9 , '-.r' ) % Plot 1st NLOS path without drifting
10 hold off
11 xlabel('Distance from track start point')
12 ylabel('Delay [ns] ')
13 title('Path delays')
14 legend('LOS with drifting','LOS without drifting','NLOS with drifting','NLOS without drifting')

```




This plot shows the power of the first NLOS tap along the track. The fading is significantly higher in the beginning and becomes much less strong towards the end.

```

1 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
2 pow = abs(squeeze(sum( c.coeff(1,1,2,:,:), 5 )))^2; % Calculate power of first NLOS path
3 plot( distance,10*log10(pow),'-r' ) % Plot power of first NLOS path
4 xlabel('Distance from track start point')
5 ylabel('Tap power (dB)')
6 title('NLOS power with drifting')

```



Without drifting, the phases of the subpaths are approximated by assuming that the angles to the LBSs do not change. However, this only holds when the distance to the LBS is large. Here, the initial distance is


small (ca. 5 m). When the initial angles are kept fixed along the track, the error is significant. Here, the phase ramp is negative, indicating a movement direction towards the scatterer and thus a higher Doppler frequency. However, when the scatterer is passed, the Rx moves away from the scatterer and the Doppler frequency becomes lower. This is not reflected when drifting is turned off.

Note here, that with shorter delay spreads (as e.g. in satellite channels), the scatterers are placed closer to the Rx's initial position. This will amplify this effect. Hence, for correct time evolution results, drifting needs to be turned on.

```

1 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]);           % New figure
2 pow = abs(squeeze(sum( d.coeff(1,1,2, :, :) , 5 ))).^2; % Calculate power of first NLOS path
3 plot( distance,10*log10(pow),'-r' )                 % Plot power of first NLOS path
4 xlabel('Distance from track start point')
5 ylabel('Tap power (dB)')
6 title('NLOS power without drifting')

```



#### 4.4 Geometric Polarization

This tutorial shows how to study polarization effects with QuaDRiGa. Different linearly polarized antennas are defined at the transmitter and the receiver, the channel between them is calculated and the polarization effects are evaluated.

We demonstrate the polarization rotation model that calculates the path power for polarized array antennas. We do this by setting up the simulation with different H/V polarized antennas at the transmitter and at the receiver. Then we define a circular track around the receiver. When the receiver moves around the transmitter, it changes its antenna orientation according to the movement direction. In this way, all possible departure and elevation angles are sampled. Depending on the antenna orientation, the polarizations are either aligned (e.g. the Tx is V-polarized and the Rx is V-polarized), they are crossed (e.g. the Tx is V-polarized and the Rx is H-polarized), or the polarization orientation is in between those two. The generated channel coefficients should reflect this behavior.

**Setting up the simulation environment** First, we have to set up the simulator with some default settings. Here, we choose a center frequency of 2.1 GHz. We also want to use drifting in order to get the correct angles for the LOS component and we set the number of transmitters and receivers to one.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18)           % Default Font Size
5 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18)      % Default Font Size
6 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
7 set(0,'defaultTextFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
8 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
9 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type

```

```

10
11 s = qd_simulation_parameters; % Set the simulation parameters
12 s.center_frequency = 2.1e9; % Center-frequency: 2.1 GHz
13 s.samples_per_meter = 360/(40*pi); % One sample per degree
14 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bars



```


**Setting up the array antennas** In the second step, we set up our array antennas. We use the synthetic dipole antennas for this case. Those antennas show perfect polarization characteristics. First, we generate a single dipole with V-polarization. Then, we create multiple copies of this antenna element and rotate them by 45 and 90 degrees, respectively. We then use the same array antenna for the receiver.

```

1 l = qd_layout( s ); % Create a new Layout
2 l.tx_array = qd_arrayant('dipole'); % create V-polarized dipole
3 l.tx_array.set_grid( (-180:10:180)*pi/180 , (-90:10:90)*pi/180 );
4 l.tx_array.Fa = l.tx_array.Fa ./ max(l.tx_array.Fa(:));
5
6 l.tx_array.copy_element(1,2:3); % Duplicate the elements
7 l.tx_array.rotate_pattern(45,'y',2); % 45 degree polarization
8 l.tx_array.rotate_pattern(90,'y',3); % 90 degree polarization
9 l.rx_array = l.tx_array; % Use the same array for the Rx
10
11 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 5.3]) % Adjust paper size for plot
12 l.tx_array.visualize(1);pause(1); % Plot the first antenna element
13 l.tx_array.visualize(2);pause(1); % Plot the second antenna element
14 l.tx_array.visualize(3);pause(1); % Plot the third antenna element

```






**Defining a track** The third step defines the track. Here, we use a circle with 40 m diameter starting in the east, traveling north. We also choose a LOS scenario since we want to study the LOS polarization. The transmitter is located 12 m north of the center of the circle at an elevation of 6 m.

```

1 l.track = qd_track('circular',40*pi,0);           % Circular track, radius 20 m
2 interpolate_positions( l.track, s.samples_per_meter ); % Interpolate positions
3 l.tx_position = [ 0 ; 12 ; 6 ];                 % Tx position
4 l.rx_position = [ 20 ; 0 ; 0 ];                 % Start position for the Rx track
5 l.set_scenario('BERLIN_UMa_LOS');
6
7 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 7.3])     % Adjust paper size for plot
8 l.visualize;                                   % Plot the layout
    
```



**Generating channel coefficients** Now, we have finished the parametrization of the simulation and we can generate the channel coefficients. We thus create a new set of correlated LSPs and the fix the shadow fading and the K-factor to some default values. This disables the drifting for those parameters. We need to do that since otherwise, drifting and polarization would interfere with each other.

```

1 cb = l.init_builder;           % Create parameter sets
2 cb.scenpar.KF_mu = 3;         % Fix KF to 3 dB
    
```

```

3 cb.scenpar.KF_sigma = 0;
4 cb.scenpar.SF_sigma = 0; % Fix SF to 0 dB
5 cb.plpar = []; % Disable path loss model
6
7 cb.gen_ssf_parameters; % Generate small-scale-fading
8 c = cb.get_channels; % Get the channel coefficients

```

**Results and Evaluation** We now check the results and confirm, if they are plausible or not. We start with the two vertically polarized dipoles at the Tx and at the Rx side. The model creates 15 taps, which is the default for the "BERLIN\_UMa\_LOS" scenario. Without path-loss and shadow fading (SF=1), the power is normalized such that the sum over all taps is 1 W and with a K-Factor of 3 dB, we get a received power of 0.67W for the LOS component. The remaining 0.33 W are in the NLOS components. The results can be seen in the following figure.

```


1 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Change Paper Size
2 figure('Position',[100, 100, 760, 400]); % New figure
3
4 plot(abs(squeeze(c.coeff(1,1, :, :)))'.^2); % Plot the graph
5 axis([0 360 -0.1 1]); % Set the axis
6 xlabel('Position [degrees]'); % Add description
7 ylabel('LOS Power, linear scale');
8 title('Tx: Vertical, Rx: Vertical'); % Add title
9
10 disp(['LOS power: ', num2str(mean(abs(c.coeff(1,1,1, :)).^2, 4))])
11 disp(['NLOS power: ', num2str(mean(sum(abs(c.coeff(1,1,2:end, :)).^2, 3), 4))])

```

```

1 LOS power: 0.5285
2 NLOS power: 0.22632

```




The LOS power is almost constant when the Rx is south of the Tx. However, in close proximity (at 90 degree), the power is lowered significantly. This comes from the 6 m elevation of the Tx. When the Rx is almost under the Tx, the radiated power of the Dipole is much smaller compared to the broadside direction. The average power of the LOS is thus also lowered to 0.56 W. The average sum-power of the 7 NLOS components is 0.26 W. This mainly comes from the XPR which leaks some power from the vertical- into the horizontal polarization and thus reduces the received power on the vertically polarized Dipole. Next, we study two cases. Either the Tx is vertical polarized and the Rx is at 45 degree or vice versa.

```

1 figure('Position',[100, 100, 760, 400]); % New figure
2 plot(abs(squeeze(c.coeff(2,1,1, :)))'.^2); % Tx vertical, Rx 45 degree
3 hold on
4 plot(abs(squeeze(c.coeff(1,2,1, :)))'.^2, '--r'); % Tx 45 degree, Rx vertical
5 hold off
6 axis([0 360 -0.1 1]);
7 legend('Tx vertical, Rx 45 deg', 'Tx 45 deg, Rx vertical');
8 xlabel('Position [degrees]');
9 ylabel('LOS Power, linear scale');
10 title('Tx: Vertical, Rx: 45 deg');

```




The receiver changes its direction in a way that it always has the same orientation towards the Tx. However, due to the displacement of the Tx, the radiated power towards the Tx becomes minimal at around 90 degree. This minimum is visible in both curves (blue and red). However, the pole of the 45 degree slanted dipole now points to a different direction which explains the difference in the two lines. When the Rx is at 45 degree and the Tx is vertical, the pole is in the right half of the circle - resulting in a lower received power. When the Rx is Vertical and the Tx is 45 degree, the minimum power is achieved in the left half of the circle.

Next, we evaluate the two dipoles which are rotated by 45 degree. When moving around the circle, the Tx stays fixed and the Rx rotates. Subsequently, at one position, we will have both dipoles aligned and at another position, both will be crossed. When they are crossed, the received power will be 0 and when they are aligned, the power will match the first plot (two vertical dipoles). This can be seen in the following figure.

```

1 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
2 plot(abs(squeeze( c.coeff(2,2,1,:) )).^2 , 'Linewidth',1);
3 axis([0 360 -0.1 1]);
4 set(gca,'XTick',0:45:360)
5 xlabel('Position on circle [degrees]');
6 ylabel('LOS Power, linear scale');
7 title('Tx: 45 deg , Rx: 45 deg');

```



In the last figure, we have the Tx-antenna turned by 90 degree. It is thus lying on the side and it is horizontally polarized. For the Rx, we consider three setups: Vertical (blue line), 45 degree (green line) and 90 degree (red line). Note that the Tx is rotated around the y-axis. At the initial position (0 degree), the Rx (45 and 90 degree) is rotated around the x-axis. This is because the movement direction.

```


1 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
2 plot(abs(squeeze( c.coeff(:,3,1,:) )).^2);
3 axis([0 360 -0.1 1]);

```

```

4 legend('Rx: 0 deg', 'Rx: 45 deg', 'Rx: 90 deg' )
5 xlabel('Position [degrees]');
6 ylabel('LOS Power, linear scale');
7 title('Tx: 90 deg , Rx: 0 deg, 45 deg, 90 deg');

```



When the receiver is vertical (blue line), both antennas are always crossed. There is no position around the circle where a good link can be established. When the receiver is horizontal (red line), however, there are two points where the two dipoles are aligned. For the 45 degree dipole, the same behavior can be observed but with roughly half the power.

## 4.5 Pairing and segments

This tutorial shows how to set up scenarios with several transmitters and receivers and the use of scenarios. First, we set up a basic simulation with two transmitters. One of them is outdoors, the other is indoors.

```

1 clear all
2
3 s = qd_simulation_parameters; % Set up simulation parameters
4 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bars
5 s.center_frequency = 2.53e9; % Set center frequency
6 l = qd_layout(s); % Create new QuaDRiGa layout
7
8 l.no_tx = 2; % Two BSs
9 l.tx_position(:,1) = [ -142 ; 355 ; 64 ]; % Outdoor BS
10 l.tx_position(:,2) = [ 5 ; 0 ; 10 ]; % Indoor BS

```

We create two different MTs. MT1 is indoors. The link to BS1 is in scenario "WINNER\_UMa\_C2\_NLOS". The link to BS2 is in "WINNER\_Indoor\_A1\_LOS". MT1 has no segments. The rows in "track.scenario" indicate the scenario for each BS. If there is only one row, then all BSs get the same scenario. The second MT is outdoors, far away from the indoor BS. The first part of the MT2 track is in LOS, the second is in NLOS. The columns of track.scenario indicate the segments. Here, all BSs get the same scenarios.

```

1 l.no_rx = 2; % Two MTs
2 l.track(1,1) = qd_track('linear', 0.2 ); % Linear track with 20 cm length
3 l.track(1,1).name = 'Rx1'; % Set the MT1 name
4 l.track(1,1).scenario = {'WINNER_UMa_C2_NLOS'; 'WINNER_Indoor_A1_LOS'}; % Two Scenarios
5
6 l.track(1,2) = qd_track('linear', 0.2 ); % Linear track with 20 cm length
7 l.track(1,2).name = 'Rx2'; % Set the MT2 name
8
9 l.rx_position(:,2) = [ 100;50;0 ]; % Start position of the MT2 track
10 interpolate_positions( l.track, s.samples_per_meter ); % Interpolate positions
11
12 l.track(1,2).segment_index = [1 3]; % Set segments
13 l.track(1,2).scenario = {'WINNER_UMa_C2_LOS', 'WINNER_UMa_C2_NLOS'};

```

We calculate the channel coefficients and plot the list of created segments.

```

1  cb = l.init_builder;           % Initialize builder
2  gen_ssf_parameters( cb );     % Generate small-scale-fading
3  c = get_channels( cb );       % Get channel coefficients
4  disp( strvcats( c.name ) )    % Show the names of the channels

```

```

1  WINNER-UMa-C2-NLOS_Tx01_Rx1
2  WINNER-UMa-C2-NLOS_Tx01_Rx2_seg0002
3  WINNER-UMa-C2-LOS_Tx01_Rx2_seg0001
4  WINNER-UMa-C2-NLOS_Tx02_Rx2_seg0002
5  WINNER-Indoor-A1-LOS_Tx02_Rx1
6  WINNER-UMa-C2-LOS_Tx02_Rx2_seg0001

```

As we can see, 6 segments were generated. However, the channel Tx2\_Rx2 will most likely not be needed because of the large distance. We thus remove the link from the pairing matrix and recompute the channels.

```

1  l.pairing = [1 2 1 ; 1 1 2 ]; % Change the pairing matrix
2
3  cb = l.init_builder;           % Initialize channel builder object
4  gen_ssf_parameters( cb );     % Generate small-scale-fading parameters
5  c = get_channels( cb );       % Get channel coefficients
6  disp( strvcats( c.name ) )    % Show the names of the channels

```

```

1  WINNER-UMa-C2-NLOS_Tx01_Rx1
2  WINNER-UMa-C2-NLOS_Tx01_Rx2_seg0002
3  WINNER-UMa-C2-LOS_Tx01_Rx2_seg0001
4  WINNER-Indoor-A1-LOS_Tx02_Rx1

```

At last, we can combine the segments and generate the final channels.

```

1  cn = merge( c );              % Combine the channel coefficients
2  disp( strvcats( cn.name ) )   % Show the names of the channels

```

```

1  Tx01_Rx1
2  Tx01_Rx2
3  Tx02_Rx1

```

## 4.6 Network Setup and Parameter Generation

The tutorial demonstrates how to setup a simple layout with multiple receivers, how to adjust parameters manually, generate channel coefficients, and how to calculate simple parameters from the data. The channel model class 'qd\_builder' generates correlated values for the LSPs. The channel builder then uses those values to create coefficients that have the specific properties defined in the builder objects. One important question is therefore: Can the same properties which are defined in the builder also be found in the generated coefficients? This is an important test to verify, if all components of the channel builder work correctly.

**Channel model setup and coefficient generation** We first set up the basic parameters.

```


1  close all
2  clear all
3
4  set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5  set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
6  set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
7  set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
8  set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
9  set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type
10
11 s = qd_simulation_parameters; % Set up simulation parameters
12 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bars
13 s.center_frequency = 2.53e9; % Set center frequency
14 s.sample_density = 2; % 2 samples per half-wavelength
15 s.use_absolute_delays = 1; % Include delay of the LOS path

```

We have one transmitter and 250 receiver positions. Each receiver gets a specific channel. However, the receivers LSPs will be correlated. We use omni directional antennas at all terminals.

```

1 l = qd_layout(s); % Create new QuaDRiGa layout
2 l.no_rx = 250; % Set 250 MTs
3 l.randomize_rx_positions( 200 , 1.5 , 1.5 , 1 ); % 200 m radius, 1.5 m Rx height
4 l.set_scenario('BERLIN_UMa_NLOS'); % Use NLOS scenario
5
6 l.tx_position(3) = 25; % 25 m tx height
7 l.tx_array = qd_arrayant( 'omni' ); % Omnidirectional BS antenna
8 l.rx_array = qd_arrayant( 'omni' ); % Omnidirectional MT antenna
9
10 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 7.3]) % Adjust paper size for plot
11 l.visualize([],[],0); % Plot the layout
12 view(-33, 60); % Enable 3D view
    
```



We set up the scenario such that there is no XPR. I.e. all vertical polarized paths will remain vertical after a reflection. The same result would be achieved with a perfectly X-polarized array antenna at the receiver and summing up the power over all elements. We further increase the KF to have a wider spread. This allows us to study the parameters at a wider range when evaluating the results.

```


1 p = l.init_builder; % Initialize builder
2 p.plpar = []; % Disable path-loss
3 p.scenpar.XPR_mu = 100; % Disable XPR
4 p.scenpar.XPR_sigma = 0;
5 p.scenpar.KF_mu = 5; % Increase KF-Range
6 p.scenpar.KF_sigma = 15;
7 p.scenpar.DS_mu = log10(0.6e-6); % Median DS = 600 ns
8 p.scenpar.DS_sigma = 0.3; % 300-1200 ns range
9
10 p.gen_ssf_parameters; % Generate small-scale-fading
11 c = p.get_channels; % Generate channels
12
13 coeff = squeeze( cat( 1, c.coeff ) ); % Extract amplitudes and phases
14 delay = permute( cat(1,c.delay) , [1,3,4,2] ); % Extract path delays
    
```

**Results and discussion** In the following four plots, we extract parameters from the generated coefficients and compare them with the initial ones which were generated by the 'parameter\_set' object (P). The values in (P) can be seen as a request to the channel builder and the values in the generated coefficients (C) as a delivery. We first calculate the SF from the channel data by summing up the power over all 20 taps. We see, that the values are almost identical.

```

1 sf = sum(mean( abs(coeff).^2 ,3),2); % Calculate shadow fading
2
3 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Change Paper Size
4 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
5
6 plot(-35:35,-35:35,'k')
7 hold on
8 plot([-35:35]+3,-35:35,'--k')
9 plot([-35:35]-3,-35:35,'--k')
10 plot( 10*log10(p.sf') , 10*log10(sf) , '.' )
11 hold off
12 axis([ -25 , 25 , -25, 25 ])
13 legend('Equal','+/- 3dB','Location','SouthEast')
14 xlabel('SF_P [dB]'); ylabel('SF_C [dB]');
15 title('Shadow Fading - Requested vs. generated value');

```




Next, we repeat the same calculation for the K-Factor. Again, we see that the values are almost identical.

```

1 p_nlos = sum(mean( abs(coeff(:,2:end,:)).^2 ,3),2); % Calculate NLOS power
2 p_los = mean( abs(coeff(:,1,:)).^2 ,3); % Calculate LOS power
3 kf = p_los./p_nlos; % Calculate K-Factor
4
5 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
6 plot(-35:35,-35:35,'k')
7 hold on
8 plot([-35:35]+3,-35:35,'--k')
9 plot([-35:35]-3,-35:35,'--k')
10 plot( 10*log10(p.kf') , 10*log10(kf) , '.' )
11 hold off
12 axis([ -30 , 30 , -30, 30 ])
13 legend('Equal','+/- 3dB','Location','SouthEast')
14 xlabel('KF_P [dB]');
15 ylabel('KF_C [dB]');
16 title('K-Factor - Requested vs. generated value');

```




Now we repeat the calculation for the RMS delays spread.

```

1 pow_tap = abs(coef).^2; % Calculate path powers
2 pow_sum = sum(pow_tap,2); % Calculate sum-power
3 mean_delay = sum(pow_tap.*delay,2) ./ pow_sum; % Calculate mean delay
4 ds = sqrt( sum( pow_tap.*delay.^2 ,2) ./ pow_sum - mean_delay.^2 );
5 ds = mean(ds,3); % Calculate delay spread
6
7 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
8 plot([0:0.1:2],[0:0.1:2],'k')
9 hold on
10 plot([0:0.1:2]*1.1,[0:0.1:2], '--k')
11 plot([0:0.1:2],[0:0.1:2]*1.1, '--k')
12 plot( p.ds'*1e6 , (ds')*1e6 , '.' )
13 hold off
14 axis([ 0,1.5,0,1.5 ])
15 legend('Equal','+/- 10% Error','Location','SouthEast')
16 xlabel('DS_P [\mus]');
17 ylabel('DS_C [\mus]');
18 title('Delay Spread - Requested vs. generated value');

```



## 4.7 Time Evolution and Scenario Transitions

This tutorial shows how user trajectories, segments, and scenarios are defined. Channel coefficients are created for each segment separately. The channel merger combines these output into a longer sequence. The output sequences are evaluated for different settings of the model. The channel model generates the coefficients separately for each segment. In order to get a time-continuous output, these coefficients have to be combined. This is a feature which is originally described in the documentation of the WIM2 channel model, but which was never implemented. Since this component is needed for time-continuous simulations, it was implemented here. This script sets up the simulation and creates such time-continuous CIRs.

**Channel model setup and coefficient generation** First, we set up the channel model.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
6 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
7 set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
8 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
9 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type
10
11 s = qd_simulation_parameters; % New simulation parameters
12 s.center_frequency = 2.53e9; % 2.53 GHz carrier frequency
13 s.sample_density = 4; % 4 samples per half-wavelength
14 s.use_absolute_delays = 1; % Include delay of the LOS path
15 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bars


```

Second, we create a more complex network layout featuring an elevated transmitter (25 m) and two receivers at 1.5 m height. The first Rx moves along a circular track around the receiver. The second receiver moves away from the Tx. Both start at the same point. Note here, that each track is split into three segments. The first Rx goes from an LOS area to a shaded area and back. The second track also start in the LOS area. Here, the scenario changes to another LOS segment and then to an NLOS segment. The LOS-LOS change will create new small-scale fading parameters, but the large scale parameters (LSPs) will be highly correlated between those two segments.

```

1  l = qd_layout(s); % Create new QuaDRiGa layout
2  l.no_rx = 2; % Two receivers
3  l.tx_array = qd_arrayant('dipole'); % Dipole antennas at all Rx and Tx
4  l.rx_array = l.tx_array;
5  l.tx_position(3) = 25; % Elevate Tx to 25 m
6
7  UMa1 = 'BERLIN_UMa_LOS'; % LOS scenario name
8  UMa2 = 'BERLIN_UMa_NLOS'; % NLOS scenario name
9
10 l.track(1,1) = qd_track('circular',20*pi,0); % Circular track with 10m radius
11 l.track(1,1).initial_position = [10;0;1.5]; % Start east, running north
12 l.track(1,1).segment_index = [1,40,90]; % Segments
13 l.track(1,1).scenario = { UMa1, UMa2, UMa1 }; % Scenarios
14
15 l.track(1,2) = qd_track('linear',20,pi/8); % Linear track, 20 m length
16 l.track(1,2).initial_position = [10;0;1.5]; % Same start point
17 l.track(1,2).interpolate_positions( 128/20 );
18 l.track(1,2).segment_index = [1,40,90]; % Segments
19 l.track(1,2).scenario = { UMa1, UMa1, UMa2 }; % Scenarios
20
21 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 7.3]) % Adjust paper size for plot
22 l.visualize; % Plot the layout
23
24 interpolate_positions( l.track, s.samples_per_meter ); % Interpolate
25 compute_directions( l.track ); % Align antenna direction with track

```



Now we create the channel coefficients. The fixing the random seed guarantees repeatable results (i.e. the taps will be at the same positions for both runs). Also note the significantly longer computing time when drifting is enabled.

```

1  p = l.init_builder; % Create channel builders
2  p.gen_ssf_parameters; % Generate small-scale fading
3

```

```

4 disp('Drifting enabled:');
5 s.use_spherical_waves = 1; % Enable drifting (=spherical waves)
6 tic; c = get_channels( p ); cn = merge( c ); toc; % Generate channel coefficients
7
8 disp('Drifting disabled:');
9 s.use_spherical_waves = 0; % Disable drifting
10 tic; d = get_channels( p ); dn = merge( d ); toc; % Generate channel coefficients

```

```

1 Drifting enabled:
2 Elapsed time is 15.184054 seconds.
3 Drifting disabled:
4 Elapsed time is 2.048034 seconds.


```

**Results and discussion** Now we plot the and discuss the results. We start with the power of the LOS tap along the circular track and compare the outcome with and without drifting.

```

1 degrees = (0:cn(1,1).no_snap-1)/cn(1).no_snap * 360;
2 los_pwr_drift = 10*log10(squeeze(abs(cn(1).coeff(1,1,1,:)).^2));
3 los_pwr_nodrift = 10*log10(squeeze(abs(dn(1).coeff(1,1,1,:)).^2));
4
5 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Change Paper Size
6 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
7 plot( degrees,los_pwr_drift )
8 hold on
9 plot(degrees,los_pwr_nodrift ,'-r')
10 hold off
11
12 a = axis; axis( [0 360 a(3:4) ] );
13 xlabel('Position on circle [deg]');
14 ylabel('Power of the LOS component');
15 title('Power of the LOS component for the circular track');
16 legend('Drifting','No drifting','Location','SouthEast');

```




When drifting is enabled (blue curve), the channel output after merging is time-continuous. The variations along the track come from the drifting K-Factor and the drifting shadow fading. When drifting is disabled, these parameters are not updated and kept fixed at their initial value. At the end of each segment, both channels are cross-faded, i.e. the power of the output of the first segment ramps down and the power of the second segment ramps up. Since drifting guarantees a time-continuous evolution of the phase, this ramping process is also time continuous and no artifacts are visible in the blue curve. Without drifting, the phases are approximated based on their initial values, the initial arrival and departure angles and the traveled distance from the start point. However, since the Rx moves along a circular track, the angles change continuously which is not correctly modeled. The phase at the end of the first segment does not match the phase at the beginning of the second. When adding both components, artifacts appear as can be seen in the red curve.

Next, we plot the power-delay profiles for both tracks. We calculate the frequency response of the channel and transform it back to time domain by an IFFT. Then, we create a 2D image of the received power at each position of the track. We start with the circular track.

```

1 h = cn(1,1).fr( 100e6,512 ); % Freq.-domain channel
2 h = squeeze(h); % Remove singleton dimensions
3 pdp = 10*log10(abs(iffth(h,[],1)).')^2); % Power-delay profile
4
5 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
6 imagesc(pdp(:,1:256));
7
8 caxis([ max(max(pdp))-50 max(max(pdp))-5 ]); colorbar; % Figure decorations
9 cm = colormap('hot'); colormap(cm(end:-1:1,:));
10 set(gca,'XTick',1:32:255); set(gca,'XTickLabel',(0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
11 set(gca,'YTick',1:cn(1).no_snap/8:cn(1).no_snap);
12 set(gca,'YTickLabel',(0:cn(1).no_snap/8:cn(1).no_snap)/cn(1).no_snap * 360 );
13 xlabel('Delay [\mus]'); ylabel('Position on circle [deg]');
14 title('PDP for the circular track with drifting');

```




The X-axis shows the delay in microseconds and the Y-axis shows the position on the circle. For easier navigation, the position is given in degrees. 0 deg means east (starting point), 90 deg means north, 180 deg west and 270 deg south. The LOS delay stays constant since the distance to the Tx is also constant. However, the power of the LOS changes according to the scenario. Also note, that the NLOS segment has more paths due to the longer delay spread.

Next, we create the same plot for the linear track. Note the slight increase in the LOS delay and the high similarity of the first two LOS segments due to the correlated LSPs. Segment change is at around 6 m.

```

1 h = cn(1,2).fr( 100e6,512 ); % Freq.-domain channel
2 h = squeeze(h); % Remove singleton dimensions
3 pdp = 10*log10(abs(iffth(h,[],1)).')^2); % Power-delay profile
4
5 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
6 imagesc(pdp(:,1:256));
7
8 caxis([ max(max(pdp))-50 max(max(pdp))-5 ]); colorbar; % Figure decorations
9 cm = colormap('hot'); colormap(cm(end:-1:1,:));
10 set(gca,'XTick',1:32:255); set(gca,'XTickLabel',(0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
11 set(gca,'YTick',1:cn(2).no_snap/8:cn(2).no_snap);
12 set(gca,'YTickLabel',(0:cn(2).no_snap/8:cn(2).no_snap)/cn(2).no_snap * 20 );
13 xlabel('Delay [\mus]'); ylabel('Distance from start point [m]');
14 title('PDP for the linear track with drifting');

```




Last, we plot the same results for the linear track without drifting. Note here, that the LOS delay is not smooth during segment change. There are two jumps at 6 m and again at 13.5 m.

```

1 h = dn(1,2).fr( 100e6,512 ); % Freq.-domain channel
2 h = squeeze(h); % Remove singleton dimensions
3 pdp = 10*log10(abs(iffth(h,[,1]).')^2); % Power-delay profile
4
5 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
6 imagesc(pdp(:,1:256));
7
8 caxis([ max(max(pdp))-50 max(max(pdp))-5 ]); colorbar; % Figure decorations
9 cm = colormap('hot'); colormap(cm(end:-1:1,:));
10 set(gca,'XTick',1:32:255); set(gca,'XTickLabel',(0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
11 set(gca,'YTick',1:cn(2).no_snap/8:cn(2).no_snap);
12 set(gca,'YTickLabel',(0:cn(2).no_snap/8:cn(2).no_snap)/cn(2).no_snap * 20 );
13 xlabel('Delay [\mus]'); ylabel('Distance from start point [m]');
14 title('PDP for the linear track without drifting');

```



### 4.8 Applying Varying Speeds (Channel Interpolation)

This tutorial shows how to adjust the speed of the terminal, e.g. when breaking or accelerating. First, a simple scenario defined. Channel coefficients are calculated at a constant speed and then interpolated to match the varying speed of the terminal. One feature that makes the simulations more realistic is the function to apply arbitrary speed- and movement profiles, e.g. accelerating, breaking or moving at any chosen speed. These profiles are defined in the track class. The profiles are then converted in to effective sampling points which aid the interpolation of the channel coefficients.

**Channel model set-up** First, we set up the simulation parameters. Note the sample density of 2.5 which enables very fast simulations even with drifting.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
6 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
7 set(0,'defaultTextFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
8 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode', 'auto') % Default Plot position
9 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType', '<custom>') % Default Paper Type
10
11 s = qd_simulation_parameters; % New simulation parameters
12 s.center_frequency = 2.53e9; % 2.53 GHz carrier frequency
13 s.sample_density = 2.5; % 2.5 samples per half-wavelength
14 s.use_absolute_delays = 1; % Include delay of the LOS path
15 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bars


```

Second, we define a track. It has a length of 20 m, starts at 10 m east of the transmitter and consists of three segments (LOS, NLOS, LOS). The positions are interpolated to match the sample density defined above. The track is then plugged into a network layout with one transmitter at position (0,0,25). Both, transmitter and receiver are equipped with dipole antennas. The last three lines create the large scale parameters (LSPs).

```

1 t = qd_track('linear',20,-pi/8); % 20 m track, direction SE
2 t.initial_position = [60;0;1.5]; % Start position
3 t.interpolate_positions( 128/20 ); % Interpolate
4 t.segment_index = [1,40,90]; % Assign segments
5 t.scenario = {'BERLIN_UMa_LOS', 'BERLIN_UMa_NLOS', 'BERLIN_UMa_LOS'};
6 t.interpolate_positions( s.samples_per_meter ); % Apply sample density
7
8 l = qd_layout( s ); % New QuaDRiGa layout
9 l.tx_array = qd_arrayant('dipole'); % Set Dipole antenna
10 l.rx_array = qd_arrayant('dipole'); % Set Dipole antenna
11 l.tx_position(3) = 25; % BE height
12 l.track = t; % Assign track
13
14 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 7.3]) % Adjust paper size for plot
15 l.visualize; % Plot the layout

```



**Channel generation and results** Next, we generate the channel coefficients. Note that here, the initial sample density is 2.5. We then interpolate the sample density to 20. It would take ten times as long to achieve the same result with setting the initial sample density to 20. The interpolation is significantly faster.

It is done by first setting the speed to 1 m/s (default setting) and then creating a distance vector which contains a list of effective sampling points along the track.

```

1  cn = l.get_channels; % Generate channels
2
3  t.set_speed( 1 ); % Set constant speed
4  dist = t.interpolate_movement( s.wavelength/(2*20) ); % Get snapshot positions
5  ci = cn.interpolate( dist , 'spline' ); % Interpolate channels


```

The next plot shows the power of the first three taps from both, the original and the interpolated channel, plotted on top of each other. The values are identical except for the fact, that the interpolated values (blue line) have 5 times as many sample points.

```

1  nsnap = cn.no_snap; % No. snapshots
2  dist_orig = (0:nsnap-1) * t.get_length/(nsnap-1); % Distances
3  pwr_orig = 10*log10(squeeze(abs(cn.coeff(1,1,1:3,:)).^2)); % Power before interpolation
4  pwr_int = 10*log10(squeeze(abs(ci.coeff(1,1,1:3,:)).^2)); % Power after interpolation
5
6  set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Change Paper Size
7  figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
8
9  plot( dist_orig,pwr_orig , 'r','Linewidth',2 )
10 hold on
11 plot( dist,pwr_int , 'b' )
12 hold off
13 axis([min(dist),max(dist), min( pwr_orig( pwr_orig>-160 ) ),...
14 max( pwr_orig( pwr_orig>-160 ) )+10 ] );
15 xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('Power [dB]');

```




The following plot shows the power delay profile (PDP) for the interpolated channel. As defined in the track object, it starts with a LOS segment, going into a shaded area with significantly more multipath fading at around 4 seconds and then back to LOS at around 13 sec.

```

1  h = ci.fr( 100e6,512 ); % Freq.-domain channel
2  h = squeeze(h); % Remove singleton dimensions
3  pdp = 10*log10(abs(iffth(h,[],1)).^2); % Power-delay profile
4
5  figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
6  imagesc(pdp(:,1:256));
7
8  caxis([ max(max(pdp))-50 max(max(pdp))-5 ]); colorbar; % Figure decorations
9  cm = colormap('hot'); colormap(cm(end:-1:1,:));
10 set(gca,'XTick',1:32:255); set(gca,'XTickLabel',(0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
11 set(gca,'YTick',1:ci.no_snap/8:ci.no_snap);
12 set(gca,'YTickLabel',(0:ci.no_snap/8:ci.no_snap)/ci.no_snap * 20 );
13 xlabel('Delay [\mus]'); ylabel('Time [s]');
14 title('PDP with fixed speed');

```




Now, we create a movement profile. It is defined by a set of value pairs in `track.movement_profile`. The first value represents the time in seconds, the second value the position on the track. Here, we start at a position of 7 m, i.e. in the second (NLOS) segment. We then go back to the beginning of the track. This takes 5 seconds. Then, we wait there for 1 second and go to the end of the track, which we reach after additional 14 seconds. The next step is to interpolate the sample points. This is done by the `interpolate_movement` method. It requires the sample interval (in s) as an input argument. Here, we choose an interval of 1 ms which gives us 1000 samples per second. The plot the illustrates the results.

```

1 t.movement_profile = [ 0,7 ; 5,0 ; 6,0 ; 20,20 ]'; % Generate movement profile
2 dist = t.interpolate_movement( 1e-3 ); % Get snapshot positions
3 ci = cn.interpolate( dist ); % Interpolate channels
4
5 nsnap = ci.no_snap;
6 time = (0:nsnap-1) * t.movement_profile(1,end)/(nsnap-1);
7
8 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
9 plot( time,dist , 'r' )
10 xlabel('Time [s]'); ylabel('Position on track [m]');
11 title('Movement profile');

```



The last plot shows the PDP of the interpolated channel with the movement profile applied. The channel starts in the second segment with a lot of fading, goes back to the first while slowing down at the same time. After staying constant for one second, the channel starts running again, speeding up towards the end of the track.

```


1 h = ci.fr( 100e6,512 ); % Freq.-domain channel
2 h = squeeze(h); % Remove singleton dimensions
3 pdp = 10*log10(abs(iffth(h,[],1)).')^2); % Power-delay profile
4
5 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
6 imagesc(pdp(:,1:256));
7

```

```

8 caxis([ max(max(pdp))-50 max(max(pdp))-5 ]); colorbar; % Figure decorations
9 cm = colormap('hot'); colormap(cm(end:-1:1,:));
10 set(gca,'XTick',1:32:255); set(gca,'XTickLabel',(0:32:256)/100e6*1e6);
11 set(gca,'YTick',1:ci.no_snap/8:ci.no_snap);
12 set(gca,'YTickLabel',(0:ci.no_snap/8:ci.no_snap)/ci.no_snap * 20 );
13 xlabel('Delay [\mus]'); ylabel('Time [s]');
14 title('PDP with variable speed');

```



The following code segment shows a movie of the channel response. (You need to run the code manually in MATLAB or Octave)

```

1 if 0
2     h = ci.fr( 20e6,128 );
3     h = squeeze(h);
4     mi = -90; ma = -80;
5     while true
6         for n = 1:size(h,2)
7             pdp = 10*log10(abs(h(:,n)).^2);
8             plot(pdp)
9             ma = max( ma,max([pdp]) );
10            mi = min( mi,min([pdp]) );
11            axis([1,128,mi,ma])
12            title(round(time(n)))
13            drawnow
14        end
15    end
16 end

```

### 4.9 Resimulating a Measured Scenario

This more complex tutorial shows how to manually define a state sequence (i.e. a sequence of scenario transitions), manipulate antennas, create large-scale-parameters such as shadow fading and delay spread, and obtain a time series of channel coefficients. This script recreates a measured drive test from the Park Inn Hotel at Berlin Alexanderplatz. The transmitter was at the rooftop of the hotel while the mobile receiver was moving south on Grunerstraße. A simplified version of the scenario is recreated in the simulation where the scenarios along the track were classified by hand.


**Channel model set-up and coefficient generation** The following code configures some basic parameters.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
6 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
7 set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
8 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
9 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type

```





The last step generates the channel coefficients.


```

1 cn = l.get_channels; % Generate channel coefficients
2 cn.individual_delays = 0; % Remove per-antenna delays
    
```

**Results** First, we plot the PDP vs. distance from the start point. For this, the channel bandwidth is reduced to 20 MHz. You can see how the delay of the LOS path sifts with the distance between BS and MT, how the LOS segments have more power, and how NLOS paths appear and disappear along the track.

```

1 h = cn.fr( 20e6,256 ); % Freq.-domain channel
2 pdp = squeeze(sum(sum( abs(iffth(h,[],3)).^2 , 1),2));
3 pdp = 10*log10(pdp. ');
4
5 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Change paper Size
6 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
7 imagesc(pdp(end:-1:1,1:192));
8
9 caxis([ max(max(pdp))-60 max(max(pdp))-5 ]); colorbar; % Figure decorations
10 cm = colormap('hot'); colormap(cm(end:-1:1,:));
11 set(gca,'XTick',1:32:192); set(gca,'XTickLabel',(0:32:192)/20e6*1e6);
12 ind = sort(cn.no_snap : -cn.no_snap/10 : 1 );
13 set(gca,'YTick', ind );
14 set(gca,'YTickLabel', round(sort(500-ind / 3,'descend')) );
15 xlabel('Delay [\mus]'); ylabel('Distance [m]');
16 title('PDP with fixed speed');
    
```




The next plot shows the total received power along the trajectory. Green shaded areas are LOS. The rest is NLOS. You can see that there is more power when there is LOS propagation.

```

1 dist = (1:cn.no_snap)*t.get_length/cn.no_snap; % Traveled distance
2 ind = find(strcmp(t.scenario,S1)); % Find LOS scenarios
3 los = [];
4 for n = 1:numel(ind)
5     los = [los t.segment_index(ind(n)) : t.segment_index(ind(n)+1)];
6 end
7 ar = zeros(1,cn.no_snap); ar(los) = -200;
8
9 power = 10*log10( sum( reshape( abs(cn.coeff).^2 , [] , cn.no_snap ) ,1)/4 );
10
11 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
12 a = area(dist,ar); % Shading for the LOS
13 set(a(1),'FaceColor',[0.7 0.9 0.7]); set(a,'LineStyle','none');
14 hold on; plot(dist,power); hold off % Plot the received power
15 title('Position dependent power'); xlabel('Track [m]'); ylabel('Power [dB]');
16 axis([0 500 min(power)-5 max(power)+5]); grid on;
17 legend('LOS','P_{total}','Location','SouthEast')

```




The following plot shows the distribution (PDF) of the received power for both, the LOS and NLOS segments.

```

1 bins = -150:2:-80;
2 p_los = hist(power(los),bins)/cn.no_snap*100;
3 p_nlos = hist(power(setdiff(1:cn.no_snap,los)),bins)/cn.no_snap*100;
4
5 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
6 bar(bins,[p_los;p_nlos]);
7 axis([-124.5,-83,0,ceil(max([p_los,p_nlos]))]); grid on
8 title('Empirical PDF of the LOS and NLOS power')
9 xlabel('P_{total} [dB]'); ylabel('Probability [%]'); legend('LOS','NLOS')

```




The next plot shows the RMS delay spread along the path. Again, shaded areas are for the LOS segments. Due to the strong LOS component, the DS gets shorter during LOS areas.

```

1 pow_tap = squeeze(sum(sum(abs(cn.coeff).^2,1),2));
2 pow_sum = sum(pow_tap,1);
3 mean_delay = sum(pow_tap.*cn.delay,1) ./ pow_sum;
4 ds = sqrt(sum(pow_tap.*cn.delay.^2,1) ./ pow_sum - mean_delay.^2);
5 ar = zeros(1,cn.no_snap);
6 ar(los) = 10;
7
8 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
9 a = area(dist,ar);
10 set(a(1),'FaceColor',[0.7 0.9 0.7]);set(a,'LineStyle','none')
11 hold on; plot(dist, ds*1e6); hold off; % Plot DS
12 ma = 1e6*( max(ds)+0.1*max(ds) );axis([0 500 0 ma]);
13 title('Position dependant delay spread'); grid on
14 xlabel('Track [m]'); ylabel('Delay Spread [dB]'); legend('LOS','\sigma_\tau');

```




The following plot shows the distribution (PDF) of the RMS delay spread for both, the LOS and NLOS segments.

```

1 bins = 0:0.03:3;
2 ds_los = hist(ds(los)*1e6,bins)/cn.no_snap*100;
3 ds_nlos = hist(ds(setdiff(1:cn.no_snap,los))*1e6,bins)/cn.no_snap*100;
4
5 DS = [ ds_los ; ds_nlos ];
6 ind = max( find( max(DS/max(DS(:)))>0.001 ) );
7
8 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
9 bar(bins,DS');
10 axis([0,bins(ind),0,max(DS(:))+1]); grid on;
11 title('Empirical PDF of the LOS and NLOS RMSDS');
12 xlabel('\sigma_\tau [\mu s]'); ylabel('Probability [%]'); legend('LOS','NLOS');

```



## 4.10 How to manually set LSPs in QuaDRiGa (Satellite Scenario)

This tutorial explains, how to generate a time-series of channel coefficients with manual selection of LSPs in a satellite scenario. By default, QuaDRiGa automatically generates correlated LSPs based on statistics extracted from measurements. However, in some cases it might be preferable to fix these parameters, e.g. when the large-scale fading is provided by an external source such as a measured profile. This can be done by providing specific values for the LSPs along with the terminal trajectory.

**Setting general parameters** We set up some basic parameters such as center frequency and sample density.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 set(0, 'defaultTextFontSize', 18)           % Default Font Size
5 set(0, 'defaultAxesFontSize', 18)          % Default Font Size
6 set(0, 'defaultAxesFontName', 'Times')     % Default Font Type
7 set(0, 'defaultTextFontName', 'Times')     % Default Font Type
8 set(0, 'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode', 'auto') % Default Plot position
9 set(0, 'DefaultFigurePaperType', '<custom>') % Default Paper Type
10
11 s = qd_simulation_parameters;               % Basic simulation parameters
12 s.center_frequency = 2.185e9;              % Center Frequency
13 s.sample_density = 4;                      % 4 samples / half wave length
14 s.show_progressBars = 0;                  % Disable progress bars

```

**Defining a layout** In this step, we set the antennas and the position of the satellite into a simulation layout. A layout object contains all the geometric information that are necessary to run the simulation. First, we define the position of the satellite.

```

1 sat_el = 28.4;                             % Satellite elevation angle
2 sat_az = 161.6;                             % Satellite azimuth angle (South = 180 deg)
3 rx_latitude = 51;                           % Latitude of the Rx
4
5 l = qd_layout( s );                         % Create a new layout
6 l.set_satellite_pos( rx_latitude, sat_el, sat_az ); % Set satellite position
7
8 l.randomize_rx_positions( 500,1.5,1.5,0 ); % Random Rx position @ 1.5 m height

```

**Defining Array Antennas** We set up our array antennas for the transmitter at the satellite and the receiver. We use synthetic patch antennas for this case. Two elements are crossed by an angle of 90 degree. The signal is then split and fed with a 90 degree phase shift to both elements generating RHCP and LHCP signals. The Tx-signal for the first element is shifted by -90 degree out of phase and put on the second element. The signal for the second element is shifted by +90 degree and copied to the first element. Both antennas thus radiate a LHCP and a RHCP wave.

```

1 a = qd_arrayant('custom',120,120,0);       % Patch antenna with 120 degree opening
2 a.copy_element(1,2);                       % Copy element 1 to element 2.
3 a.rotate_pattern(90,'x',2);                % Rotate second pattern by 90 degrees
4 a.coupling = 1/sqrt(2) * [1 1;1j -1j];     % LHCP and RHCP
5 a.rotate_pattern( sat_el , 'y' );          % Point satellite antenna to the receiver
6 a.rotate_pattern( 270-sat_az , 'z' );
7
8 b = a.copy;                                 % Copy the antenna for the receiver
9 b.rotate_pattern(-90,'y');                  % Rotate to face sky-wards
10
11 l.tx_array = a;                             % Set the transmit antenna in the layout
12 l.rx_array = b;                             % Set the receive antenna in the layout

```

**Setting up a user track and assigning parameters** QuaDRiGa needs the positions of transmitter and receiver, e.g. for calculating the polarization or the arrival and departure angels. The positioning information of the Tx and Rx is essential also when the LSPs are not calculated. The following generates a linear track

with 20 m length having a random direction. The track is further split into 4 segments of 5 m length. The splitting is done by calling the method 'split\_segment' of the track class. The first two arguments of that function are the minimum length of a segment (1 m) and the maximum length of the segment (6 m). Each existing segment that is longer than the maximum length is split into subsegments. The length of those segments is random where the third and fourth parameter determine the mean and the STD of the length of new subsegment. Hence, 't.split\_segment( 1,6,5,0 )' splits all segment longer than 6 m into subsegments of 5 m length.

```

1 t = qd_track('linear',20); % Linear track, 20 m length
2 t.interpolate_positions( s.samples_per_meter); % Interpolate to sample density
3 t.split_segment( 1,6,5,0 ) % Split into 4 segments
4 t.initial_position = l.rx_position; % Set Rx position

```

Each segment gets assigned a scenario. This is also essential since many parameters (such as the number of clusters, the XPR etc.) are scenario-specific. Hence, they are the same for the entire scenario. Here, we set the first the segments to NLOS, the third to LOS and the last to NLOS.

```

1 Sn = 'MIMOSA_10-45_NLOS';
2 Sl = 'MIMOSA_10-45_LOS';
3 t.scenario = {Sn,Sn,Sl,Sn}; % Set scenarios

```

Parameters are assigned to the track by using the "par" field. There are 8 parameters that can be set:

- Delay Spread (ds) in units of [sec],
- Ricean K-Factor (kf) in [dB],
- Path gain (pg) in [dB],
- Azimuth spread of Departure (asD) in [degree],
- Azimuth spread of Arrival (asA) in [degree],
- Elevation spread of Departure (esD) in [degree], and
- Elevation spread of Arrival (esA) in [degree]
- Cross-Polarization Ratio (XPR) in [DB]

Delay Spread (ds), the angular spreads (asD, asA, esD and esA) and the XPR are given once for each segment of the track. K-Factor (kf) and Path Gain (pg) are given for each snapshot. QuaDRiGa can fill the fields automatically using the map-based parameter generation method.

```

1 l.track = t; % Assign track to layout
2 l.gen_lsf_parameters; % Generate LSPs and save to "t.par"

```

Now, we want to adjust the delay spread manually. We do this by editing the par-field of the track. Note that missing parameters are automatically generated by the channel model. Due to the use of "handle" classes, "t" and "l.track" point to the same object in memory. In the following, the properties of "t" are changed. However, this effects "l.track" in the same way.

```

1 t.par.ds = [ 0.45 0.33 0.12 0.60 ]*1e-6; % Set delay spread

```

Next, we manually set the path gain. We create a time-series for the PG using a cubic interpolation method. This is also set in the parameter object.

```

1 pg = [ -102 , -97 , -82 , -99 ]; % Path gain per segment
2 pg = [ pg ; pg ];
3
4 ind = [ t.segment_index(2:end)-50 ; t.segment_index(2:end)+50 ];
5 ind = [ 1 ; ind(:) ; t.no_snapshots ]; % Segment positions
6 pgi = pchip( ind , pg(:) , 1:t.no_snapshots ); % Cubic interpolation
7 t.par.pg = pgi; % Set path gain

```

**Calculate channel coefficients** Now we calculate the coefficients and the received power along the path. The following command calculate the channel coefficients. We then check the number of clusters that have been produced for each segment.

```

1 c = l.get_channels; % Calculate the channel coefficients


```

We plot the power along the path. You can see the power levels of around -102, -97, -82 and -99 dBm which have been set earlier. Note that there are additional antenna gains.

```

1 power = sum(abs(c.coeff).^2,3); % Calculate power
2 power = 10*log10(power);
3 power = reshape( power, [], size(power,4) ).';
4 [~,dist] = t.get_length; % Distance relative to track start
5
6 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Change paper Size
7 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
8 plot(dist,pgi,'--k','Linewidth',2) % Plot target PG
9 hold on; plot(dist,power); hold off; % Plot actual received power
10 title('Simulated Power');
11 xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('Received Power [dBm]');
12 axis([0,20,-115,-65]); grid on;
13 legend('Setting','LHCP-LHCP','LHCP-RHCP','RHCP-LHCP','RHCP-RHCP','Location','NorthWest')

```




The last plot shows the DS along the path. The results reflect the settings of 0.45, 0.33, 0.12 and 0.60 quiet well. As for the power, there is an overlap in between the segments. For example, in between 7.5 and 10m the DS drops from 0.33 to 0.12 microseconds. Additional fluctuations are caused by small scale fading.

```

1 c.individual_delays = 0; % Remove per-antenna delays
2 coeff = c.coeff; delay = c.delay; % Copy data from channel object
3
4 pow_tap = squeeze( mean(mean(abs( coeff ).^2,1),2) ); % Calculate DS
5 pow_sum = sum(pow_tap);
6 mean_delay = sum( pow_tap.*delay) ./ pow_sum;
7 ds = sqrt( sum( pow_tap.*delay.^2) ./ pow_sum - mean_delay.^2 );
8
9 dss = zeros( 1,t.no_snapshots); % Extract target DS
10 ind = [ t.segment_index t.no_snapshots ];
11 for n = 1:t.no_segments
12     dss(ind(n) : ind(n+1)) = t.par.ds(n);
13 end
14
15 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
16 plot(dist,dss*1e6,'--k','Linewidth',2) % Plot target DS
17 hold on; plot(dist,ds*1e6); hold off; % Plot actual DS
18 title('Simulated Delay Spread');
19 xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('RMS DS [\mus]');
20 axis([0,20,0,1]);grid on;
21 legend('Setting','Actual DS','Location','NorthWest')

```



### 4.11 Multi-frequency simulations

This tutorial demonstrates how to perform simultaneous multi-frequency simulations at two carrier frequencies: 2.6 GHz and 28 GHz in an Urban-Macrocell deployment. The BS is equipped with two different array antennas. A conventional high-gain antenna operates at 2.6 GHz. The higher frequency band uses a massive-MIMO array antenna with in an 8x8 dual-polarized setup. The model is consistent in both, the spatial domain and the frequency domain. Simulation assumptions are in accordance with 3GPP 38.901 v14.1.0 (see Section 7.6.5 Correlation modeling for multi-frequency simulations).

Identical parameters for each frequency:

- LOS / NLOS state must be the same
- BS and MT positions are the same (antenna element positions are different!)
- Cluster delays and angles for each multi-path component are the same
- Spatial consistency of the LSPs is identical

Differences:

- Antenna patterns are different for each frequency
- Path-loss is different for each frequency
- Path-powers are different for each frequency
- Delay- and angular spreads are different
- K-Factor is different
- XPR of the NLOS components is different

**Basic setup** Multiple frequencies are set in the simulation parameters by providing a vector of frequency sample points. A new layout is created with one 25 m high BS positions and 100 MT positions. The MTs are placed in accordance with the 3GPP assumptions, where 80% of them are situated indoors at different floor levels.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 set(0, 'defaultFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5 set(0, 'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
6 set(0, 'defaultAxesFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
7 set(0, 'defaultTextFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
8 set(0, 'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode', 'auto') % Default Plot position
9 set(0, 'DefaultFigurePaperType', '<custom>') % Default Paper Type
10 set(0, 'DefaultFigurePaperSize', [14.5 7.3]) % Default Paper Size
11
12 s = qd_simulation_parameters;
13 s.center_frequency = [2.6e9, 28e9]; % Assign two frequencies
    
```

```

14 l = qd_layout( s ); % New QuaDRiGa layout
15 l.tx_position = [0 0 25]'; % 25 m BS height
16 l.no_rx = 100; % 100 MTs
17
18
19 l.randomize_rx_positions( 200, 1.5, 1.5, 0 ); % Assign random user positions
20 l.rx_position(1,:) = l.rx_position(1,:) + 220; % Place users east of the BS
21
22 floor = randi(5,1,l.no_rx) + 3; % Set random floor levels
23 for n = 1:l.no_rx
24     floor( n ) = randi( floor( n ) );
25 end
26 l.rx_position(3,:) = 3*(floor-1) + 1.5;
27
28 indoor_rx = l.set_scenario( '3GPP_38.901_UMa', [], [], 0.8 ); % Set the scenario
29 l.rx_position(3,~indoor_rx) = 1.5; % Set outdoor-users to 1.5 m height

```

**Antenna set-up** Two different antenna configurations are used at the BS. The 2.6 GHz antenna is constructed from 8 vertically stacked patch elements with +/- 45 degree polarization. The electric downtilt is set to 8 degree. The mm-wave antenna uses 64 dual-polarized elements in a 8x8 massive-MIMO array configuration. The antennas are assigned to the BS by an array of "qd\_arrayant" objects. Rows correspond to the frequency, columns to the BS. There is only 1 BS in the layout. The mobile terminal uses a vertically polarized omni-directional antenna for both frequencies.

```

1 a_2600_MHz = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-3d', 8, 1, s.center_frequency(1), 6, 8 );
2 a_28000_MHz = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-3d', 8, 8, s.center_frequency(2), 3 );
3
4 l.tx_array(1,1) = a_2600_MHz; % Set 2.6 GHz antenna
5 l.tx_array(2,1) = a_28000_MHz; % Set 28 Ghz antenna
6
7 l.rx_array = qd_arrayant( 'omni' ); % Set omni-rx antenna


```

**Coverage preview** Next, we create a preview of the antenna footprint. We calculate the map for the two frequencies including path-loss and antenna patterns. The first plot is for the 2.6 GHz band.

```

1 sample_distance = 5; % One pixel every 5 m
2 x_min = -50; % Area to be samples in [m]
3 x_max = 550;
4 y_min = -300;
5 y_max = 300;
6 rx_height = 1.5; % Mobile terminal height in [m]
7 tx_power = 30; % Tx-power in [dBm] per antenna element
8 i_freq = 1; % Frequency index for 2.6 GHz
9
10 % Calculate the map including path-loss and antenna patterns
11 [ map, x_coords, y_coords ] = l.power_map( '3GPP_38.901_UMa_LOS', 'quick', ...
12     sample_distance, x_min, x_max, y_min, y_max, rx_height, tx_power, i_freq );
13 P_db = 10*log10( sum( map{1}, 4 ) );
14
15 % Plot the results
16 l.visualize([], [], 0); % Show BS and MT positions on the map
17 hold on; imagesc( x_coords, y_coords, P_db ); hold off % Plot the antenna footprint
18 axis([x_min,x_max,y_min,y_max]);
19 caxis( max(P_db(:)) + [-20 0] ); % Color range
20 colormap = colormap;
21 colormap( colormap*0.5 + 0.5 ); % Adjust colors to be "lighter"
22 set(gca, 'layer', 'top') % Show grid on top of the map
23 colorbar('south')
24 title('Received power [dBm] for 2.6 GHz band')

```




For the 28 GHz, we get the complex-valued phases for each antenna element in order to calculate a MRT beamformer that points the towards the ground at coordinates  $x = 200$  m and  $y = 100$  m.

```

1 tx_power      = 10;                               % Tx-power in [dBm] per antenna element
2 i_freq       = 2;                               % Frequency index for 28 GHz
3
4 % Calculate the map including path-loss and antenna patterns
5 [ map, x_coords, y_coords] = l.power_map( '3GPP_38.901_UMa_LOS', 'phase',...
6     sample_distance, x_min, x_max, y_min, y_max, rx_height, tx_power, i_freq );
7
8 % Calculate MRT beamforming weights
9 beam_x = find( x_coords >= 200 , 1 );           % Point the beam at x = 200 and y = 100
10 beam_y = find( y_coords >= 100 , 1 );
11 w = conj( map{1}( beam_y, beam_x , 1 ,: ) );   % Precoding weights for a MRT beamformer
12 w = w ./ sqrt(mean(abs(w(:)).^2));           % Normalize to unit power
13
14 % Apply the precoding weights to each pixel on the map and calculate the received power
15 P_db = map{1} .* w( ones(1,numel(y_coords)), ones(1,numel(x_coords)),:,: );
16 P_db = 10*log10( abs( sum( P_db ,4 ) ).^2 );
17
18 l.visualize([],[],0);                            % Show BS and MT positions on the map
19 hold on; imagesc( x_coords, y_coords, P_db ); hold off % Plot the antenna footprint
20 axis([x_min,x_max,y_min,y_max]);
21 caxis( max(P_db(:)) + [-20 0] );                % Color range
22 colormap = colormap;
23 colormap( colormap*0.5 + 0.5 );                % Adjust colors to be "lighter"
24 set(gca,'layer','top')                          % Show grid on top of the map
25 colorbar('south')
26 title('Received power [dBm] for 28 GHz band')

```



**Generate channel coefficients** Channel coefficients are generated by calling "l.get\_channels". The output is an array of QuaDRiGa channel objects. The first dimension corresponds to the MTs (100). The second dimension corresponds to the number of BSs (1) and the third dimension corresponds to the number of frequencies (2).

```

1 c = l.get_channels;

1 Starting channel generation using QuaDRiGa v2.0.0-648
2 100 receivers, 1 transmitter, 2 frequencies (2.6 GHz, 28.0 GHz)
3 Generating channel builder objects - 4 builders, 200 channel segments
4 Generating LSF parameters
5 Generating SSF parameters
6 SSF Corr. [oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo] 3 seconds
7 Preparing multi-frequency simulations - 8 builders
8 Channels [oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo] 9 seconds
9 Merging [oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo] 0 seconds
10 Formatting outout channels - 200 channel objects
11 Total runtime: 13 seconds
    
```

### 4.12 Ground reflection simulation

This tutorial shows how to include a deterministic ground reflection component into the channel. The effects are then demonstrated for different carrier frequencies (2 GHz, 28 GHz, and 60 GHz).

Simulation assumptions are in accordance with 3GPP 38.901 v14.1.0, Section 7.6.8, p.60 (Explicit ground reflection model). Some modifications are made as described in [Jaeckel, S.; Raschkowski, L.; Wu, S.; Thiele, L. & Keusgen, W.; "An Explicit Ground Reflection Model for mm-Wave Channels", Proc. IEEE WCNC Workshops '17, 2017]. For all ground reflection simulations, a random ground humidity is assumed, which changes the relative permittivity of the ground and, hence, the reflection coefficient will be different for each segment. All ground reflection properties are controlled by the scenario configuration files in the "config" folder of the channel model. The parameter "GR\_enabled" activates (1) or deactivates (0) the ground reflection component. The parameter "GR\_epsilon" can be used to fix the relative permittivity to a fixed value.

**Basic setup** Multiple frequencies are set in the simulation parameters by providing a vector of frequency sample points. A new layout is created with a 10 m high BS position. Three different model parametrizations are compared:

- 2-ray ground reflection model without any additional NLOS components
- 3GPP 38.901 Urban Microcell LOS
- Modified 3GPP 38.901 Urban Microcell LOS including a ground reflection

The MT is at 1.5 m height and moves along a 50 m long track starting 10 m away from the BS. The model is set to sample the channel every 10 cm (10 time per meter).

Since the 3GPP scenarios also have non-deterministic NLOS components, there needs to be a birth / death process of the scattering clusters along the MT trajectory. This is done by splitting the track into segments. "split\_segment" assumes an average segment length of 30 m with a standard deviation of 5 m.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
6 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
7 set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
8 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
9 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type
10 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 4.5]) % Change paper Size
11
12 s = qd_simulation_parameters;
13 s.center_frequency = [2e9 28e9 60e9]; % Set the three carrier frequencies
14
15 l = qd_layout( s ); % New QuaDRiGa layout
16 l.no_tx = 3; % One BS for each scenario
17 l.tx_position(3,:) = 10; % Set BS height for all scenarios
18
19 l.track = qd_track( 'linear' , 50, 0 ); % 50 m long track
20 l.track.initial_position = [10 ; 0 ; 1.5 ]; % Set start positions and MT height
21 l.track.interpolate_positions(10); % Set sampling rate to 10 samples per meter
22
23 % Each of the 3 BS gets assigned a different scenario:
24 l.track.scenario = { 'TwoRayGR' ; '3GPP_38.901_UMi_LOS' ; '3GPP_38.901_UMi_LOS_GR' };
25
26 l.track.split_segment; % Split into segments
27 c = l.get_channels; % Generate the channel coefficients
28 dist_2d = c(1,1,1).rx_position(1,:); % Extract the 2D distance

```

```

1 Starting channel generation using QuaDRiGa v2.0.0-648
2 1 receiver, 3 transmitters, 3 frequencies (2.0 GHz, 28.0 GHz, 60.0 GHz)
3 Generating channel builder objects - 9 builders, 27 channel segments
4 Generating LSF parameters
5 Generating SSF parameters
6 SSF Corr. [oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo] 1 seconds
7 Preparing multi-frequency simulations - 27 builders
8 Channels [oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo] 11 seconds
9 Merging [oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo] 3 seconds
10 Formatting outout channels - 9 channel objects
11 Total runtime: 15 seconds

```

**Plot path gain for 2-ray model** The first plot shows the results for the 2-ray ground reflection model. One can see the differences in path gain between the 3 frequency bands. The main difference, however, are the rapid power fluctuations due to the interference between the 2 paths. This is very different at mmWave frequencies compared to 2 GHz.

```


1 H = c(1,1,1).fr(100e6,64); % 2 GHz broadband channel (100 MHz)
2 P_2ray_2Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:)).^2,3)); % Average power
3
4 H = c(1,1,2).fr(100e6,64); % 28 GHz broadband channel (100 MHz)
5 P_2ray_28Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:)).^2,3)); % Average power
6

```

```

7 H = c(1,1,3).fr(100e6,64); % 60 GHz broadband channel (100 MHz)
8 P_2ray_60Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:).^2,3)); % Average power
9
10 P = 10*log10( [ P_2ray_2Ghz , P_2ray_28Ghz , P_2ray_60Ghz ] );
11
12 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
13 plot( dist_2d , P )
14 axis([0, max(dist_2d)+10, min(P(:))-5, max(P(:))+5 ])
15 xlabel('BS-MT 2D distance in [m]')
16 ylabel('Path gain in [dB]')
17 title('Path gain for 2-ray model')
18 legend('2 GHz','28 GHz','60 GHz')

```




**Plot path gain for 3GPP UMi LOS model** The second plot shows the results for the 3GPP UMi LOS model. The path loss is similar compared to the 2-ray model. A shadow-fading component induces slow changes in the average received power. By default, the shadow fading is fully correlated between the 3 frequencies. Small-scale-fading correlations are done according to 3GPP TR 38.901 V14.1.0, Section 7.6.5, pp 57. This can be changed by not using "l.get\_channels", but executing the channel generation steps manually in a different order (see the 3GPP TR 38.901 full calibration for more details). The NLOS components cause some fast fading which is averaged out by the broadband processing. No ground reflection is included. Hence, the fast fluctuations are absent.

```

1 H = c(1,2,1).fr(100e6,64); % 2 GHz broadband channel (100 MHz)
2 P_2ray_2Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:).^2,3)); % Average power
3
4 H = c(1,2,2).fr(100e6,64); % 28 GHz broadband channel (100 MHz)
5 P_2ray_28Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:).^2,3)); % Average power
6
7 H = c(1,2,3).fr(100e6,64); % 60 GHz broadband channel (100 MHz)
8 P_2ray_60Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:).^2,3)); % Average power
9
10 P = 10*log10( [ P_2ray_2Ghz , P_2ray_28Ghz , P_2ray_60Ghz ] );
11
12 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
13 plot( dist_2d , P )
14 axis([0, max(dist_2d)+10, min(P(:))-5, max(P(:))+5 ])
15 xlabel('BS-MT 2D distance in [m]')
16 ylabel('Path gain in [dB]')
17 title('Path gain for 3GPP UMi LOS')
18 legend('2 GHz','28 GHz','60 GHz')

```




**Plot path gain for 3GPP UMi LOS model** The last plot shows the modified 3GPP channel (see [1]), where the ground reflection is included. Hence, the typical fluctuations are now included.

```

1 H = c(1,3,1).fr(100e6,64);
2 P_2ray_2Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:).^2,3));
3
4 H = c(1,3,2).fr(100e6,64);
5 P_2ray_28Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:).^2,3));
6
7 H = c(1,3,3).fr(100e6,64);
8 P_2ray_60Ghz = squeeze(mean(abs(H(1,1,:,:).^2,3));
9
10 P = 10*log10( [ P_2ray_2Ghz , P_2ray_28Ghz , P_2ray_60Ghz ] );
11
12 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]);           % New figure
13 plot( dist_2d , P )
14 axis([0, max(dist_2d)+10, min(P(:))-5, max(P(:))+5 ])
15 xlabel('BS-MT 2D distance in [m]')
16 ylabel('Path gain in [dB]')
17 title('Path gain for 3GPP UMi LOS incl. Ground Reflection')
18 legend('2 GHz','28 GHz','60 GHz')

```



### 4.13 Spatial consistency

Version 2.0 of the QuaDRiGa channel model supports spatial consistency as specified by 3GPP 38.901 v14.0.0, Section 7.6.3, pp45. This tutorial demonstrates the properties of this feature and how it can be used. Spatial consistency can be seen in three aspects of wireless channels:

- The LOS / NLOS state of a link.
- The large-scale parameters, such as shadow-fading and delay spread
- The positions of the scattering clusters as a function of the mobile terminal (MT) position

Here, points 2 and 3 are covered. The large-scale parameter (point 2) are always spatially consistent. They change slowly when the terminal moves. For example, two MTs that are close together will have similar SFs, DSs and angular spreads. The rate at which the LSPs change is adjusted by the "lambda" parameters in the configuration file. For example: "DS\_lambda = 20" means that the delay spread of two terminal at 20 meters distance will be correlated with correlation coefficient of  $\exp(-1) = 0.36$ . Two terminals at the same positions will see the same DS (correlation coefficient is 1).

The small-scale fading (SSF) is governed by the position of the scattering clusters. Two closely spaced terminals will not only have a similar DS, they will also see the same scattering clusters. This will have an effect on the achievable data rate. QuaDRiGa implements a 3D correlated random process the correlates all random variables that are used to generate the scattering clusters. The decorrelation distance of this process (i.e. the distance where the correlation of the same variable for 2 users drops to 0.36) is controlled by the parameter "SC\_lambda" in the configuration files. A value of 0 disables the spatial consistency for SSF.

**Model setup and channel generation** First, a new layout is created. The center frequency is set to 2 GHz, the BS height is set to 10 m. By default, vertically polarized omni-directional antennas are used.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
6 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
7 set(0,'defaultTextFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
8 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode', 'auto') % Default Plot position
9 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType', '<custom>') % Default Paper Type
10 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize', [14.5 4.5]) % Change paper Size
11
12 l = qd_layout; % Create new QuaDRiGa layout
13 l.simpar.center_frequency = 2e9; % Set center frequency to 2 GHz
14 l.simpar.use_absolute_delays = 1; % Enables true LOS delay
15 l.simpar.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bars
16 l.tx_position = [ 0,0,10 ]'; % Set BS positions

```

Next, a new receiver trajectory is created. The track is 50 meters long and starts in the north-east of the BS.

```

1 l.track = qd_track( 'linear' , 50, pi/2 ); % 50 m long track going north
2 l.track.initial_position = [20 ; 30 ; 1.5 ]; % Set start position and MT height
3 l.track.interpolate_positions(10); % One channel sample every 10 cm
4 l.track.scenario = '3GPP_38.901_UMi_NLOS'; % Set propagation scenario

```

QuaDRiGa supports two different MT mobility options. By default, drifting is used. This keeps the scattering positions fixed for a short segment of the track. Along a segment, path delays and angles are updated when the terminal is moving. However, 3GPP 38.901 proposed a different mobility option (3GPP 38.901 v14.0.0, Section 7.6.3.2, Option B, pp47). This is implemented in QuaDRiGa as well. It is enabled by setting the number of segments on a track equal to the number of snapshots. Hence, a new channel realization is created for each position on the track. Mobility is then obtained by the spatial consistency procedure.

```

1 l.track.no_segments = l.track.no_snapshots; % Use spatial consistency for mobility

```

Now, a channel builder object is created. The scenario parameters can then be edited to study their effects on the results.

```

1 b = l.init_builder; % Initializes channel builder

```

3GPP specifies a cluster delay spread for the two strongest clusters (3GPP 38.901 v14.0.0, Table 7.5-5, pp37). When "PerClusterDS" in the configuration file is set to values  $> 0$ , the clusters are split into three sub-clusters with different delays. However, this is incompatible with spatial consistency because the strongest cluster changes over time. Therefore, QuaDRiGa applies the cluster delay spread to all clusters which avoids this problem. Here, the cluster delay spread is disabled avoid cluttering the results. You can find out what happens when you set to a different value.

```

1 b.scenpar.PerClusterDS = 0; % Disable per-cluster delay spread
2 b.scenpar.NumClusters = 5; % Only generate 5 clusters
3 b.scenpar.KF_mu = -3; % Set los power to 33 % of the total power
4 b.scenpar.KF_sigma = 0.5;
5 b.scenpar.SC_lambda = 5; % Set SSF decorrelation distance to 20 m
6
7 b.gen_ssf_parameters; % Generate small-scale-fading parameters
8
9 c = get_channels( b ); % Generate channel coefficients
10 c = merge( c, [], 0 ); % Combine output channels
11 c.individual_delays = 0; % Remove per-antenna delays
12
13 dl = c.delay.'; % Extract path delays from the channel
14 pow = squeeze( abs(c.coef).^2 ); % Calculate path powers from the channel
15
16 [len,dist] = l.track.get_length; % Store the length and distances from start point


```

**Path powers** The first plot shows the path powers along the receiver track. The path parameters (delays, angles, power) are generated as described in 3GPP 38.901 v14.0.0, Section 7.6.3.2, Option B, pp47). As you can see, path powers do not suddenly "jump", but they change relatively smoothly when the MT moves.

```

1 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
2 plot( dist,10*log10(pow(:,1)), '-b', 'Linewidth',2)
3 hold on; plot( dist,10*log10(pow(:,2:end)), '--'); hold off
4 axis( [ 0, len, 10*log10(min(pow(:)))-1, 10*log10(max(pow(:)))+1 ] )
5 grid on
6 title('Path powers'); xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('Path power [dB]');
7 legend('LOS path','NLOS paths')

```




**Delay spread** The second plot shows the path delays and the delay spread. As for the powers, delays change smoothly over time. NLOS delays can never be smaller than the LOS delay. In addition, the thick black line shows the DS at the input of the model and the red, dashed line shows the DS that is calculated from the channel coefficients. Both should be identical.

```

1 % Calculate DS from the channel coefficients
2 pow_normalized = pow ./ (sum(pow,2) * ones( 1,size(pow,2) ));
3 ds = sqrt( sum( pow_normalized .* dl.^2 , 2 ) - sum( pow_normalized .* dl , 2 ).^2 );
4
5 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
6 plot( dist,b.ds*1e6, '-k', 'Linewidth',2 )
7 hold on
8 plot( dist,ds*1e6, '-.r', 'Linewidth',3 )
9 plot( dist,dl(:,1)*1e6, '-b', 'Linewidth',2)
10 plot( dist,dl(:,2:end)*1e6, '--')
11 hold off; xlim([0,len]); grid on
12 title('Delay spread and delays'); xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('Delay [mus]')
13 legend('Requested DS','Actual DS','LOS delay','NLOS delays');

```




**Azimuth of Arrival** The third plot shows the Azimuth of Arrival (AoA) angles of the paths. As for the DS, the black line shows the angular spread (AS) at the model input and the red dashed line the AS at the output. Those two lines might be different. The arrival angles are distributed on a sphere and therefore, it is not possible to achieve arbitrary angular spreads. At some point the angles just wrap around the circle. Therefore, the maximum AS is limited to values around 80 degrees.

```

1 % Calculate AS from the channel coefficients
2 mean_angle = angle( sum( pow_normalized.*exp( 1j*b.AoA ) , 2 ) );
3 ang = b.AoA - mean_angle * ones( 1,b.NumClusters );
4 ang = angle( exp( 1j*ang ) );
5 as = sqrt( sum(pow_normalized.*ang.^2,2) - sum( pow_normalized.*ang,2).^2 ) * 180/pi;
6
7 % Unwrap the angles to illustrate spatial consistency
8 ang_unwrapped = unwrap(b.AoA,1)*180/pi;
9
10 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
11 plot( dist,b.asA','-k','Linewidth',2 )
12 hold on
13 plot( dist,as,'-r','Linewidth',3 )
14 plot( dist, ang_unwrapped(:,1) , 'Linewidth',2)
15 plot( dist, ang_unwrapped(:,2:end),'--')
16 plot( dist, zeros(b.no_rx_positions,1),'-k')
17 hold off; xlim([0,len]); grid on
18 title('Azimuth of Arrival'); xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('Angle [deg]')
19 legend('Requested AS','Actual AS','LOS angle', 'NLOS angles')

```



**Elevation of Arrival** Elevation angles are bound between -90 and +90 degrees. The angles also do not change rapidly.

```


1 % Calculate AS from the channel coefficients
2 mean_angle = angle( sum( pow_normalized.*exp( 1j*b.EoA ) , 2 ) );
3 ang = b.EoA - mean_angle * ones( 1,b.NumClusters );
4 ang = angle( exp( 1j*ang ) );

```

```

5 as = sqrt( sum(pow_normalized.*ang.^2,2) - sum( pow_normalized.*ang,2).^2 ) * 180/pi;
6
7 % Get angles in degrees
8 ang = b.EoA*180/pi;
9
10 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
11 plot( dist,b.esA','-k','Linewidth',2 )
12 hold on
13 plot( dist,as,'-r','Linewidth',3 )
14 plot( dist, ang(:,1) , 'Linewidth',2)
15 plot( dist, ang(:,2:end),'--')
16 plot( dist, zeros(b.no_rx_positions,1),'-k')
17 hold off; xlim([0,len]); grid on
18 title('Elevation of Arrival'); xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('Angle [deg]')
19 legend('Requested AS','Actual AS','LOS angle', 'NLOS angles')

```




**Azimuth of Departure** QuaDRiGa calculates the exact positions of the scattering clusters. However, this is not always possible. For example, when the path delay is very short and the departure and arrival angles have too large values, the cluster positions do not exist. In this case, QuaDRiGa uses a single-bounce model, where the departure angles depend on the arrival angles. In this case, the angles of some clusters might suddenly change. However, this happens only for spherical waves. You can deactivate the spherical waves by setting "l.simpar.use\_spherical\_waves = 0". In this case, no cluster positions are calculated.

```

1 % Calculate AS from the channel coefficients
2 mean_angle = angle( sum( pow_normalized.*exp( 1j*b.AoD ) , 2 ) );
3 ang = b.AoD - mean_angle * ones( 1,b.NumClusters );
4 ang = angle( exp( 1j*ang ) );
5 as = sqrt( sum(pow_normalized.*ang.^2,2) - sum( pow_normalized.*ang,2).^2 ) * 180/pi;
6
7 % Unwrap the angles to illustrate spatial consistency
8 ang_unwrapped = unwrap(b.AoD,1)*180/pi;
9
10 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
11 plot( dist,b.asD','-k','Linewidth',2 )
12 hold on
13 plot( dist,as,'-r','Linewidth',3 )
14 plot( dist, ang_unwrapped(:,1) , 'Linewidth',2)
15 plot( dist, ang_unwrapped(:,2:end),'--')
16 plot( dist, zeros(b.no_rx_positions,1),'-k')
17 hold off; xlim([0,len]); grid on
18 title('Azimuth of Departure'); xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('Angle [deg]')
19 legend('Requested AS','Actual AS','LOS angle', 'NLOS angles')


```



**Elevation of Departure** Elevation angles are bound between -90 and +90 degrees. The angles also do not change rapidly except for the sudden changes when the model uses single-bounce propagation.

```

1 % Calculate AS from the channel coefficients
2 mean_angle = angle( sum( pow_normalized.*exp( 1j*b.EoD ) , 2 ) );
3 ang = b.EoD - mean_angle * ones( 1,b.NumClusters );
4 ang = angle( exp( 1j*ang ) );
5 as = sqrt( sum(pow_normalized.*ang.^2,2) - sum( pow_normalized.*ang,2).^2 ) * 180/pi;
6
7 % Get angles in degrees
8 ang = b.EoD*180/pi;
9
10 figure('Position',[ 100 , 100 , 760 , 400]); % New figure
11 plot( dist,b.esD','-k','Linewidth',2 )
12 hold on
13 plot( dist,as,'-r','Linewidth',3 )
14 plot( dist, ang(:,1) ,'Linewidth',2)
15 plot( dist, ang(:,2:end),'--')
16 plot( dist, zeros(b.no_rx_positions,1),'-k')
17 hold off; xlim([0,len]); grid on
18 title('Elevation of Departure'); xlabel('Distance from start point [m]'); ylabel('Angle [deg]')
19 legend('Requested AS','Actual AS','LOS angle', 'NLOS angles')
    
```



**Video** The last plot shows an visualization of the cluster positions. The spatial consistency model ensures that path delays, angles and power change smoothly with time. However, due to this, all cluster appear to be moving through the environment. When angles and delays change rapidly, cluster positions change rapidly as well. Sometimes, the speed of the clusters exceed the speed of the MT by several order of magnitude. This violates the WSS conditions which state, that for short time intervals, the cluster positions stay fixed. Hence, a combination of drifting and spatial consistency is needed to achieve realistic channels. (You need to run the code in the loop manually)


```

1 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 7.3]) % Default Paper Size
    
```

```

2 b.visualize_clusters;
3 if 0
4     for n = 1 : b.no_rx_positions
5         b.visualize_clusters(n,[],0);
6         title(['Distance from start: ',num2str( dist(n),'%1.1f' ),' m'])
7         axis([-100 100 -50 150])
8         drawnow
9     end
10 end

```



## 5 Model calibration

QuaDRiGa implements all essential components of the 3GPP-3D channel model [8]. In order to qualify as a 3GPP-3D compatible implementation, and thus being eligible to evaluate 3GPP standardization proposals, the model needs to be calibrated. Individual implementations of the 3GPP contributors have to create a set of metrics which show that the model implementation fulfills the 3GPP requirements. This section summarizes the calibration steps and presents the results obtained from QuaDRiGa.

The 3GPP calibration consists of two phases, where the first phase tests the validity of the path-loss model and the elevation angle modeling. The second phase then tests several metrics for the SSF model. The simulation assumptions and results from different 3GPP partners are summarized in [48] and are listed in Table 20.

Table 20: Simulation assumptions for 3GPP-3D calibration

Parameter	Value	
Scenario	3D-UMa	3D-UMi
Layout	Hexagonal grid, 19 micro sites, 3 sectors per site	
ISD	500 m	200 m
BS antenna height	25 m	10 m
Min. BS-MT 2D distance	35 m	10 m
MT indoor fraction	80 %	
MT orientation	Random rotation around z-axis, $r_z \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 360^\circ)$	
MT height in meters	General equation: $h_{MT} = 3(n_{fl} - 1) + 1.5$ Indoor users: $n_{fl} \sim \mathcal{U}(1, N_{fl})$ where $N_{fl} \sim \mathcal{U}(4, 8)$ Outdoor users: $n_{fl} = 1$	
Carrier frequency	2 GHz	
System bandwidth	10 MHz, 50 Resource Blocks	
MT attachment	Strongest BS, based on path loss	
BS antenna (Phase 1)	Config 1: K=M=10, N=1, $0.5\lambda$ spacing, V-pol, $12^\circ$ tilt Config 2: K=M=1, N=1, V-pol	
MT antenna (Phase 1)	Config 1/2: Isotropic antenna, V-pol	
BS antenna (Phase 2)	Config 1: K=1, M=2, N=2, $0.5\lambda$ spacing, V-pol Config 2: K=M=10, N=2, X-pol ( $\pm 45^\circ$ ), $0.5\lambda$ spacing, $12^\circ$ tilt	
MT antenna (Phase 2)	Config 1: N=2, Isotropic antenna, V-pol Config 2: Isotropic antenna, X-pol ( $0^\circ/90^\circ$ )	

### 5.1 3GPP 36.873 Phase 1 Calibration

This section performs the 3GPP calibration as described in 3GPP TR 36.873 V12.5.0, Section 8.2, Page 39 for the phase 1 of the calibration exercise. It is shown how the model is set up to obtain the required results, how the output is processed and how the results compare with the 3GPP baseline. The purpose of the phase 1 calibration is to show the correct working of the path-loss models, the antenna model, the user placement in 3D coordinates.

**Antenna setup** The antenna model consists of a 2D planar array structure with M rows and N columns of patch elements. Each element has an azimuth and elevation FWHM of 65 degree. The elements can either be vertically polarized or cross-polarizes with plus/minus 45 degree polarization. In the latter, the number of antenna ports is doubled. Optionally, vertically stacked elements can be coupled using fixed complex-valued weights. In order to reduce computational complexity, effective antenna patterns are calculated in QuaDRiGa that include the coupling and downtilt settings.

3GPP uses two antenna configurations for the phase 1 calibration. The first defines a high-gain panel antenna with 10 coupled elements in elevation and 12 degree electric down-tilt. Note: The 102 degree electrical tilt in Table 8.2-1 refer to spheric coordinates, whereas QuaDRiGa uses geographic coordinates. The second antenna is a patch antenna. Both are defined in 3GPP TR 36.873, Section 7.1, Page 17 and implemented "qd\_arrayant.generate".

```

1 clear all
2 close all
3
4 s = qd_simulation_parameters;           % Set general simulation parameters
5 s.center_frequency = 2e9;             % 2 GHz center frequency
6
7 % Antenna configuration 1
8 % 10 elements in elevation, 1 element in azimuth, vertical pol., 12 deg downtilt, 0.5 lambda spacing
9 a1 = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-3d', 10, 1, s.center_frequency, 4, 12, 0.5 );
10 a1.element_position(1,:) = 0.5;      % Distance from pole
11 a1.name = 'K=M=10';                 % Antenna name
12
13 % Antenna configuration 2
14 % 1 element in elevation, 1 element in azimuth, vertical pol.
15 a2 = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-3d', 1, 1, s.center_frequency, 1, 0, 0.5 );
16 a2.element_position(1,:) = 0.5;     % Distance from pole
17 a2.name = 'K=M=1';                 % Antenna name

```

**QuaDRiGa Setup** Here, the channel model is configured. The simulation assumptions are given in Table 8.2-1 in 3GPP TR 36.873 V12.5.0. 3GPP specifies to perform simulations for 3D-UMa and 3D-UMi. The scenario parameters are given in Table 6.1, page 14. Combined with the two antenna configurations, there are four simulation setups. Hence, we define 4 QuaDRiGa layouts. All 3GPP scenarios define a hexagonal grid with 19 sites and three sectors per site. This is implemented in "qd\_layout.generate", using the "regular" layout.

```

1 no_rx = 2000;                          % Number of MTs (directly scales the simulation time)
2 create_curves = 1:4;                   % The number of curves to create
3
4 s.use_spherical_waves = 0;             % Disable spherical waves
5 s.use_geometric_polarization = 0;     % Disable QuaDRiGa polarization model and use 3GPP model
6
7 isd = [ 200, 200, 500, 500 ];         % ISD in each layout
8 no_go_dist = [ 10, 10, 35, 35 ];     % Min. UE-eNB 2D distance
9
10 l(1,1) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(1), a2); % 200 m ISD, K=M=1
11 l(1,1).simpar = s;                   % Set simulation parameters
12 l(1,1).tx_position(3,:) = 10;       % 10 m BS height
13 l(1,1).name = '3D-UMi (K=M=1)';
14
15 l(1,2) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(2), a1); % 200 m ISD, K=M=10
16 l(1,2).tx_position(3,:) = 10;       % 10 m BS height
17 l(1,2).simpar = s;                   % Set simulation parameters
18 l(1,2).name = '3D-UMi (K=M=10)';
19
20 l(1,3) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(3), a2); % 500 m ISD, K=M=1
21 l(1,3).tx_position(3,:) = 25;       % 25 m BS height
22 l(1,3).simpar = s;                   % Set simulation parameters
23 l(1,3).name = '3D-UMa (K=M=1)';
24
25 l(1,4) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(4), a1); % 500 m ISD, K=M=10
26 l(1,4).tx_position(3,:) = 25;       % 25 m BS height
27 l(1,4).simpar = s;                   % Set simulation parameters
28 l(1,4).name = '3D-UMa (K=M=10)';
29
30 % Dorp users in each layout
31 for il = create_curves
32     l(1,il).no_rx = no_rx;           % Number of users
33     l(1,il).randomize_rx_positions( 0.93*isd(il), 1.5, 1.5, 0 ); % Random positions in first ring
34
35     % Keep no-go distance
36     ind = find(abs( l(1,il).rx_position(1,:) + 1j*l(1,il).rx_position(2,:) ) < no_go_dist(il));
37     while ~isempty( ind )

```

```

38     l(1,il).randomize_rx_positions( 0.93*isd(il), 1.5, 1.5, 0, ind );
39     ind = find( abs(l(1,il).rx_position(1,:) + 1j*l(1,il).rx_position(2,:)) < no_go_dist(il));
40 end
41
42 % Set random height of the users
43 floor = randi(5,1,l(1,il).no_rx) + 3; % Number of floors in the building
44 for n = 1 : l(1,il).no_rx
45     floor( n ) = randi( floor( n ) ); % Floor level of the UE
46 end
47 l(1,il).rx_position(3,:) = 3*(floor-1) + 1.5; % Height in meters
48
49 % Set the scenario and assign LOS probabilities (80% of the users are indoor)
50 % "set_scenario" returns an indicator if the user is indoors (1) or outdoors (0)
51 switch il
52     case {1,2} % UMi
53         indoor_rx = l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_3D_UMi', [], [], 0.8);
54     case {3,4} % UMa
55         indoor_rx = l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_3D_UMa', [], [], 0.8);
56 end
57 l(1,il).rx_position(3,~indoor_rx) = 1.5; % Set outdoor-users to 1.5 m height
58
59 % Set user antenna
60 l(1,il).rx_array = qd_arrayant('omni'); % Omni-Antenna, vertically polarized
61 end

```

**Generate channels** Now, the required metrics are generated by the model. The MT is always connected to the strongest serving BS. The coupling loss describes the received power to this BS relative to 0 dBm transmit power. Only the LOS path is considered. Other metrics are the geometry factor (GF) and the zenith angle at the BS.

```


1 tic
2 pg_eff = zeros( no_rx, 19*3, 4 ); % Effective PG for each MT and BS
3 zod = zeros( no_rx*19, 4 ); % Zenith angles for each MT and BS site
4 for il = create_curves
5     coeff = zeros( no_rx * 19 , 3 ); % Raw channel coefficients
6     name = cell( no_rx * 19, 1 ); % Name in the form "Tx-Rx"
7
8     b = l(1,il).init_builder; % Initialize channel builder objects
9     gen_lsf_parameters( b ); % Generate shadow fading
10    cf = get_los_channels( b ); % Get the LOS channel coefficients only
11
12    cnt = 1; % Counter
13    sic = size(b);
14    for i_cb = 1 : numel(b)
15        [ i1,i2 ] = qf.qind2sub( sic, i_cb );
16        tx_name = ['Tx',num2str(i2,'%02d')]; % Tx name, e.g. "Tx01"
17
18        if b(i1,i2).no_rx_positions > 1
19            tmp = b(i1,i2).get_angles; % 3D angles between BS and MT
20            zod( cnt : cnt+b(i1,i2).no_rx_positions-1,il) = 90-tmp(3,:);
21        end
22
23        for i_mt = 1 : b(i1,i2).no_rx_positions
24            rx_name = b( i1,i2 ).rx_track(1,i_mt).name; % Rx name, e.g. "Rx0001"
25            name{ cnt } = [tx_name, '_', rx_name]; % Link name, e.g. "Tx01-Rx0001"
26            coeff(cnt,:) = cf(i1,i2).coeff(1, :, 1, i_mt); % Channel coefficients
27            cnt = cnt + 1; % Increase counter
28        end
29    end
30
31    [~,ii] = sort( name ); % Get the correct order of the channels
32    zod(:,il) = zod(ii,il); % Sort ZODs by name
33
34    tmp = reshape( coeff(ii,:), no_rx, 19, 3 ); % Split the 3 sectors from each BS site
35    tmp = permute( tmp, [1,3,2] ); % Reorder the channels
36    pg_eff(:, :, il) = reshape( tmp, no_rx, [] );
37 end
38 pg_eff = abs( pg_eff ).^2; % Amplitude --> Power
39 zod = reshape( zod, no_rx, 19, 4 );
40 toc

```

```
1 Elapsed time is 40.496572 seconds.
```

**Coupling Loss** The coupling loss is defined as the path gain of a MT to its serving BS, i.e. the strongest BS seen by the MT. Here, the term BS refers to one sector of a 3-sector site. In the proposed layout, there are 19 sites, each consisting of three BSs. MTs were placed in the first ring of interferers, i.e. around the first site. The phase 1 calibration does not consider a SSF model, but includes the antenna patterns. Hence, the results shown in the following figure were obtained by running the simulations with only one path (the LOS path). The thick lines were obtained using the QuaDRiGa model, the thin dashed line are taken from 3GPP 36.873. They represent the median of all 3GPP calibration results. The QuaDRiGa results fit almost perfectly. The remaining differences are well within the tolerances visible in the individual result curves.

```
1  calib_3GPP_ref_data; % Load reference data
2
3  legend_names = { 1(1,1).name, 1(1,2).name, 1(1,3).name, 1(1,4).name }; % Legend entries
4  line_col = {'b','r','k','m'}; % Color of the lines
5
6  set(0,'defaultFontSize',18) % Default Font Size
7  set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize',18) % Default Font Size
8  set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
9  set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
10 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
11 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type
12 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 6.6]) % Default Paper Size
13
14 % Calculate the coupling loss from the effective PG
15 coupling_loss = zeros( no_rx, 4 );
16 for il = create_curves
17     coupling_loss(:,il) = 10*log10(max( pg_eff(:, :, il), [], 2 ));
18 end
19
20 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
21 axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
22
23 xm = -150; wx = 100; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
24 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
25 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
26 for il = create_curves
27     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf(coupling_loss(:,il),bins),'- ',line_col{il},'Linewidth',2);
28     plot( cl36873a(il,:), 0:5:100,['-- ',line_col{il},'Linewidth',1 )
29     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty,num2str(median(coupling_loss(:,il)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
30     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str(cl36873a(il,11)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
31 end
32
33 hold off; grid on; box on;
34 set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
35 xlabel('Coupling loss (dB)')
36 ylabel('CDF [%]')
37 legend(ln,legend_names( create_curves ),'Location', 'SouthEast')
38 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
```




**Geometry Factor** The GF is a lower bound for the actual SINR. It is defined as the power ratio of the serving BS and the sum power of all interfering BSs. The results in the following Figure agree well with the 3GPP calibrations curves.

```

1  % Calculate the GF
2  gf = zeros( no_rx, 4 );
3  for il = create_curves
4      gf(:,il) = 10*log10( max( pg_eff(:, :, il), [], 2 ) ./ ( sum( pg_eff(:, :, il), 2 ) - ...
5                          max( pg_eff(:, :, il), [], 2 ) ) );
6  end
7
8  figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
9  axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
10
11 xm = -10; wx = 40; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
12 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
13 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
14 for il = create_curves
15     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf(gf(:,il),bins),['- ',line_col{il}], 'Linewidth',2);
16     plot( gf36873a(il,:), 0:5:100,['-- ',line_col{il}], 'Linewidth',1 )
17     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty,num2str( median(gf(:,il)) ),'%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{il});
18     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str( gf36873a(il,11) ),'%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{il});
19 end
20
21 hold off; grid on; box on;
22 set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
23 xlabel('Geometry (dB)')
24 ylabel('CDF [%]')
25 legend(ln,legend_names( create_curves ),'Location', 'SouthEast')
26 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );

```




**Evaluation: Zenith of Departure Angle** The ZoD is calculated from the LOS path between the serving BS and the MT position. The values in the following Figure prove that the model is a 3D model. Users are placed on different floors and the serving BS is determined based on the power of the LOS path. Note that this power value changes when different antenna patterns are used. Hence, the assignment of MTs to BSs is different, depending on which antennas are used at the BS, which explains why the curves differ from each other. The results obtained from QuaDRiGa agree almost perfectly with the 3GP calibration curves (tolerances are within 0.1 degree).

```

1  % Determine the serving site
2  zod_serving = zeros( no_rx, 4 );
3  for il = create_curves
4      [~,serving] = max(pg_eff(:,:,il),[],2);
5      serving = ceil( serving / 3 - 0.1 );
6      for ir = 1 : no_rx
7          zod_serving( ir,il ) = zod( ir, serving( ir ),il );
8      end
9  end
10
11 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
12 axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
13
14 xm = 70; wx = 40; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
15 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP ');
16 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
17 for il = create_curves
18     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*qf.acdf( zod_serving(:,il),bins),['- ',line_col{il}], 'Linewidth',2);
19     plot( zod36873a(il,:), 0:5:100,['-- ',line_col{il}], 'Linewidth',1 )
20     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty,num2str( median( zod_serving(:,il) ), '%1.1f' ), 'Color',line_col{il});
21     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str( zod36873a(il,11), '%1.1f' ), 'Color',line_col{il});
22 end
23
24 hold off; grid on; box on;
25 set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
26 xlabel('LOS ZOD (deg)')
27 ylabel('CDF [%]')
28 legend(ln,legend_names( create_curves ),'Location','SouthEast')
29 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );

```



### 5.2 3GPP 36.873 Phase 2 Calibration

This section performs the 3GPP calibration as described in 3GPP TR 36.873 V12.5.0, Section 8.2, Page 42 for the phase 2 of the calibration exercise. It is shown how the model is set up to obtain the required results, how the output is processed and how the results compare with the 3GPP baseline.

**Antenna setup** 3GPP uses two antenna configurations for the phase 2 calibration. The first BS array antenna is an 2x2 array of vertically polarized patch antennas (0.5 lambda spacing). The second antenna is a high-gain panel antenna with 10 coupled elements in elevation and two plus/minus 45 degree polarized columns. The electric downtilt is set to 12 degree. Note: The 102 degree electrical tilt in Table 8.2-2 refer to spheric coordinates, whereas QuaDRiGa uses geographic coordinates. The first MS antenna is an two-element ULA with vertical polarization. The second antenna is an 0/90 degree cross-polarized array antenna.

```

1 clear all
2 close all
3
4 s = qd_simulation_parameters;           % Set general simulation parameters
5 s.center_frequency = 2e9;              % 2 GHz center frequency
6
7 % BS antenna configuration 1
8 % 2 elements in elevation, 2 elements in azimuth, vertical pol., 0.5 lambda spacing
9 a_bs_1 = qd_arrayant('3gpp-3d', 2, 2, s.center_frequency, 1, 0, 0.5);
10 a_bs_1.element_position(1,:) = 0.5;    % Distance from pole
11 a_bs_1.name = 'K=1, M=2';             % Antenna name
12
13 % BS antenna configuration 2
14 % 10 elements in elevation, 2 element in azimuth, vertical pol., 12 deg downtilt, 0.5 lambda spacing
15 a_bs_2 = qd_arrayant('3gpp-3d', 10, 2, s.center_frequency, 6, 12, 0.5);
16 a_bs_2.element_position(1,:) = 0.5;    % Distance from pole
17 a_bs_2.name = 'K=M=10';              % Antenna name
18
19 % MT antenna configuration 1
20 % 1 element in elevation, 2 elements in azimuth, vertical pol., 0.5 lambda spacing
21 a_mt_1 = qd_arrayant('omni');
22 a_mt_1.copy_element(1,2);
23 a_mt_1.element_position(2,:) = [ -s.wavelength/2 , s.wavelength/2 ]*0.5;
24
25 % MT antenna configuration 2
26 % 1 element in elevation, 2 elements in azimuth, X-pol. 0/90, 0.5 lambda spacing
27 a_mt_2 = qd_arrayant('omni');

```

```

28 a_mt_2.copy_element(1,2);
29 a_mt_2.Fa(:,:,2) = 0;
30 a_mt_2.Fb(:,:,2) = 1;

```

**QuaDRiGa Setup** Here, the channel model is configured. The simulation assumptions are given in Table 8.2-2 in 3GPP TR 36.873 V12.5.0. 3GPP specifies to perform simulations for 3D-UMa and 3D-UMi. The scenario parameters are given in Table 6.1, page 14. Combined with the two antenna configurations, there are four simulation setups. Hence, we define four QuaDRiGa layouts. All 3GPP scenarios define a hexagonal grid with 19 sites and three sectors per site. This is implemented in "qd\_layout.generate", using the "regular" layout.

```

1 no_rx = 2000; % Number of MTs (directly scales the simulation time)
2 create_curves = 1:4; % The number of curves to create
3
4 s.use_spherical_waves = 0; % Disable spherical waves
5 s.use_geometric_polarization = 0; % Disable QuaDRiGa polarization model and use 3GPP model
6 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Enable / disable status display
7
8 isd = [ 200, 200, 500, 500 ]; % ISD in each layout
9 no_go_dist = [ 10, 10, 35, 35 ]; % Min. UE-eNB 2D distance
10
11 l(1,1) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(1), a_bs_1); % 200 m ISD, K=M=1
12 l(1,1).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
13 l(1,1).tx_position(3,:) = 10; % 10 m BS height
14 l(1,1).name = '3D-UMi (K=1,M=2)';
15
16 l(1,2) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(2), a_bs_2); % 200 m ISD, K=M=10
17 l(1,2).tx_position(3,:) = 10; % 10 m BS height
18 l(1,2).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
19 l(1,2).name = '3D-UMi (K=M=10)';
20
21 l(1,3) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(3), a_bs_1); % 500 m ISD, K=M=1
22 l(1,3).tx_position(3,:) = 25; % 25 m BS height
23 l(1,3).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
24 l(1,3).name = '3D-UMa (K=1,M=2)';
25
26 l(1,4) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(4), a_bs_2); % 500 m ISD, K=M=10
27 l(1,4).tx_position(3,:) = 25; % 25 m BS height
28 l(1,4).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
29 l(1,4).name = '3D-UMa (K=M=10)';
30
31 % Dorp users in each layout
32 for il = create_curves
33     l(1,il).no_rx = no_rx; % Number of users
34     l(1,il).randomize_rx_positions( 0.93*isd(il),1.5,1.5,0 ); % Random positions in first ring
35
36     % Keep no-go distance
37     ind = find(abs( l(1,il).rx_position(1,:) + 1j*l(1,il).rx_position(2,:) ) < no_go_dist(il));
38     while ~isempty( ind )
39         l(1,il).randomize_rx_positions( 0.93*isd(il), 1.5, 1.5, 0, ind );
40         ind = find( abs(l(1,il).rx_position(1,:) + 1j*l(1,il).rx_position(2,:) ) < no_go_dist(il));
41     end
42
43     % Set random height of the users
44     floor = randi(5,1,l(1,il).no_rx) + 3; % Number of floors in the building
45     for n = 1 : l(1,il).no_rx
46         floor( n ) = randi( floor( n ) ); % Floor level of the UE
47     end
48     l(1,il).rx_position(3,:) = 3*(floor-1) + 1.5; % Height in meters
49
50     % Set the scenario and assign LOS probabilities (80% of the users are indoor)
51     % "set_scenario" returns an indicator if the user is indoors (1) or outdoors (0)
52     switch il
53         case {1,2} % UMi
54             indoor_rx = l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_3D_UMi', [], [], 0.8);
55
56         case {3,4} % UMa
57             indoor_rx = l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_3D_UMa', [], [], 0.8);
58     end
59     l(1,il).rx_position(3,~indoor_rx) = 1.5; % Set outdoor-users to 1.5 m height

```

```

60
61     switch il                                     % Set user antenna
62         case {1,3} % ULA
63             l(1,il).rx_array = a_mt_1;
64         case {2,4} % X-POL
65             l(1,il).rx_array = a_mt_2;
66     end
67 end

```

**Generate channels** Channels are now generated using the default QuaDRiGa method (phase 1 only used the LOS path). This will take quite some time.

```

1 tic                                     % Time the simulations
2 clear c
3 for il = create_curves
4     c1 = l(1,il).get_channels;             % Generate channels
5     nEl = l(1,il).tx_array(1,1).no_elements / 3; % Number of elements per sector
6     nEl = { 1:nEl , nEl+1:2*nEl , 2*nEl+1:3*nEl }; % Element indices per sector
7     c(:, :, il) = split_tx( c1, nEl );    % Split channels from each sector
8 end
9 toc

```

```

1 Elapsed time is 1316.831289 seconds.

```

**Coupling Loss** In the second phase of the calibration, the SSF model is enabled. Hence, all NLOS paths are included in the evaluations. For this reason, the coupling loss changes compared to phase 1. Multiple paths are now differently weighted by the antenna pattern, depending on the departure angles at the BS. The path gain is calculated by averaging the power of all sublinks of the MIMO channel matrix. As for phase 1, the coupling loss is the path gain of the serving BS. MTs are assigned to BSs based on the maximum path gain value.

```


1 calib_3GPP_ref_data;                     % Load reference data
2
3 legend_names = { l(1,1).name, l(1,2).name, l(1,3).name, l(1,4).name }; % Legend entries
4 line_col = {'b','r','k','m'};           % Color of the lines
5
6 set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18)             % Default Font Size
7 set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18)        % Default Font Size
8 set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times')    % Default Font Type
9 set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times')    % Default Font Type
10 set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
11 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type
12 set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 6.6]) % Default Paper Size
13
14 pg_eff = zeros( no_rx, 19*3, 4 );       % Calculate the effective path gain from the channels
15 for il = create_curves
16     % Get the number of MIMO sub-channels in the channel matrix
17     no_mimo_links = l(1,il).tx_array(1,1).no_elements / 3 * l(1,il).rx_array(1,1).no_elements;
18     for ir = 1 : no_rx                   % Extract effective PG vor each BS-MT link
19         for it = 1 : 19*3
20             pg_eff( ir,it,il ) = sum( abs(c(ir,it,il).coeff(:)).^2 )/no_mimo_links;
21         end
22     end
23 end
24
25 coupling_loss = zeros( no_rx, 4 );       % Calculate the coupling loss from the effective PG
26 for il = create_curves
27     coupling_loss(:,il) = 10*log10(max( pg_eff(:, :, il), [], 2 ));
28 end
29
30 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
31 axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
32
33 xm = -150; wx = 100; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
34 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
35 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;

```

```

36 for il = create_curves
37     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf(coupling_loss(:,il),bins),['-','line_col{il}'],'Linewidth',2);
38     plot( cl36873b(il,:), 0:5:100,['--','line_col{il}'],'Linewidth',1 )
39     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty,num2str(median(coupling_loss(:,il)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
40     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str(cl36873b(il,1)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
41 end
42
43 hold off; grid on; box on;
44 set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
45 xlabel('Coupling loss (dB)')
46 ylabel('CDF [%]')
47 legend(ln,legend_names( create_curves ),'Location', 'SouthEast')
48 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.','qd_simulation_parameters.version'] );

```



**Wideband SINR** The wideband SINR is essentially the same as the GF. However, the 3GPP model uses the RSRP values for the calculation of this metric. The calculation method is described in 3GPP TR 36.873 V12.5.0 in Section 8.1 on Page 38. Essentially, the RSRP values describe the average received power (over all antenna elements at the receiver) for each transmit antenna port. Hence, in the phase 2 calibration, there are 4 RSRP values, one for each transmit antenna. The wideband SINR is the GF calculated from the first RSRP value, i.e. the average power for the first transmit antenna port.

```


1 % Calculate the RSRP value from the first transmit antenna
2 rsrp_p0 = zeros( no_rx, 19*3, 4 );
3 for il = create_curves
4     for ir = 1 : no_rx
5         for it = 1 : 19*3
6             tmp = c(ir,it,il).coeff(:,1,:); % Coefficients from first Tx antenna
7             rsrp_p0( ir,it,il ) = sum( abs( tmp(:) ).^2 ) / 2; % Divide by 2 Rx antennas
8         end
9     end
10 end
11
12 % Calculate wideband SINR
13 sinr = zeros( no_rx, 4 );
14 for il = create_curves
15     sinr(:,il) = 10*log10( max( rsrp_p0(:, :, il), [], 2 ) ./ ...
16         ( sum( rsrp_p0(:, :, il), 2 ) - max( rsrp_p0(:, :, il), [], 2 ) ) );
17 end
18
19 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
20 axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
21
22 xm = -10; wx = 40; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;

```

```

23 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
24 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
25 for il = create_curves
26     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf( sinr(:,il),bins),['- ',line_col{il}], 'Linewidth',2);
27     plot( sinr36873b(il,:), 0:5:100,['-- ',line_col{il}], 'Linewidth',1 )
28     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty,num2str(median(sinr(:,il)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
29     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str(sinr36873b(il,11),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
30 end
31
32 hold off; grid on; box on;
33 set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
34 xlabel('Wideband SINR (dB)')
35 ylabel('CDF [%]')
36 legend(ln,legend_names( create_curves ),'Location','SouthEast')
37 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );

```



**Zenith of Departure Spread** The zenith of departure spread is calculated without the influence of the antenna patterns. Only the raw value before weighting the path powers with the antenna gain is used. This is not immediately clear from 3GPP TR 36.873, because the calculation method is not specified. However, a high gain pattern, such as used for the K=M=10 cases, would significantly decrease the angular spread compared to the low-gain patterns (K=1, M=2) since many paths get less power due to the weighting with the antenna pattern. Hence, we conclude that the angular spreads are calculated without influence of the antenna patterns. Unfortunately, 3GPP also does not define how the angular spread is calculated. Here, we extract the angles and the path powers from the QuaDRiGa SSF model and calculate the RMS angular spread as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\phi} &= \arg \left( \sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot \exp(j\phi_l) \right) \\
 \phi_l^{[*]} &= (\phi_l - \bar{\phi} + \pi \pmod{2\pi}) - \pi \\
 \sigma_\phi &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{P} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot (\phi_l^{[*]})^2 - \left( \frac{1}{P} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^L P_l \cdot \phi_l^{[*]} \right)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi_i$  is the raw departure or arrival angle of a path obtained from the model,  $\bar{\phi}$  is the mean angle of all paths belonging to a CIR, and  $\phi_i^{[*]}$  is the angle where the mean angle is equal to 0 degree.  $P_i$  is the power of a path,  $P$  is the total power in the CIR, and  $L$  is the number of paths.

To gain some information about the expected values, we can use the formulas in 3GPP TR 36.873, page 37. Most of the users are in NLOS conditions and 80 percent of them are situated indoors. Simulation results show that the average distance between the MT and the serving BS is 0.65 times the ISD. Also, the average height for the indoor users is 9 m. With those values, the expected median ZSD for this case are:

$$\mu_{ZSD}(\text{UMa}, \text{NLOS}, \text{O2I}) = 10^{-2.1(d_{2D}/1000)-0.01(h_{MT}-1.5)+0.9} \approx 1.4^\circ$$


$$\mu_{ZSD}(\text{UMi}, \text{NLOS}, \text{O2I}) = 10^{-2.1(d_{2D}/1000)+0.01 \cdot \max(h_{MT}-h_{BS}, 0)+0.9} \approx 4.4^\circ$$

Results in the figure show that the median ZOD values for the 3GPP calibration are around 4 degree for UMi and 2 degree for UMa. However, QuaDRiGa produces smaller values of 3 degree for UMi and 1.7 degree for UMa.

```

1  zsd = zeros( no_rx , 4 );
2  for il = create_curves
3      for ir = 1 : no_rx
4          [~,it] = max(pg_eff(ir, :, il), [], 2);           % Determine the serving BS
5          eod = c(ir, it, il).par.EoD_cb * pi/180;         % EoD angle in [rad]
6          pow = c(ir, it, il).par.pow_cb;                 % Normalized power w/o antenna
7          zsd(ir, il) = qf.calc_angular_spreads( eod, pow ); % ZSD = ESD in [rad]
8      end
9  end
10 zsd = zsd * 180 / pi;                                   % Convert to [deg]
11
12 figure('Position', [ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
13 axes('position', [0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
14
15 xm = 0; wx = 50; tx = 0.51; ty = 77;
16 text( tx*wx+xm, ty, 'QD.' ); text( tx*wx+xm, ty-4, '3GP' );
17 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
18 for il = create_curves
19     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*qf.acdf(zsd(:, il), bins), ['-', line_col{il}], 'Linewidth', 2);
20     plot( zsb36873b(il, :), 0:5:100, ['--', line_col{il}], 'Linewidth', 1 );
21     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm, ty, num2str(median(zsd(:, il))), '%1.1f'), 'Color', line_col{il});
22     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm, ty-4, num2str(zsb36873b(il, 11)), '%1.1f'), 'Color', line_col{il});
23 end
24
25 hold off; grid on; box on;
26 set(gca, 'YTick', 0:10:100); set(gca, 'XTick', xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
27 xlabel('ZSD (deg)')
28 ylabel('CDF [%]')
29 legend(ln, legend_names( create_curves ), 'Location', 'SouthEast')
30 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.', qd_simulation_parameters.version] );

```




**Zenith of Arrival Spread** The ZSA is calculated in the same way as the ZSD. It is notable here, that the for all O2I scenarios, identical values were proposed for the ZSA in 3GPP TR 36.873. The median value is given as 10.2 degree. Since 80 percent of the MTs are indoors, the median value should be around 10.2 for all scenarios and antenna configurations. Surprisingly, the results show differences in the median ZSA, depending on the antenna and scenario settings for both, the 3GPP-3D reference curves and the QuaDRiGa results. The reason for this is currently subject to speculation. As for the ZSD, QuaDRiGa tends to predict slightly lower median values compared to the 3GPP-3D reference.

```

1 zsa = zeros( no_rx, 4 );
2 for il = create_curves
3     for ir = 1 : no_rx
4         [~,it] = max(pg_eff(ir,:,il),[],2); % Determine the serving BS
5         eod = c(ir,it,il).par.EoA_cb *pi/180; % EoD angle in [rad]
6         pow = c(ir,it,il).par.pow_cb; % Normalized power w/o antenna
7         zsa(ir,il) = qf.calc_angular_spreads( eod,pow ); % ZSD = ESD in [rad]
8     end
9 end
10 zsa = zsa * 180 / pi; % Convert to [deg]
11
12 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
13 axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
14
15 xm = 0; wx = 50; tx = 0.51; ty = 77;
16 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
17 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
18 for il = create_curves
19     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*qf.acdf(zsa(:,il),bins),['-',line_col{il}], 'Linewidth',2);
20     plot( zsa36873b(il,:), 0:5:100,['--',line_col{il}], 'Linewidth',1 )
21     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty,num2str(median(zsa(:,il))),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
22     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str(zsa36873b(il,11)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
23 end
24
25 hold off; grid on; box on;
26 set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
27 xlabel('ZSA (deg)')
28 ylabel('CDF [%]')
29 legend(ln,legend_names( create_curves ),'Location','SouthEast')
30 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );

```



**Largest and smallest singular values** The singular values of a MIMO channel matrix describe how many parallel spatial data streams can be transmitted to one user and what the individual capacity of each streams is. The simulation settings propose two settings: One with four vertically polarized antennas at the BS and two vertically polarized antennas at the receiver (configuration 1), and one with two cross-polarized high-gain an antennas at the BS and an ideal cross-polarized array antenna at the receiver (configuration 2). Both configurations result in a 2x4 MIMO channel. Hence, the channel has two singular values and supports at most two streams. The 3GPP-3D report does not mention, how the singular values are calculated from the channel matrix. It was only discussed internally. The method is as follows:

- The results are reported for the channel matrix of the serving BS. The serving BS is determined at the MT by the highest received power of all BS in the layout.
- The calculations are done in the frequency domain. The bandwidth is set to 10 MHz, which is further split into 50 resource blocks (RBs) of 200 kHz bandwidth, each. Each RB can further be divided into sub-carriers. However, for the QuaDRiGa results, we only used one subcarrier per RB.
- The singular values are reported for channels without path-gain, but with antenna patterns included. Hence, one needs to extract the path-gain at the MT position from the channel model and % normalize the channel matrix accordingly, i.e.

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\mathbf{H}^{[raw]}}{\sqrt{10^{0.1 \cdot PG_{dB}}}}$$

- The “singular values” are calculated for each RB by an Eigen-value decomposition of the receive covariance matrix as

$$s_{1,2} = \frac{1}{n_{RB}} \cdot \text{eig} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_{RB}} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{H}_n^H \right)$$

for one single carrier, the relationship between the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix and the singular values of the channel matrix is given by

$$s_{1,2} = \text{eig} (\mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{H}_n^H) = \{\text{svd} (\mathbf{H})\}^2$$

- Results are presented in logarithmic scale, i.e. as  $10 \cdot \log_{10}(s_{1,2})$ .

```


1 sv = zeros( 2,50,no_rx,4 );
2 for il = create_curves
3     for ir = 1 : no_rx

```

```

4     [~,it] = max(pg_eff(ir, :, il), [], 2);           % Determine the serving BS
5
6     % Frequency-Domain channel matrix @ 50 RBs, 10 MHz
7     H = c(ir,it,il).fr( 10e6, 50 );
8
9     % Get the PG without antenna pattern. This is stored in c.par.pg_parset.
10    pg = c(ir,it,il).par.pg_parset;                % in [dB]
11    H = H ./ sqrt(10.^(0.1*pg));                    % Normalize channel matrix
12
13    for m = 1:size(H,3)
14        sv(:,m,ir,il) = svd(H(:, :, m)).^2;
15    end % NOTE: eig( H(:, :, m)*H(:, :, m)' ) == svd(H(:, :, m)).^2
16 end
17 end
18
19 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
20 axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
21
22 xm = -5; wx = 40; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
23 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
24 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
25 for il = create_curves
26     sv_max = 10*log10( reshape(sv(1, :, :, il), [], 1) );
27     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*mf.acdf(sv_max,bins), ['-', line_col{il}], 'Linewidth', 2);
28     plot( sv1_36873b(il, :), 0:5:100, ['--', line_col{il}], 'Linewidth', 1 )
29     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm, ty, num2str(median(sv_max), '%1.1f'), 'Color', line_col{il});
30     text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm, ty-4, num2str(sv1_36873b(il, 11), '%1.1f'), 'Color', line_col{il});
31 end
32
33 hold off; grid on; box on;
34 set(gca, 'YTick', 0:10:100); set(gca, 'XTick', xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
35 xlabel('Largest singular value (10log10)')
36 ylabel('CDF [%]')
37 legend(ln, legend_names( create_curves ), 'Location', 'SouthEast')
38 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.', qd_simulation_parameters.version] );

```




The generated figure shows the distribution of the largest singular value. For the results with co-polar antennas (the blue and black curve), there is an almost perfect match between QuaDRiGa and the 3GPP calibration curves. The results for the cross-polar antennas (red and magenta line) show some differences. However, the results from individual partners in R1-143469-2014 also show a significant spread in this case. Median results for the UMi scenario (red curve) ranged from 9 to 15 dB. QuaDRiGa predicts 10.6 dB, which is still well within the reported range.

**Smallest singular value** The results for the smallest singular value are shown in the following figure. Here, QuaDRiGa performs very close to the median results reported in R1-143469-2014.

```

1 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
2 axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
3
4 xm = -20; wx = 40; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
5 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
6 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
7 for il = create_curves
8     sv_min = 10*log10( reshape(sv(2,:,: ,il),[],1) );
9     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf(sv_min,bins),['-','line_col{il}'],'Linewidth',2);
10    plot( sv2_36873b(il,:), 0:5:100,['--','line_col{il}'],'Linewidth',1 )
11    text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty,num2str(median(sv_min),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
12    text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str(sv2_36873b(il,11),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
13 end
14
15 hold off; grid on; box on;
16 set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
17 xlabel('Smallest singular value (10log10)')
18 ylabel('CDF [%]')
19 legend(ln,legend_names( create_curves ),'Location','SouthEast')
20 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );

```



**Ratio of singular values** Probably a more important measure than the singular values themselves is the ratio between the singular values, which is calculated as

$$SR = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \left( \frac{s_1}{s_2} \right)$$

This measure is closely linked to the condition number of the channel matrix  $C = \sqrt{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}$ . The larger this number is, the more difficult it is to invert the matrix  $\mathbf{H}$ . However, inverting this matrix is required in order to separate the two data streams at the receiver.

```


1 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 950 , 600]);
2 axes('position',[0.09 0.12 0.88 0.86]); hold on;
3
4 xm = 0; wx = 40; tx = 0.51; ty = 37;
5 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
6 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.01:1.1)*wx+xm;
7 for il = create_curves
8     sv_rat = 10*log10( reshape( sv(1,:,: ,il) ./ sv(2,:,: ,il) ,[],1) );

```

```

9     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf(sv_rat,bins),['-','line_col{il}'],'Linewidth',2);
10    plot( svR_36873b(il,:), 0:5:100,['--','line_col{il}'],'Linewidth',1 )
11    text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty,num2str(median(sv_rat),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
12    text((tx+0.1*il)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str(svR_36873b(il,11),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{il});
13 end
14
15 hold off; grid on; box on;
16 set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
17 xlabel('Ratio of singular values (10log10)')
18 ylabel('CDF [%]')
19 legend(ln,legend_names( create_curves ),'Location', 'SouthEast')
20 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.','qd_simulation_parameters.version'] );

```



As can be seen, the ratio is much higher for the co-polar antenna configuration (blue and black curve). For cross-polar channels, the ratio is about one order of magnitude lower, since an additional degree of freedom is provided by the second polarization. Results from QuaDRiGa generally agree well. However, there is one exception for the 3D-UMa cross-polar case, where QuaDRiGa predicts a SV-ratio of 6.1 dB. The lowest reported value in R1-143469-2014 is 6.3 dB.

### 5.3 3GPP 38.901 Large Scale Calibration

This section performs the 3GPP calibration as described in 3GPP TR 38.901 V14.1.0, Section 7.8.1, Page 74 for the large scale calibration. It is shown how the model is set up to obtain the required results, how the output is processed and how the results compare with the 3GPP baseline. The purpose of this calibration is to show the correct working of the path-loss models, the antenna model, the user placement in 3D coordinates.

**Antenna setup** 3GPP uses a high-gain panel antenna with 10 coupled elements in elevation and 12 degree electric down-tilt for UMa and UMi scenarios. Indoor scenarios use 20 degree downtilt. Note: The 102 or 110 degree electrical tilt in Table 7.8-1 refer to spheric coordinates, whereas QuaDRiGa uses geographic coordinates.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 % Antenna configuration 1 (UMa and UMi)

```

```

5 % 10 elements in elevation, 1 element in azimuth, vertical pol., 12 deg downtilt, 0.5 lambda spacing
6 a1 = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-3d', 10, 1, [], 4, 12, 0.5 );
7 a1.element_position(1,:) = 0.5; % Distance from pole in [m]
8
9 % Antenna configuration 1 (Indoor)
10 % 10 elements in elevation, 1 element in azimuth, vertical pol., 20 deg downtilt, 0.5 lambda spacing
11 a2 = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-3d', 10, 1, [], 4, 20, 0.5 );
12 a2.element_position(1,:) = 0.2; % Distance from pole in [m]

```

**QuaDRiGa Setup** Here, the channel model is configured. The simulation assumptions are given in Table 7.8.1 in 3GPP TR 38.901 V14.1.0. 3GPP specifies to perform simulations for UMa, UMi and Indoor at 3 frequencies: 6 GHz, 30 GHz and 70 GHz. The scenario parameters for UMa and UMi are given in Table 7.2-1, page 20. Hence, we define three QuaDRiGa layouts. UMa and UMi use a hexagonal grid with 19 sites and three sectors per site. This is implemented in "qd\_layout.generate", using the "regular" layout. The indoor scenario layout is specified in Table 7.2-2.

```

1 no_rx = 2000; % Number of MTs (directly scales the simulation time)
2 select_scenario = 1:3; % Scenario: 1 = UMi, 2 = UMa, 3 = Indoor
3 select_frequency = 1:3; % Frequency: 1 = 6 GHz, 2 = 30 GHz, 4 = 70 GHz
4
5 s = qd_simulation_parameters; % Set general simulation parameters
6 s.center_frequency = [ 6e9, 30e9, 70e9 ]; % Set center frequencies for the simulations
7 s.center_frequency = s.center_frequency( select_frequency );
8 no_freq = numel( s.center_frequency );
9
10 s.use_spherical_waves = 0; % Disable spherical waves
11 s.use_geometric_polarization = 0; % Disable QuaDRiGa polarization model and use 3GPP model
12 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bar
13
14 isd = [ 200, 500, 20 ]; % ISD in each layout
15 no_go_dist = [ 10, 35, 0 ]; % Min. UE-eNB 2D distance
16
17 l(1,1) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(1), a1);
18 l(1,1).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
19 l(1,1).tx_position(3,:) = 10; % 10 m BS height
20 l(1,1).name = 'UMi';
21
22 l(1,2) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(2), a1);
23 l(1,2).tx_position(3,:) = 25; % 25 m BS height
24 l(1,2).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
25 l(1,2).name = 'UMa';
26
27 l(1,3) = qd_layout.generate( 'indoor', [2,6], isd(3), a2, 3, 30);
28 l(1,3).tx_position(3,:) = 3; % 3 m BS height
29 l(1,3).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
30 l(1,3).name = 'Indoor Open Office';
31
32 for il = select_scenario % Drop users in each layout
33 l(1,il).no_rx = no_rx; % Number of users
34 if il == 3
35 ind = true( 1,no_rx ); % Indoor placement
36 while any( ind )
37 l(1,il).randomize_rx_positions( sqrt(60^2+25^2), 1, 1, 0, ind );
38 ind = abs( l(1,il).rx_position(1,:) ) > 60 | abs( l(1,il).rx_position(2,:) ) > 25;
39 end
40 else
41 ind = true( 1,no_rx ); % UMa / UMi placement
42 while any( ind )
43 l(1,il).randomize_rx_positions( 0.93*isd(il), 1.5, 1.5, 0, ind );
44 ind = sqrt(l(1,il).rx_position(1,:).^2 + l(1,il).rx_position(2,:).^2) < no_go_dist(il);
45 end
46 floor = randi(5,1,l(1,il).no_rx) + 3; % Number of floors in the building
47 for n = 1 : l(1,il).no_rx
48 floor( n ) = randi( floor( n ) ); % Floor level of the UE
49 end
50 l(1,il).rx_position(3,:) = 3*(floor-1) + 1.5; % Height in meters
51 end
52 switch il % Set the scenario and assign LOS probabilities (80% of the users are indoor)
53 case 1
54 indoor_rx = l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_38.901_UMi', [], [], 0.8);

```

```

55         l(1,il).rx_position(3,~indoor_rx) = 1.5;           % Set outdoor-users to 1.5 m height
56     case 2
57         indoor_rx = l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_38.901_UMa', [], [], 0.8);
58         l(1,il).rx_position(3,~indoor_rx) = 1.5;           % Set outdoor-users to 1.5 m height
59     case 3
60         l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_38.901_Indoor_Open_Office');
61     end
62     l(1,il).rx_array = qd_arrayant('omni');                 % Omni-Antenna, vertically polarized
63 end

```

**Generate channels** The following code generates the channel coefficients. However, by default, QuaDRiGa always uses the full small-scale-fading model as well as spatial consistency. These two features are disabled by setting the number of paths to 1 and the decorrelation distance for the SSF (SC\_lambda) to 0 m.

```

1  tic
2  pg_eff = cell( 1,3 );
3  for il = select_scenario
4      pg_eff{il} = zeros( no_rx , l(1,il).no_tx*3 , no_freq );
5      b = l(1,il).init_builder;           % Generate builders
6
7      sic = size( b );
8      for ib = 1 : numel(b)
9          [ i1,i2 ] = qf.qind2sub( sic, ib );
10         scenpar = b(i1,i2).scenpar;      % Read scenario parameters
11         scenpar.NumClusters = 1;        % Only LOS path, disable SSF model
12         scenpar.SC_lambda = 0;         % Disable spatial consistency of SSF
13         b(i1,i2).scenpar_nocheck = scenpar; % Save parameters without check (faster)
14     end
15
16     b = split_multi_freq( b );          % Split the builders for multiple frequencies
17     gen_lsf_parameters( b );            % Generate LSF (SF)
18     gen_ssf_parameters( b );           % Generate paths (LOS path only)
19     cm = get_channels( b );             % Generate channels
20     cm = split_tx( cm, {1,2,3} );      % Split sectors
21     cm = qf.reshapeo( cm, [ no_rx, l(1,il).no_tx*3, no_freq ] );
22
23     for ir = 1 : no_rx                  % Extract effective PG vor each BS-MT link
24         for it = 1 : l(1,il).no_tx*3
25             for iF = 1 : no_freq
26                 pg_eff{il}( ir,it,iF ) = abs( cm( ir,it,iF ).coeff ).^2;
27             end
28         end
29     end
30 end
31 toc

```

```

1  Elapsed time is 1050.097977 seconds.

```

**Coupling Loss** The coupling loss is defined as the path gain of a MT to its serving BS, i.e. the strongest BS seen by the MT. The thick lines were obtained using the QuaDRiGa model, the thin dashed line are taken from 3GPP R1-165974. They represent the median of all 3GPP calibration results. Results agree well for UMi and Indoor Open Office. However, there are some significant differences in the UMa calibration curves. This is probably due to the fact that the original calibration was done using the parameters from 3GPP 38.900 v14.0.0 (2016-06). The parameters for UMa-LOS have changed in 3GPP 38.901 v14.1.0 (2017-06).

```


1  calib_3GPP_ref_data;           % Load reference data
2
3  set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18)    % Default Font Size
4  set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5  set(0,'defaultAxesFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
6  set(0,'defaultTextFontName', 'Times') % Default Font Type
7  set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode', 'auto') % Default Plot position
8  set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType', '<custom>') % Default Paper Type
9  set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize', [14.5 6.6]) % Default Paper Size
10


```

```

11 legend_names = { '6 GHz', '30 GHz', '70 GHz' };
12 line_col = {'b','r','k'}; % Color of the lines
13
14 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]);
15 for il = select_scenario
16     cl = zeros( no_rx, 3 ); % Calculate the coupling loss from the effective PG
17     for iF = 1 : no_freq
18         cl(:,iF) = 10*log10(max( pg_eff{il}(:, :, iF), [], 2 ));
19     end
20     if il == 3
21         figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]);
22         axes('position',[0.3, 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on;
23         xm = -105; wx = 70; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
24     else
25         xm = -210; wx = 150; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
26         axes('position',[0.06+(il-1)*0.48 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on;
27     end
28     text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
29     ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
30     for iF = 1 : no_freq
31         iFs = select_fequency(iF);
32         ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*mf.acdf(cl(:,iF),bins), ['- ',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',2);
33         plot( cl38900a(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+-- ',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',1 )
34         text((tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm,ty,num2str(median(cl(:,iF)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
35         text((tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str(cl38900a(iFs,10,il)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
36     end
37     hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
38     set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
39     xlabel('Coupling loss (dB)')
40     if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
41     title(l(1,il).name)
42     legend(ln,legend_names(select_fequency),'Location','SouthEast')
43     text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
44 end

```






**Geometry Factor** The GF is a lower bound for the actual SINR. It is defined as the power ratio of the serving BS and the sum power of all interfering BSs. The results in the following Figure agree well with the 3GPP calibrations curves.

```

1 figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]);
2 for il = select_scenario
3     gf = zeros( no_rx, 3 );
4     for iF = 1 : no_freq
5         gf(:,iF) = 10*log10( max( pg_eff{il}(:, :, iF), [], 2 ) ./ ( sum( pg_eff{il}(:, :, iF), 2 ) -...
6             max( pg_eff{il}(:, :, iF), [], 2 ) ) );
7     end
8     if il == 3
9         figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]);
10        axes('position',[0.3, 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on;
11    else
12        axes('position',[0.06+(il-1)*0.48 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on;
13    end
14    xm = -10; wx = 40; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
15    text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP ');
16    ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
17    for iF = 1:no_freq
18        iFs = select_frequency(iF);
19        ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*qf.acdf(gf(:,iF),bins),['-',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',2);
20        plot( gf38900a(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+--',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',1 )
21        text((tx+0.1*iF)*wx+xm,ty,num2str(median(gf(:,iF))),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
22        text((tx+0.1*iF)*wx+xm,ty-4,num2str(gf38900a(iFs,10,il)),'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
23    end
24    hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
25    set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
26    xlabel('Geometry (dB)')
27    if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
28    title(l(1,il).name)
29    legend(ln,legend_names(select_frequency),'Location','SouthEast')
30    text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
31 end

```



### 5.4 3GPP 38.901 Full Calibration

This section performs the 3GPP calibration as described in 3GPP TR 38.901 V14.1.0, Section 7.8.2, Page 75 for the full calibration. It is shown how the model is set up to obtain the required results, how the output is processed and how the results compare with the 3GPP baseline. The 3GPP calibration reference results were published in the TDOC R1-165975 in August 2016. These results were obtained using model parameters from 3GPP TR 38.900 v14.0.0 (2016-06). Unfortunately, some parameters were changed in the year following the the publication of the results and therefore, different calibration results will be obtained when using the parameters from 38.901 V14.1.0 which are included in QuaDRiGa.

**Antenna setup** 3GPP uses a nested panel antenna. One panel consists of 16 dual-polarized antenna elements (+/- 45 degree polarization) with 0.5 lambda element spacing. The panel is duplicated along the y-axis. In order to reuse the same antenna object for all four frequencies in the simulation, we do not specify a carrier frequency. In this case the model assumes that the element positions are given in multiples of the

wavelength. The method "combine\_pattern" calculates the array response with respect to the phase-center of the antenna, i.e. the phase in the antenna pattern then includes the element positions in the array. The effective element positions are set to 0 and the same antenna can be used for multiple frequencies.

```

1 close all
2 clear all
3
4 % The mapping function of antenna elements to CRS port (0 degree panning angle)
5 port_mapping = [ 1,0;0,1 ; 1,0;0,1 ;1,0;0,1 ;1,0;0,1 ];
6 port_mapping = [ port_mapping , zeros( 8,2 ) ; zeros( 8,2 ), port_mapping ] / 2;
7
8 % BS antenna configuration 1 (UMa and UMi), 12 degree downtilt
9 aBS = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-mmw', 4, 4, [], 6, 12, 0.5, 1, 2, 2.5, 2.5 );
10 aBS.coupling = port_mapping; % Assign port mapping
11 aBS.combine_pattern; % Calculate array response
12 aBS.element_position(1,:) = 0.5; % Distance from pole in [m]
13
14 % BS antenna configuration 1 (Indoor), 20 degree downtilt
15 aBSi = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-mmw', 4, 4, [], 6, 20, 0.5, 1, 2, 2.5, 2.5 );
16 aBSi.coupling = port_mapping; % Assign port mapping
17 aBSi.combine_pattern; % Calculate array response
18 aBSi.element_position(1,:) = 0.2; % Distance from pole in [m]
19
20 % BS antenna configuration 2 (UMa, UMi, Indoor)
21 a2 = qd_arrayant( '3gpp-3d', 2, 2, [], 1, [], 0.5 );
22 a2.combine_pattern; % Calculate array response
23 a2.element_position(1,:) = 0.5; % Distance from pole in [m]
24
25 append_array( aBS ,a2 ); % Concatenate arrays for both configurations
26 append_array( aBSi,a2 );
27
28 aMT = qd_arrayant('omni'); % MT antenna configuration
29 aMT.copy_element(1,2);
30 aMT.Fa(:,:,2) = 0;
31 aMT.Fb(:,:,2) = 1;

```

**QuaDRiGa Setup** Here, the channel model is configured. The simulation assumptions are given in Table 7.8-2 in 3GPP TR 38.901 V14.1.0. 3GPP specifies to perform simulations for UMa, UMi and Indoor at four frequencies: 6 GHz, 30 GHz, 60 GHz and 70 GHz. Hence, we define three QuaDRiGa layouts. UMa and UMi use a hexagonal grid with 19 sites and three sectors per site. The scenario parameters for UMa and UMi are given in Table 7.2-1, page 20. This is implemented in "qd\_layout.generate", using the "regular" layout. The indoor scenario layout is specified in Table 7.2-2 and implemented using the "indoor" layout. 3GPP defines two different approaches for the LOS probability for InH users (page 27). Here we assume that "open office" should be used.

```

1 no_rx = 2000; % Number of MTs (directly scales the simulation time)
2 select_scenario = 1:3; % Scenario: 1 = UMi, 2 = UMa, 3 = Indoor
3 select_fequency = 1:4; % Freq.: 1 = 6 GHz, 2 = 30 GHz, 3 = 60 GHz, 4 = 70 GHz
4
5 s = qd_simulation_parameters; % Set general simulation parameters
6 s.center_frequency = [ 6e9, 30e9, 60e9, 70e9 ]; % Set center frequencies for the simulations
7 s.center_frequency = s.center_frequency( select_fequency );
8 no_freq = numel( s.center_frequency );
9
10 s.use_spherical_waves = 0; % Disable spherical waves
11 s.use_geometric_polarization = 0; % Disable QuaDRiGa polarization model and use 3GPP model
12 s.show_progressBars = 0; % Disable progress bar
13
14 isd = [ 200, 500, 20 ]; % ISD in each layout
15 no_go_dist = [ 10, 35, 0 ]; % Min. UE-eNB 2D distance
16
17 l(1,1) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(1), aBS);
18 l(1,1).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
19 l(1,1).tx_position(3,:) = 10; % 10 m BS height
20 l(1,1).name = 'UMi';
21
22 l(1,2) = qd_layout.generate( 'regular', 19, isd(2), aBS);
23 l(1,2).tx_position(3,:) = 25; % 12 m BS height

```

```

24 l(1,2).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
25 l(1,2).name = 'UMa';
26
27 l(1,3) = qd_layout.generate( 'indoor', [2,6], isd(3), aBSi, 3, 30);
28 l(1,3).tx_position(3,:) = 3; % 3 m BS height
29 l(1,3).simpar = s; % Set simulation parameters
30 l(1,3).name = 'InH';
31
32 for il = select_scenario % Drop users in each layout
33     l(1,il).no_rx = no_rx; % Number of users
34     if il == 3
35         ind = true( 1,no_rx ); % Indoor placement
36         while any( ind )
37             l(1,il).randomize_rx_positions( sqrt(60^2+25^2), 1, 1, 0, ind );
38             ind = abs( l(1,il).rx_position(1,:) ) > 60 | abs( l(1,il).rx_position(2,:) ) > 25;
39         end
40     else
41         ind = true( 1,no_rx ); % UMa / UMi placement
42         while any( ind )
43             l(1,il).randomize_rx_positions( 0.93*isd(il), 1.5, 1.5, 0, ind );
44             ind = sqrt(l(1,il).rx_position(1,:).^2 + l(1,il).rx_position(2,:).^2) < no_go_dist(il);
45         end
46         floor = randi(5,1,l(1,il).no_rx) + 3; % Number of floors in the building
47         for n = 1 : l(1,il).no_rx
48             floor( n ) = randi( floor( n ) ); % Floor level of the UE
49         end
50         l(1,il).rx_position(3,:) = 3*(floor-1) + 1.5; % Height in meters
51     end
52     switch il % Set the scenario and assign LOS probabilities (80% of the users are indoor)
53     case 1
54         indoor_rx = l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_38.901_UMi', [], [], 0.8);
55         l(1,il).rx_position(3,~indoor_rx) = 1.5; % Set outdoor-users to 1.5 m height
56     case 2
57         indoor_rx = l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_38.901_UMa', [], [], 0.8);
58         l(1,il).rx_position(3,~indoor_rx) = 1.5; % Set outdoor-users to 1.5 m height
59     case 3
60         l(1,il).set_scenario('3GPP_38.901_Indoor_Open_Office');
61     end
62     l(1,il).rx_array = aMT; % MT antenna setting
63 end

```

**Generate channels** The following code generates the channel coefficients. The calibration case assumes that no spatial consistency for the SSF is used. Hence, we deactivate the feature by setting the decorrelation distance of the SSF parameters "SC\_lambda" to 0. The method "split\_multi\_freq" separates the builder objects so that each builder creates channels for only one frequency. If you call "split\_multi\_freq" before any LSF and SSF parameters are generated as it is done in the following code, then LSF parameters (e.g. SF, DS, AS) will be uncorrelated for each frequency. If you call "gen\_lsf\_parameters" before "split\_multi\_freq", then all LSF parameters will be fully correlated. However, frequency dependent averages and variances still apply. If you call "gen\_lsf\_parameters" and "gen\_ssf\_parameters" before "split\_multi\_freq", then SSF will also be correlated, i.e. the same paths will be seen at each frequency. Correlated SSF for multi-frequency simulations is an additional feature of the 3GPP model (see Section 7.6.5, pp 57 of TR 38.901 V14.1.0).

```

1 tic
2 clear c
3 for il = select_scenario
4     b = l(1,il).init_builder; % Generate builders
5
6     sic = size( b );
7     for ib = 1 : numel(b)
8         [ i1,i2 ] = qf.qind2sub( sic, ib );
9         scenpar = b(i1,i2).scenpar; % Read scenario parameters
10        scenpar.SC_lambda = 0; % Disable spatial consistency of SSF
11        b(i1,i2).scenpar_nocheck = scenpar; % Save parameters without check (faster)
12    end
13
14    b = split_multi_freq( b ); % Split the builders for multiple frequencies
15    gen_lsf_parameters( b ); % Generate LSF parameters (uncorrelated)
16    gen_ssf_parameters( b ); % Generate multi-path components (uncorrelated)

```

```

17 cm = get_channels( b ); % Generate channels
18
19 cs = split_tx( cm, {1:4,9:12,17:20} ); % Split sectors for Antenna configuration 1
20 c{1,il} = qf.reshapeo( cs, [ no_rx, 1(1,il).no_tx*3, no_freq ] );
21 cs = split_tx( cm, {5:8,13:16,21:24} ); % Split sectors for Antenna configuration 2
22 c{2,il} = qf.reshapeo( cs, [ no_rx, 1(1,il).no_tx*3, no_freq ] );
23 end
24 toc

```


```
1 Elapsed time is 5188.178107 seconds.
```


**Coupling Loss** The coupling loss is defined as the path gain of a MT to its serving BS, i.e. the strongest BS seen by the MT. The thick lines were obtained using the QuaDRiGa model, the thin dashed line are taken from 3GPP R1-165975. They represent the median of all 3GPP calibration results. Results agree well for UMi and Indoor Open Office. However, there are some differences in the UMa calibration curves. This is due to the fact that the 3GPP reference curves were generated using parameters from 3GPP 38.900 v14.0.0 (2016-06). The parameters for UMa-LOS have changed in 3GPP 38.901 v14.1.0 (2017-06).

```

1  calib_3GPP_ref_data; % Load reference data
2
3  set(0,'defaultFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
4  set(0,'defaultAxesFontSize', 18) % Default Font Size
5  set(0,'defaultAxesFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
6  set(0,'defaultTextFontName','Times') % Default Font Type
7  set(0,'defaultFigurePaperPositionMode','auto') % Default Plot position
8  set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperType','<custom>') % Default Paper Type
9  set(0,'DefaultFigurePaperSize',[14.5 6.6]) % Default Paper Size
10
11 legend_names = { '6 GHz', '30 GHz', '60 GHz', '70 GHz' };
12 line_col = {'b','r','m','k'}; % Color of the lines
13
14 for il = select_scenario % Scenario
15     figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); % New figure
16     pg_eff = []; cl = []; % Clear variables
17     if il < 3; xm = -210; wx = 150; tx = 0.01; ty = 97; end; % UMa and UMi
18     if il == 3; xm = -105; wx = 70; tx = 0.01; ty = 97; end; % InH
19     for ic = 1:2 % Configuration
20         axes('position',[0.06+(ic-1)*0.48 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; % New sub-figure
21         text( tx*wx+xm,ty, 'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4, '3GP'); % Result text
22         ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
23         for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
24             for ir = 1 : no_rx % Receiver
25                 for it = 1 : size(c{ic,il},2) % Calc. path gain
26                     pg_eff( it ) = sum(abs(c{ic,il}(ir,it,iF).coeff(:)).^2) / 8;
27                 end
28                 cl(ir) = 10*log10(max( pg_eff )); % Calc. coupling loss
29             end
30             iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
31             ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*qf.acdf(cl,bins),['- ',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',2);
32             plot( cl38900b(iFs,.,il,ic), 5:5:95,['+-- ',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',1 )
33             text( tX,ty, num2str(median(cl), '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
34             text( tX,ty-4, num2str(cl38900b(iFs,10,il,ic), '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
35         end
36         hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100); % Decorations
37         set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
38         xlabel('Coupling loss (dB)'); title([ l(1,il).name, ' - Config ', num2str( ic ) ] );
39         if ic==1; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
40         legend(ln,legend_names(select_frequency),'Location', 'SouthEast');
41         text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
42     end
43 end

```







**Wide-band SINR** The wide-band SINR is essentially the same as the GF. However, the 3GPP model uses the RSRP values for the calculation of this metric. The calculation method is described in 3GPP TR 36.873 V12.5.0 in Section 8.1 on Page 38. Essentially, the RSRP values describe the average received power (over all antenna elements at the receiver) for each transmit antenna port. Hence, there are 4 RSRP values, one for each transmit antenna. The wideband SINR is the GF calculated from the first RSRP value, i.e. the average power for the first transmit antenna port.

```

1  for il = select_scenario                                     % Scenario
2  figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]);
3  rsrp_p0 = []; cl = [];
4  if il==1 || il==2; xm = -10; wx = 40; tx = 0.01; ty = 97; end;
5  if il==3; xm = -10; wx = 25; tx = 0.01; ty = 97; end;
6  for ic = 1 : 2                                           % Configuration
7  axes('position',[0.06+(ic-1)*0.48 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on;
8  text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
9  ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
10 for iF = 1 : no_freq                                     % Frequency
11 for ir = 1 : no_rx                                     % Calc. coupling loss
12 for it = 1 : size(c{ic,il},2)
13 tmp = c{ic,il}(ir,it,iF).coeff(:,1,:);               % Coeff. from first Tx ant.
14 rsrp_p0( it ) = sum(abs( tmp(:) ).^2) / 2;           % Divide by 2 Rx ant.
15 end
16 sinr(ir) = 10*log10( max(rsrp_p0)/(sum(rsrp_p0)-max(rsrp_p0)) );
17 end
18 iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
19 ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf(sinr,bins),['-',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',2);
20 plot( sinr38900(iFs,:,il,ic), 5:5:95,['+--',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',1 )
21 text( tX,ty, num2str(median(sinr) , '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
22 text( tX,ty-4, num2str(sinr38900(iFs,10,il,ic) , '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
23 end
24 hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
25 set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
26 xlabel('Wideband SINR (dB)'); title([ l(1,il).name, ' - Config ',num2str( ic ) ] );
27 if ic==1; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
28 legend(ln,legend_names(select_frequency),'Location', 'SouthEast');
29 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
30 end
31 end

```






**Delay Spread** The following plots show the delay spread without antenna patterns for the serving BS, i.e. only the multi-path components are generated by the SSF model, but the paths are not weights by the antenna patterns. For the UMi and UMa scenarios, 80% of the users are indoors. Hence, the results are dominated by the O2I parameters, which are not frequency dependent and are identical for LOS or NLOS propagation of the outdoor link. The green curve therefore shows the O2I distributions of the DS. One can see that the results for UMi and UMa are very similar.

```

1 legend_ref = {'O2I only','O2I only','InH LOS'};
2
3 ref_O2I = 10.^( randn(1,10000)*0.32-6.62 )*1e9;
4 mu = (-7.692 -0.01 *log10(1+s.center_frequency'/1e9));
5 ref_InH = 10.^( 0.18*randn(no_freq,10000) + mu * ones(1,10000) )*1e9;
6 for il = select_scenario % Scenario
7     pg_eff = []; ds = []; ds_tmp = [];
8     if il == 1 || il == 3; figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); end; tx = 0.41; ty = 47;
9     if il == 1; axes('position',[0.06 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 1000; end;
10    if il == 2; axes('position',[0.54 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 1000; end;
11    if il == 3; axes('position',[0.30 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 80; end;
12    text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
13    ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
14    for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
15        for ir = 1 : no_rx % Calc. coupling loss
16            for it = 1 : size(c{1,il},2)
17                pg_eff( it ) = sum(abs(c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).coeff(:)).^2) / 8;
18                ds_tmp( it ) = c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).par.ds_cb;
19            end
20            [,ii] = max( pg_eff ); ds(ir) = ds_tmp(ii)*1e9;
21        end
22        iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
23        ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*mf.acdf(ds,bins),'-','Color',line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',2);
24        plot( ds38900(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+--','Color',line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',1)
25        text( tX,ty, num2str(median(ds) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
26        text( tX,ty-4, num2str(ds38900(iFs,10,il) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
27        if il==3;plot(bins,100*mf.acdf(ref_InH(iF,:),bins),'-','Color',line_col{iFs});end;
28    end
29    if il<3; ln(end+1)=plot(bins,100*mf.acdf(ref_O2I,bins),'-','Color',[0 .5 0],'Linewidth',2);end;
30    hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
31    set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
32    xlabel('Delay Spread (nsec)'); title([ 1(1,il).name ] );
33    if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
34    legend(ln,{legend_names{select_frequency},legend_ref{il}},'Location','SouthEast');
35    text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
36 end

```



For the InH case, parameters changed in TR 38.901. The original parameterization in 3GPP TR 38.900 V14.0.0 included some significant dependence of the STD of the DS on the carrier frequency. This was removed in TR 38.901. In addition, due to the open office LOS probabilities and the UE attachment to the strongest BS, there are 98% of the users in LOS conditions. Hence, the results heavily depend on the LOS DS, which is shown for the four frequencies are dashed-dotted thin lines. One can observe, that the DS values for the serving BS are always smaller compared to the expected values from the LOS distributions. This comes from the negative correlation of the DS with the SF (-0.8 for InH-LOS). If the link has a high SF, it also has a low DS. However, if the SF is high, the BS gets selected for the UE attachment. As a result, DS values for the serving BS are always smaller compared to the average LOS-DS from all BS.

**Azimuth Angle Spread of Departure** The next plot shows the ASD. The same assumptions as for the DS apply, i.e. no antenna patterns, UE attachment to the strongest BS, O2I-dominance for UMa and UMi and LOS dominance for InH. Results agree well for UMa and UMi.

```


1 ref_O2I = 10.^( randn(1,10000)*0.42 +1.25 );
2 ref_InH = 10.^( randn(1,10000)*0.18 +1.60 );


```

```

3 for il = select_scenario % Scenario
4 pg_eff = []; asd = []; asd_tmp = [];
5 if il == 1 || il == 3; figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); end; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
6 if il == 1; axes('position',[0.06 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 80; end;
7 if il == 2; axes('position',[0.54 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 80; end;
8 if il == 3; axes('position',[0.30 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 80; end;
9 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP ');
10 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
11 for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
12     for ir = 1 : no_rx % Calc. coupling loss
13         for it = 1 : size(c{1,il},2)
14             pg_eff( it ) = sum(abs(c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).coeff(:)).^2) / 8;
15             asd_tmp( it ) = c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).par.asD_cb;
16         end
17         [~,ii] = max( pg_eff ); asd(ir) = asd_tmp(ii);
18     end
19     iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
20     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*mf.acdf(asd,bins),'-.',line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',2);
21     plot( asd38900(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+--',line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',1)
22     text( tX,ty, num2str(median(asd) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
23     text( tX,ty-4, num2str(asd38900(iFs,10,il) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
24 end
25 if il<3; ln(end+1)=plot(bins,100*mf.acdf(ref_02I,bins),'-.','Color',[0 .5 0],'Linewidth',2);end;
26 if il==3;ln(end+1)=plot(bins,100*mf.acdf(ref_InH,bins),'-.','Color',[0 .5 0],'Linewidth',2);end;
27 hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
28 set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
29 xlabel('ASD (degrees)'); title([ 1(1,il).name ] );
30 if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
31 legend(ln,{legend_names{select_frequency},legend_ref{il}},'Location','SouthEast');
32 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
33 end

```





For the InH results, the green curve shows the expected results when only taking the parameters for the LOS-ASD into account. One can see that the results obtained from the model are much lower. This can have two reasons. First, the ASD is negatively correlated with the SF (-0.4). Hence, BSs with a high SF are more likely to become the serving BSs which leads to decreased ASD values for the serving link. Second, the maximum achievable angular spread depends on the KF. The average KF in the indoor LOS scenario is 7 dB. In this case, the maximal achievable azimuth spread is around 50 degree. However, the positive correlation between SF and KF (+0.5) leads to increased KF values for the serving link. As a result, the median ASD for the serving link gets reduced to roughly 30 degree compared to the 40 degree that would be expected from the InH-LOS parameters.

**Elevation / Zenith Angle Spread of Departure** The next plot shows the ESD / ZSD. The same assumptions as for the DS and ASD apply, i.e. no antenna patterns, UE attachment to the strongest BS, O2I-dominance for UMa and UMi and LOS dominance for InH. Results agree well for UMi and UMa as well as for the higher Frequencies for InH.

```


1 mu = (2.228 - 1.43 *log10(1+s.center_frequency'/1e9));
2 sig = (0.3 + 0.13 *log10(1+s.center_frequency'/1e9));
3 ref_InH = 10.^( sig * randn(1,10000) + mu * ones(1,10000) );
4 for il = select_scenario % Scenario
5     pg_eff = []; esd = []; esd_tmp = [];
6     if il == 1 || il == 3; figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); end; tx = 0.41; ty = 37;
7     if il == 1; axes('position',[0.06 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 6; end;
8     if il == 2; axes('position',[0.54 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 15; end;
9     if il == 3; axes('position',[0.30 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 30; end;
10    text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP ');
11    ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
12    for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
13        for ir = 1 : no_rx % Calc. coupling loss
14            for it = 1 : size(c{1,il},2)
15                pg_eff( it ) = sum(abs(c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).coeff(:)).^2) / 8;
16                esd_tmp( it ) = c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).par.esD_cb;
17            end
18            [~,ii] = max( pg_eff ); esd(ir) = esd_tmp(ii);
19        end
20        iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
21        ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*ql.acdf(esd,bins),['-'],line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',2);
22        plot( zsd38900(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+--'],line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',1 )
23        text( tX,ty, num2str(median(esd) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
24        text( tX,ty-4, num2str(zsd38900(iFs,10,il) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
25        if il==3;plot(bins,100*ql.acdf(ref_InH(iF,:),bins),'-','Color',line_col{iFs});end;
26    end
27    hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);

```

```

28 set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
29 xlabel('ZSD (degrees)'); title([ l(1,il).name ] );
30 if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
31 legend(ln,legend_names(select_frequency),'Location', 'SouthEast');
32 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
33 end

```



InH at 6 GHz shows some differences for the same reason, the ASD was smaller than expected. The ZSD at lower frequencies can have values above 30 degrees. However, with a KF of 7 dB, the maximum achievable ZSD is around 30 degree. Due to the correlation between SF and KF, the serving link gets even higher KF values and, as a consequence, lower angular spreads.


**Azimuth Angle Spread of Arrival** The next plot shows the ASA. The same assumptions as for the DS apply, i.e. no antenna patterns, UE attachment to the strongest BS, O2I-dominance for UMa and UMi and LOS dominance for InH. Results agree well for UMa and UMi. For InH, the ASA parameters changed from


TR 38.900 to 38.901. Hence, different results are obtained at the output of the model compared to the 3GPP calibration reference. At 6 GHz, where the largest ASAs are achieved for InH-LOS, the upper limit for the angle spread is reached due to the correlations of ASA vs. SF (-0.5) and SF vs. KF (+0.5).

```

1  ref_02I = 10.^( randn(1,10000)*0.16 +1.76 );
2  mu = (1.781 - 0.19 *log10(1+s.center_frequency'/1e9));
3  sig = (0.119 + 0.12 *log10(1+s.center_frequency'/1e9));
4  ref_InH = 10.^( sig * randn(1,10000) + mu * ones(1,10000) );
5  for il = select_scenario % Scenario
6  pg_eff = []; asa = []; asa_tmp = [];
7  if il == 1 || il == 3; figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); end; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
8  if il == 1; axes('position',[0.06 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 100; end;
9  if il == 2; axes('position',[0.54 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 100; end;
10 if il == 3; axes('position',[0.30 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 80; end;
11 text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP ');
12 ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
13 for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
14 for ir = 1 : no_rx % Calc. coupling loss
15 for it = 1 : size(c{1,il},2)
16 pg_eff( it ) = sum(abs(c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).coeff(:)).^2) / 8;
17 asa_tmp( it ) = c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).par.asA_parset;
18 end
19 [~,ii] = max( pg_eff ); asa(ir) = asa_tmp(ii);
20 end
21 iFs = select_fequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
22 ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*ql.acdf(asa,bins),'-.',line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',2);
23 plot( asa38900(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+--',line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',1)
24 text( tX,ty, num2str(median(asa) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
25 text( tX,ty-4, num2str(asa38900(iFs,10,il) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
26 if il==3;plot(bins,100*ql.acdf(ref_InH(iF,:),bins),'-.','Color',line_col{iFs});end;
27 end
28 if il<3; ln(end+1)=plot(bins,100*ql.acdf(ref_02I,bins),'-.','Color',[0 .5 0],'Linewidth',2);end;
29 hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
30 set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
31 xlabel('ASA (degrees)'); title([ 1(1,il).name ] );
32 if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
33 legend(ln,{legend_names{select_fequency},legend_ref{il}},'Location', 'SouthEast');
34 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
35 end

```






**Elevation / Zenith Angle Spread of Arrival** The next plot shows the ESD / ZSD results. Again, UMi and UMa results agree well since mostly, O2I parameters apply (green curve). For InH, the 3GPP parameters changed from TR 38.900 to 38.901. Hence, different results are obtained at the output of the model compared to the 3GPP calibration reference.

```

1 ref_02I = 10.^( randn(1,10000)*0.43 +1.01 );
2 mu = (1.44 - 0.26 *log10(1+s.center_frequency'/1e9));
3 sig = (0.264 - 0.04 *log10(1+s.center_frequency'/1e9));
4 ref_InH = 10.^( sig * randn(1,10000) + mu * ones(1,10000) );
5 for il = select_scenario % Scenario
6     pg_eff = []; esa = []; esa_tmp = [];
7     if il == 1 || il == 3; figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); end; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
8     if il == 1; axes('position',[0.06 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 50; end;
9     if il == 2; axes('position',[0.54 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 50; end;
10    if il == 3; axes('position',[0.30 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 25; end;
11    text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP ');
12    ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
13    for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
14        for ir = 1 : no_rx % Calc. coupling loss
15            for it = 1 : size(c{1,il},2)
16                pg_eff( it ) = sum(abs(c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).coeff(:)).^2) / 8;
17                esa_tmp( it ) = c{1,il}(ir,it,iF).par.esA_cb;
18            end
19            [~,ii] = max( pg_eff ); esa(ir) = esa_tmp(ii);
20        end
21        iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
22        ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf(esa,bins),'- ',line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',2);
23        plot( zsa38900(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+-- ',line_col{iFs},'Linewidth',1 )
24        text( tX,ty, num2str(median(esa) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
25        text( tX,ty-4, num2str(zsa38900(iFs,10,il) ,'%1.1f'),'Color',line_col{iFs});
26        if il==3;plot(bins,100*gf.acdf(ref_InH(iF,:),bins),'- ', 'Color',line_col{iFs});end;
27    end
28    if il<3; ln(end+1)=plot(bins,100*gf.acdf(ref_02I,bins),'- ', 'Color',[0 .5 0],'Linewidth',2);end;
29    hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
30    set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
31    xlabel('ZSA (degrees)'); title([ 1(1,il).name ] );
32    if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
33    legend(ln,{legend_names{select_frequency},legend_ref{il}},'Location', 'SouthEast');
34    text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
35 end

```



**First Singular Value (Configuration 2)** The singular values of a MIMO channel matrix describe how many parallel spatial data streams can be transmitted to one user and what the individual capacity of each streams is. The antenna configuration results in a 2x4 MIMO channel. Hence, the channel has two singular values and supports at most two streams. The singular values are calculated as follows:

- The results are reported for the channel matrix of the serving BS.
- The calculations are done in the frequency domain. The bandwidth is set to 20 MHz (at 6 GHz) or 100 MHz (above 6 GHz), which is further split into 50 resource blocks (RBs).
- The singular values are reported for channels without path-gain, but with antenna patterns included.
- The singular values are calculated for each RB by an Eigenvalue decomposition of the receive covariance matrix as

$$s_{1,2} = \frac{1}{n_{RB}} \cdot \text{eig} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{n_{RB}} \mathbf{H}_n \mathbf{H}_n^H \right)$$


- Results are presented in logarithmic scale, i.e. as  $10 \cdot \log_{10}(s_{1,2})$ .


Results for the first singular value agree perfectly for UMi and UMa. Only minor differences can be seen for InH.

```

1 clear sv % Calculate singular values
2 BW = [20,100,100,100]*1e6; % Bandwidth for each
3 for il = select_scenario
4     sv{il} = zeros( 2,50,no_rx,4 );
5     pg_eff = [];
6     for iF = 1 : no_freq
7         for ir = 1 : no_rx
8             for it = 1 : size(c{2,il},2)
9                 pg_eff( it ) = sum(abs(c{2,il}(ir,it,iF).coeff(:)).^2) / 8;
10            end
11            [~,it] = max( pg_eff ); % Select serving BS
12            H = c{2,il}(ir,it,iF).fr( BW(iF), 50 );
13            pg = c{2,il}(ir,it,iF).par.pg_parset;
14            H = H ./ sqrt(10.^(0.1*pg)); % Normalize channel matrix
15            for m = 1:size(H,3)
16                sv{il}(:,m,ir,iF) = svd(H(:,:,m)).^2;
17            end % NOTE: eig( H(:,:,m)*H(:,:,m)' ) == svd(H(:,:,m)).^2
18        end
19    end
20 end
21
22 for il = select_scenario % Scenario
23     pg_eff = []; esa = []; esa_tmp = [];
24     if il == 1 || il == 3; figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); end; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
25     if il == 1; axes('position',[0.06 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = -10; wx = 30; end;
26     if il == 2; axes('position',[0.54 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = -10; wx = 30; end;
27     if il == 3; axes('position',[0.30 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = -10; wx = 30; end;
28     text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP ');
29     ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
30     for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
31         sv_max = 10*log10( reshape(sv{il}(1,:,:,iF),[],1) );
32         iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
33         ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*gf.acdf(sv_max,bins),['- ',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',2);
34         plot( sv1_38900(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+-- ',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',1 )
35         text( tX,ty, num2str(median(sv_max) , '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
36         text( tX,ty-4, num2str(sv1_38900(iFs,10,il) , '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
37     end
38     hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
39     set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
40     xlabel('10log10( 1st singular value )'); title([ 1(1,il).name ] );
41     if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
42     legend(ln,legend_names(select_frequency),'Location', 'SouthEast');
43     text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
44 end

```






**Second Singular Value (Configuration 2)** Results are slightly larger for UMi and UMa indicating a slightly higher channel capacity as reported by the average 3GPP results. However, the results presented here are still well within the range of the results reported by different partners in R1-165975. For InH, the larger differences are probably due to the changed parameterization TR 38.901.

```

1  for il = select_scenario % Scenario
2  pg_eff = []; esa = []; esa_tmp = [];
3  if il == 1 || il == 3; figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); end; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
4  if il == 1; axes('position',[0.06 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = -30; wx = 40; end;
5  if il == 2; axes('position',[0.54 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = -30; wx = 40; end;
6  if il == 3; axes('position',[0.30 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = -50; wx = 50; end;
7  text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP ');
8  ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
9  for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
10     sv_min = 10*log10( reshape(sv{il}(2,:,:),iF),[],1) );
11     iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
12     ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*qf.acdf(sv_min,bins),['-',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',2);
13     plot( sv2_38900(iFs,:,il), 5:5:95,['+--',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',1 )
14     text( tX,ty, num2str(median(sv_min), '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
15     text( tX,ty-4, num2str(sv2_38900(iFs,10,il), '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
16 end
17 hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
18 set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
19 xlabel('10log10( 2nd singular value )'); title([ l(1,il).name ] );
20 if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
21 legend(ln,legend_names(select_frequency),'Location', 'SouthEast');
22 text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
23 end
    
```



**Ratio of Singular Values (Configuration 2)** Probably a more important measure than the singular values themselves is the ratio between the singular values, which is calculated as

$$SR = 10 \cdot \log_{10} \left( \frac{s_1}{s_2} \right)$$

This measure is closely linked to the condition number of the channel matrix  $C = \sqrt{\frac{s_1}{s_2}}$ . The larger this number is, the more difficult it is to invert the matrix  $\mathbf{H}$ . However, inverting this matrix is required in order to separate the two data streams at the receiver. Due to the larger second SV our results are better (lower values are better) than the 3GPP baseline for UMa and UMi. The InH cannot be discussed properly due to the changed parameterization TR 38.901.

```



1 for il = select_scenario % Scenario
2   pg_eff = []; esa = []; esa_tmp = [];
3   if il == 1 || il == 3; figure('Position',[ 50 , 550 , 1400 , 640]); end; tx = 0.01; ty = 97;
4   if il == 1; axes('position',[0.06 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 40; end;
5   if il == 2; axes('position',[0.54 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 40; end;
6   if il == 3; axes('position',[0.30 0.12 0.44 0.81]); hold on; xm = 0; wx = 60; end;

```

```

7   text( tx*wx+xm,ty,'QD. '); text( tx*wx+xm,ty-4,'3GP');
8   ln = []; bins = (-0.1:0.005:1.1)*wx+xm;
9   for iF = 1 : no_freq % Frequency
10  sv_rat = 10*log10( reshape( sv{il}(1, :, :, iF) ./ sv{il}(2, :, :, iF) , [], 1 ) );
11  iFs = select_frequency(iF); tX = (tx+0.12*iF)*wx+xm;
12  ln(end+1) = plot( bins, 100*qqf.acdf(sv_rat,bins),['- ',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',2);
13  plot( svR_38900(iFs, :, il), 5:5:95,['+--',line_col{iFs}], 'Linewidth',1 )
14  text( tX,ty, num2str(median(sv_rat) , '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
15  text( tX,ty-4, num2str(svR_38900(iFs,10,il) , '%1.1f'), 'Color',line_col{iFs});
16  end
17  hold off; grid on; box on; set(gca,'YTick',0:10:100);
18  set(gca,'XTick',xm : wx/10 : xm+wx); axis([xm xm+wx 0 100]);
19  xlabel('10log10( ratio singular values )'); title([ 1(1,il).name ] );
20  if il==1 || il==3; ylabel('CDF [%]'); end;
21  legend(ln,legend_names(select_fequency),'Location', 'SouthEast');
22  text( 0.01*wx+xm, 3, ['v.',qd_simulation_parameters.version] );
23  end

```



## A Departure and Arrival Angles (Adopted WINNER Method)

In the **WINNER** model, the azimuth arrival and departure angles are modeled using a wrapped Gaussian distribution (see [4], page 39).

$$P(\phi) = \frac{1}{\sigma_\phi \sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-\phi^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2}\right) \quad (103)$$

The wrapping is applied later by (106) when the discrete cluster angles are drawn from the statistics. Since the above formula assumes a continuous spectrum, whereas the channel model uses discrete paths, we need to correct the variance by a function  $C_\phi(L, K)$ . This function ensures that the input variance  $\sigma_\phi$  is correctly reflected in the generated angles. The same approach was taken by the **WINNER** model. However, [4] does not explain how the correction values were obtained.

**Generation of azimuth and elevation angles** The individual angles  $\phi_l$  are obtained by first normalizing the power angular spectrum so that its maximum has unit power. We can thus omit the scaling factor  $1/(\sigma_\phi \sqrt{2\pi})$ . The path powers  $P_l$  (21) are also normalized such that the strongest peak with unit power corresponds to an angle  $\phi = 0$ . All other paths get relative departure or arrival angles depending on their power,

$$\phi_l^{[1]} = \frac{\sigma_\phi}{C_\phi(L, K)} \cdot \sqrt{-2 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{P_l}{\max(P_l)}\right)}. \quad (104)$$

Next, two random variables,  $X_l$  and  $Y_l$  are drawn, where  $X_l \sim \{-1, 1\}$  is the positive or negative sign and  $Y_l \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (\frac{\sigma_\phi}{7})^2)$  introduces a random variation on the angle. The angles  $\phi_l^{[1]}$  are then updated to

$$\phi_l^{[2]} = X_l \cdot \phi_l^{[1]} + Y_l. \quad (105)$$

If the power  $P_l$  of a path is small compared with the strongest peak, its angle  $\phi_l^{[2]}$  might exceed  $\pm\pi$ . In this case, it is wrapped around the unit circle by a modulo operation

$$\phi_l^{[3]} = \left(\phi_l^{[2]} + \pi \pmod{2\pi}\right) - \pi. \quad (106)$$

In case of elevation spreads, the possible range of elevation angles goes from  $-\pi/2$  to  $\pi/2$ . In this case, the values  $\phi_l^{[3]}$  need an additional correction. This is done using (36). The positions of the **Tx** and **Rx** are deterministic, and so are the angles of the **LOS** component. This is taken into account by updating the values of the angles in order to incorporate the **LOS** angle

$$\phi_1^{[4]} = 0 \quad (107)$$

$$\phi_l^{[5]} = \phi_l^{[4]} + \phi^{LOS}. \quad (108)$$

Finally, the **NLOS** cluster-paths are split into 20 sub-paths to emulate intra cluster angular spreads.

**Calculation of  $C_\phi(L, K)$**  The correction function  $C_\phi(L, K)$  takes the influence of the **KF** and the varying number of clusters on the angular spread into account. To approximate the function, random powers  $P_l$  and angles  $\phi_l$  are generated with the correction function set to  $C_\phi = 1$ . The powers are calculated as described in Section 3.2 with different values of  $K$  included. Based on those values, the actual RMS angular spread  $\sigma_\phi^{[actual]}$  is calculated using equations (26), (27), and (28). The correction function follows from comparing  $\sigma_\phi^{[actual]}$  with  $\sigma_\phi$ . However, two aspects need to be considered:

1. Due to the randomization of the angles in (105), we have to take the average angle over a sufficiently large quantity ( $\approx 1000$  realizations) of  $\sigma_\phi^{[actual]}$ . This value is denoted as  $\sigma_\phi^{[avg.]}$ .

2. There is a nonlinear relationship between the angular spread in the simulated data  $\sigma_\phi^{[\text{avg.}]}$  and the initial value  $\sigma_\phi$ . This comes from the logarithm in (104) and the modulo in (106). However, for small values, the relationship can be approximated by a linear function. The maximum angular spread  $\sigma_\phi^{\text{max}}$  is defined as the point where the error between the corrected value  $\frac{\sigma_\phi}{C_\phi(L,K)}$  and  $\sigma_\phi^{[\text{avg.}]}$  is  $10^\circ$ .

For a range of typical values  $L \in [2, 42]$  and  $K^{[\text{dB}]} \in [-20, 20]$ ,  $C_\phi(L, K)$  can be numerically calculated as

$$C_\phi(L, K) = \frac{1}{\sigma_\phi^{\text{max}}} \cdot \int_0^{\sigma_\phi^{\text{max}}} \frac{\sigma_\phi^{[\text{avg.}]}(\sigma_\phi)}{\sigma_\phi} d\sigma_\phi, \quad (109)$$

where the  $\sigma_\phi$ -dependency of  $\sigma_\phi^{[\text{avg.}]}(\sigma_\phi)$  comes from the individual angles  $\phi_l$ .




Figure 14: Visualization of the angular spread correction function  $C_\phi(L, K)$ . Left: Surface plot of  $C_\phi(L, K)$  for different values of  $L$  and  $K$ . Right: Scatter-plot of the initial angular spread  $\sigma_\phi$  vs. the output of the model (with correction).

**Correction of the angular spread in the WINNER model** The proposed correction function for the WINNER model (see [4], pp. 39) works as follows: The individual angles (104) are calculated by

$$\phi_l^{[1]} = \frac{2 \cdot \frac{\sigma_\phi}{1.4}}{C} \cdot \sqrt{-\ln\left(\frac{P_l}{\max(P_l)}\right)}, \quad (110)$$

where  $C$  depends on the numbers of paths (see Table 21). The KF is corrected by a polynomial of third grade. With the constant coefficients in (110) and the factor of 2 in the square root of (104), the correction function  $C_\phi(L, K)$  of the WINNER model is

$$C_\phi^{\text{WINNER}}(L, K) = C \cdot (1.1035 - 0.028 \cdot K - 0.002 \cdot K^2 + 0.0001 \cdot K^3). \quad (111)$$

A comparison of both functions for different values of  $L$  and  $K$  is given in Table 22. In the second column, the letter W indicates the value for the WINNER model and the letter Q indicates the value of the adopted function. The polynomial has a value of 1 at KF values -11.65, 3.11, and 28.54. At those points, the WINNER correction function is independent of the KF. The corresponding rows are highlighted in the table. Generally, both functions are similar. They agree best at KF values around -14, -3, and 12 but show differences at other values.

Table 21: Correction values from [4] for different numbers of paths

L	4	5	8	10	11	12	14	15	16	20
C	0.779	0.860	1.018	1.090	1.123	1.146	1.190	1.211	1.226	1.289

Table 22: Comparison of the correction functions

KF [dB]	W/Q	Number of paths (L)									
		4	5	8	10	11	12	14	15	16	20
-11.7	W	0.779	0.860	1.018	1.090	1.123	1.146	1.190	1.211	1.226	1.289
	Q	0.765	0.822	0.904	0.923	0.929	0.935	0.935	0.935	0.935	0.943
-8.0	W	0.895	0.988	1.169	1.252	1.290	1.316	1.366	1.391	1.408	1.480
	Q	0.790	0.820	0.857	0.870	0.880	0.890	0.977	1.020	1.070	1.250
-4.0	W	0.917	1.012	1.198	1.283	1.322	1.349	1.401	1.425	1.443	1.517
	Q	0.713	0.777	1.047	1.213	1.277	1.340	1.427	1.470	1.500	1.613
0.0	W	0.860	0.949	1.123	1.203	1.239	1.265	1.313	1.336	1.353	1.422
	Q	0.830	0.990	1.277	1.380	1.420	1.460	1.520	1.550	1.570	1.637
3.1	W	0.779	0.860	1.018	1.090	1.123	1.146	1.190	1.211	1.226	1.289
	Q	0.926	1.029	1.221	1.295	1.325	1.354	1.391	1.409	1.425	1.481
4.0	W	0.752	0.831	0.983	1.053	1.085	1.107	1.149	1.170	1.184	1.245
	Q	0.930	1.020	1.190	1.257	1.283	1.310	1.343	1.360	1.373	1.420
8.0	W	0.625	0.690	0.817	0.875	0.901	0.920	0.955	0.972	0.984	1.035
	Q	0.820	0.870	0.967	1.003	1.017	1.030	1.057	1.070	1.077	1.103
12.0	W	0.508	0.561	0.664	0.711	0.733	0.748	0.776	0.790	0.800	0.841
	Q	0.627	0.653	0.707	0.727	0.733	0.740	0.760	0.770	0.773	0.793
16.0	W	0.431	0.476	0.563	0.603	0.621	0.634	0.658	0.670	0.678	0.713
	Q	0.443	0.457	0.490	0.503	0.507	0.510	0.517	0.520	0.523	0.537
20.0	W	0.423	0.467	0.553	0.592	0.610	0.623	0.647	0.658	0.666	0.701
	Q	0.300	0.310	0.320	0.323	0.327	0.330	0.337	0.340	0.343	0.350